

Prepared By:



Annual Progress Report (2023) - Arran Landfill

Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
ECA No. 0441-4J2HV8 and No. 7585-8QKL5Q

GMBP File: M-1174

March 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
2. SITE USAGE	2
3. SITE LIFE EXPECTANCY	2
4. EXISTING CONDITIONS	3
5. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	4
5.1 Development	4
5.2 Stormwater Management Features	4
6. BURNING OPERATIONS	5
7. RECYCLING/WASTE REDUCTION	5
8. GENERAL OPERATIONS	5
8.1 Site Controls	5
8.2 Site Cleanliness	6
8.2.1 Landfill Operations and Management	6
8.3 Site Operations	6
9. SUMMARY OF HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING	6
10. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	7
10.1 Groundwater Sampling Requirements	7
10.2 Surface Water Sampling Requirements	9
10.3 Sampling Procedures	9
11. DETERMINATION OF REASONABLE USE CRITERIA FOR THE SITE	10
11.1 Determination of Action Levels	10
11.2 Background Water Quality	10
11.3 Calculation of Objective Levels	11
11.4 Provincial Water Quality Objectives (Surface Water)	11
12. GROUNDWATER QUALITY	11
12.1 Leachate Production and Plume Projection	11
12.2 Background Water Quality	13
12.3 Groundwater Quality	13
12.3.1 East Boundary Condition (Upgradient)	13
12.3.2 West Boundary Condition (Downgradient)	15
12.3.3 North Boundary Condition (Cross-gradient)	15
12.3.4 South Boundary Condition (Cross-gradient)	16
12.4 Surface Water Quality	16
12.5 Water Quality Summary	17
13. TRIGGER MECHANISMS	18
13.1 Groundwater, Surface Water and Stormwater	19
13.2 Leachate Indicators	19
14. LANDFILL GAS MEASUREMENT	19

15.	CONCLUSIONS	20
16.	RECOMMENDATIONS	20

TABLES

TABLE 1	LANDFILL VOLUME CAPACITY
TABLE 2	RECYCLABLE MATERIALS DIVERTED FROM THE ARRAN LANDFILL SITE BY THE MUNICIPALITY OF ARRAN-ELDERSLIE
TABLE 3A	SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY MONITORING LOCATIONS & PARAMETERS (INCL. IN TEXT)
TABLE 3B	SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING LOCATIONS & PARAMETERS (INCL. IN TEXT)
TABLE 4	SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND GROUNDWATER QUALITY
TABLE 5	GROUNDWATER QUALITY INDICATOR PARAMETERS
TABLE 6	SURFACE WATER - PROVINCIAL WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES (PWQO)
TABLE 7	SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY ANALYSES – 2022 AND 2023
TABLE 8	SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY RESULTS AND COMPARISON TO THE PWQO (2022 AND 2023)
TABLE 9	GROUNDWATER QUALITY COMPARISON TO TRIGGER LEVELS
TABLE 10	SURFACE WATER QUALITY COMPARISON TO TRIGGER LEVELS
TABLE 11	SUMMARY OF GAS MONITORING RESULTS

FIGURES

FIGURE 1	SITE LOCATION PLAN
FIGURE 2	SITE PLAN AND LAND DEVELOPMENT SEQUENCE
FIGURE 3	PHASE I BOTTOM CONTOURS
FIGURE 4	PHASE I FINAL TOP CONTOURS
FIGURE 5	GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS
FIGURE 6	SPRING 2023 – GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS
FIGURE 7	FALL 2023 – GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	CERTIFICATES OF APPROVAL (AND AMENDMENTS)
APPENDIX B:	CORRESPONDENCE
APPENDIX C:	BOREHOLE LOGS AND MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS
APPENDIX D:	CROSS-SECTIONS
APPENDIX E:	HISTORIC GROUNDWATER QUALITY ANALYTICAL RESULTS (TABLES AND GRAPHS)
APPENDIX F:	HISTORIC SURFACE WATER QUALITY ANALYTICAL RESULTS (TABLES AND GRAPHS)
APPENDIX G:	LABORATORY CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSES

MUNICIPALITY OF ARRAN-ELDERSLIE
ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT (2023) - ARRAN LANDFILL

MARCH 2024

GMBP FILE: M-1174

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The Arran landfill site is located north of Bruce County Road No.17, about 4.5 km southwest of Tara, in the south half of Lot 20, Concession 7, in the former Township of Arran, County of Bruce, where shown on Figure 1.

The original Application for a Certificate of Approval (CofA), dated July 8th, 1972, and the original Approval (i.e., CofA No. A271802), dated December 21st, 1972, considered a total useable area of 20.2 ha (49.92 acres) and a maximum theoretical capacity of 801,900 m³. The original Application and Approval are provided in Appendix A. Between 1972 and June 2000, operations at the Arran Landfill Site occurred within a 1.73 ha footprint, which was further described in the '*Plan of Development and Operation*' (PDO: 1991, revised 1996), and referenced in the amended CofA, dated July 26, 1996.

On January 1, 1999, Arran Township amalgamated with Elderslie Township, the Villages of Tara and Paisley, and the Town of Chesley to form the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie. On April 11th, 2000, CofA No. 0441-4J2HV8 was issued by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) to accurately reflect the Township's name change to the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie following amalgamation (Appendix A). In June 2000, the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie consolidated its landfill operations at the Chesley Landfill Site and temporarily suspended landfill operations at the Arran Landfill. The Chesley Landfill reached final capacity in the fall of 2012. On October 1, 2012, landfilling operations for the entire Municipality resumed at the Arran Landfill Site.

In anticipation of the requirement for additional landfill capacity for the Municipality, a Hydrogeologic Investigation for the approved 20.2 ha useable area was completed by GM BluePlan Engineering Limited (GMBP, formerly Gamsby and Mannerow), dated February 2007. The report established that the site was hydrogeologically suitable within areas defined as Phase I, II and III. However, based on the anticipated lifespan for each of these areas, it was recommended that details regarding the development and operation within each of these areas be addressed in separate PDO Reports that would be prepared for each Phase of development. GMBP completed a PDO for landfilling within the Phase I development area (February 2011, revised August 2012). Based on the Hydrogeological Investigation and the PDO, Phase I encompasses an area of 4.45 hectares (11.0 acre) within the northern portion of the property, and includes a large portion of the previously approved 1.73 hectares associated with Areas A, B1, B2 and C.

The landfill operates under MECP Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) number 0441-4J2HV8, as amended on October 29, 2012, and December 6, 2017 (Appendix A). This Annual Monitoring report, for the year 2023, has been prepared to satisfy Conditions 55 and 56 of the ECA for the Arran Landfill Site.

To further support landfill development within the Phase I area, a Stormwater Management Plan was submitted to the MECP in March 2012. The stormwater management features were designed to provide quality and quantity control of stormwater runoff by attenuating runoff from storm events. The features include a south drainage ditch, north drainage ditch and a stormwater management wetland.

Stormwater management for the Site currently operates under MECP ECA No. 7585-8QKL5Q, provided in Appendix A. This Annual Monitoring report has also been prepared to satisfy Conditions 5, 6 and 7 of the ECA for Stormwater Management.

2. SITE USAGE

Landfilling operations at the Arran Landfill site were suspended on June 30, 2000, and resumed on October 1, 2012. Refuse received at the landfill site originates from full time and seasonal residents of the entire Municipality of Arran-Elderslie. The Municipality provides curb-side collection services for garbage on a weekly basis, limited to two bags per week, and recyclables every other week. Based on the landfill capacity estimates provided by others, the annual fill rate at the Chesley Landfill Site was reportedly 5,000 m³/year during its last few years in operation. This estimate reflected waste generation and annual fill rate estimates for the entire Municipality. Based on the reported annual fill rate at the Chesley Landfill Site at that time (i.e., circa 2010/2011), it was anticipated that the total Phase I area would provide capacity for approximately 40 years.

Activities that currently occur at the Arran landfill site include landfilling of residual waste and wood waste, waste collection of blue box materials, e-waste and other waste diversion streams, and stockpiling of scrap metal, white goods and tires, as appropriate. The site includes surface water management features, an access route from the north, a waste receiving and transfer area, supervisor trailer, and a site maintenance shed. In addition, upon temporary site closure in 2000, the Municipality planted numerous trees to the east and south of the existing landfill to provide visual screening in anticipation of the future landfill development.

3. SITE LIFE EXPECTANCY

At this time landfill operations at the Arran Landfill Site are occurring within the 4.45 ha (11 acre) Phase I area situated on the northern portion of the site, where shown on Figure 2. This area generally encompasses the previously approved 1.73 ha area, with the exception of (i) a historical area of waste placement of approximately 0.25 hectares along the eastern limit of Phase I that is within the 30 m buffer area identified in the Hydrogeological Investigation between the upgradient eastern property boundary and the limit of the landfill and (ii) an area of approximately 0.33 ha in the southeast corner of Areas A and B1 which was deemed to encroach on the environmental constraints identified in consultation with the Grey Sauble Conservation Authority (i.e. the wetland). These areas are delineated on Figure 2.

Based on a maximum thickness of approximately 9 m, corresponding to an elevation of approximately 234.4 to 243.4 masl, the Phase I area has a capacity for 280,000 m³ of waste, daily and final cover. In 2012, when landfilling operations for the Municipality resumed at the Arran Landfill site, Areas A, B1, B2 and C had been partially filled with an estimated 47,000 m³ of waste, daily and final cover. Therefore, the total remaining capacity within the Phase I area, excluding the capacity previously utilized, was 233,000 m³ at the time landfill operations resumed at the Site (i.e., Oct 2012). The air space capacity within each area is calculated using a differential comparison of the proposed top and bottom contours. The bottom contours and final contours for Phase I are outlined on Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

In 2022, the datum for the site was revised to reflect geodetic elevations collected with GPS systems that provide more accurate measurements. The new datum will be useful for consistent surveys moving forward at the site, and where any changes to future survey information is needed. To reflect this revised datum, new elevation data are provided within figures, tables, and appendices where applicable. Please note that there are no changes to the development plans, volumes or information, only an apparent shift in absolute elevation.

Based on the air space capacity calculations, and the assumption that the thickness for final cover and topsoil is 0.75 m, the volume of final cover and topsoil required was estimated to be 33,000 m³. This provided for a total remaining capacity of approximately 205,000 m³ for waste and daily cover upon the resumption of landfilling at the Site in 2012 (Note: an estimated 5,200 m³ of final cover had previously been used). Some additional capacity may be available in areas where on-going waste placement requires the removal of previously placed final cover material.

Since landfill operations resumed at the Arran Landfill site in 2012, the remaining capacity has been determined by subtracting the estimated volumetric capacity used derived from annual surveys of the active fill areas. Using the elevation difference between the 2022 and 2023 topographical surveys, a capacity of 5,236 m³ was calculated to have been used in 2023. Assuming 20% interim cover by volume, the amount of landfilled waste in 2023 is estimated to be approximately 4,189 m³. This fill rate may be biased high due to a larger area included under the most recent survey completed by drone.

The 2022 and 2023 scale information is consistent with 1,403 and 1,333 tonnes, respectively. Based on our experience, the tonnage is reflective of fill volumes in the range of 3,000 to 4,000 m³/year. Therefore, the 5-year average fill rate of 3,523 m³/year is considered to be most representative of actual fill rates.

As of the end of 2023, the remaining capacity at the Arran Landfill is estimated to be 167,969 m³ for waste and interim cover. Based on an average fill rate over the last five years of 3,523 m³, the remaining Site life is estimated to be 47 years.

It is noted that the volume for final cover of approximately 25,300 m³ remains unused. A summary of the estimated yearly fill volumes (since 2012) is provided in Table 1.

4. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The PDO outlines a progressive waste placement plan which divides the Phase I area into 'Stages'. Currently, the active landfill area is within Stage 2, located in the southeast portion of the landfill. Stage 2 includes a part of the previously approved area, as shown on Figure 2. As of 2012, when landfill operations resumed at the Site, waste had been placed in former Areas A, B1, B2 and C. The northern portion of Area A had been completed to the proposed final contours, however, the southern portion of former Area A remained undeveloped, as shown on Figure 2. In 2021, the active area of landfilling had transitioned from the Stage 1 area into Stage 2, and primarily occurred in the former Area C. As per the PDO, waste placement is to remain within the Phase I boundary.

In 2000, final cover was applied to the northern portion of the landfill and interim cover was applied to the remainder of the landfill mound, temporarily closing the site (Figure 3). The northern slope and a portion of the eastern slope were capped and closed out. In 2016, final cover was applied to the southern portion of Area A, completing the application of final cover along the entire eastern slope of the landfill area. The placement of cover over the majority of the former Area B was completed in December 2021.

Following the completion of waste placement within each landfill 'Stage', final grading and covering should be completed. The slopes along the outer edges of these landfill areas should be brought to final contours, as outlined in the existing PDO. More specifically, upon completion of landfilling within each Stage, the west slopes should be completed with a maximum 4:1 slope as they will ultimately be connected with landfill development within the following Stage of landfilling in the Phase I development area.

5. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

A stormwater management system was developed to support landfill development within the Phase I area. The system was designed to capture water and to provide surface water quantity and quality control through the use of a perimeter swale and stormwater wetland. The intention of the stormwater management system is to separate on-site runoff that comes into contact with the landfill mound and has the potential to contain leachate, from off-site flows that cross the site through the unnamed tributary and discharge to Arkwright Creek.

Based on the separate approval process for the stormwater management, a Stormwater Management Report (March 2012) and plans for Phase I of landfill development were prepared by GMBP and submitted to the MECP. The construction, operation, maintenance and monitoring of the stormwater management facility at the Arran landfill was approved by the MECP in July 2012, as outlined in ECA No. 7585-8QKL5Q, provided in Appendix A.

5.1 Development

The development of the stormwater works was initiated in 2012 and was completed in 2015, per the Stormwater Management Plan. It is noted that Condition 8 of ECA No. 7585-8QKL5Q states:

Upon substantial completion of the stormwater management facility, a statement, certified by a Professional Engineer, that the works are constructed in accordance with the ECA, and a set of "as constructed" drawings shall be prepared.

GMBP completed the "as constructed" survey and drawings in May 2016, copies of the "as-built" drawings were provided to the Municipality for their records. In order to satisfy Condition 4(2) of the ECA, it is recommended that the Municipality retain a copy of the "as constructed" drawings at the Site.

5.2 Stormwater Management Features

Initial stormwater quality treatment is provided by the grassed swales that collect stormwater runoff from the Site and discharge to the Stormwater Management Facility (Figure 2), including:

- A south drainage ditch approximately 170 m long running along the southern periphery of the approved Phase I landfill area and discharging through a sediment forebay into the stormwater management wetland.
- A north drainage ditch approximately 325 m long running along the northern and western limit of the transfer area and directly west of the approved Phase I limit. This flows through a 450 mm diameter storm sewer and discharges through a sediment forebay into the stormwater management wetland.

A stormwater management wetland/retention facility is located to the southwest of the landfill footprint, where shown on Figure 2. It is approximately 160 m in length, a typical width of 15 m, and is designed with 0.5 m deep permanent pools at the facility inlets and outlet, with varying depths of ponding throughout the remainder of the pond. The permanent pool has been designed to encourage an abundant growth of cattails to promote natural filtration and nutrient uptake, further assisting with surface water quality improvement. The pond is equipped with an outlet structure consisting of a 250 mm diameter HDPE storm sewer which discharges to the previously existing pond and swamp area which eventually reaches Arkwright Creek.

6. BURNING OPERATIONS

Condition 21 of the CofA, issued in April 2000, permitted the burning of brush and clean wood material provided that the burning was carried out in compliance with the *'MOE Guidelines for Burning at Landfill Sites in Ontario'*. However, Condition 36 of the ECA, as amended (October 2012), prohibits the burning of any type of waste, including clean wood and brush. Therefore, burning of clean wood and brush at the Arran Landfill Site no longer occurs.

7. RECYCLING/WASTE REDUCTION

The Municipality of Arran-Elderslie conducts a recycling and waste diversion program at the Arran landfill site. A blue box initiative is also promoted within the Municipality where glass, cans, newspaper, boxboard, cardboard and plastic drinking bottles are diverted from the landfill for recycling purposes. The Municipality also encourages the diversion of scrap metals, refrigeration units, tires, mattresses (when possible), polystyrene, clothing, and waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) separately from the blue box program. Separate designated areas within the northern portion of the property are maintained for these waste diversion streams, where shown on Figure 2. It is noted that the Municipality provides an additional drop-off location for tires and scrap metal at the closed Chesley Landfill Site.

The Municipality of Arran-Elderslie has resolved to transition away from the blue box program to full Extended Producer Responsibility by December 31, 2025.

The Bruce Area Solid Waste Recycling Association (BASWRA) is the recycling contractor for the Municipality for both curb-side pickup and recyclables dropped off at the landfill depot. A total of 444.17 tonnes of recyclable materials were reportedly diverted from the landfill site in 2023. A table summarizing the quantity of recyclable materials diverted is provided as Table 2. In addition to recyclable materials, an estimated 311.1 tonnes of compost, wood and brush were diverted from the landfill. Continued attention should be given to the management of the tire piles located at the Arran and Chesley landfill facilities. The size of the tire pile should be monitored on a regular basis to ensure that there are fewer than 5,000 tire units onsite at any given time as per the requirements of the Environmental Protection Act (EPA). Furthermore, it is important that the Municipality continue to remove stockpiles of used tires and accumulations of other salvageable materials on a regular basis to prevent clutter and to maintain an aesthetically acceptable site.

Clean wood and brush are currently collected in a separate pile and can be used as cover material. The grinding of brush and wood waste material at the Arran Landfill Site last occurred in October 2017. This use of this material as interim cover is recommended in order to maximize the potential landfill capacity.

8. GENERAL OPERATIONS

8.1 Site Controls

Since the recommencement of landfill operations in 2012, the Site has been open to the public on Thursdays from 8:00 AM to 3:00 PM and Saturdays from 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM. The site accepts non-hazardous municipal wastes as well as various recyclables and other diversion materials. A sign at the gate notes the hours of operation. When the site is closed to the public, a locked gate across the entrance road controls access to the site.

Signs are posted at most of the waste disposal and waste diversion locations. In locations where no sign is present, the designated areas are clearly separated and are visible. The site is located in a secluded setting and is adequately screened from public view by low hills and tree cover.

8.2 Site Cleanliness

8.2.1 Landfill Operations and Management

The most important aspect of site cleanliness is to ensure that all landfilled wastes have adequate soil cover so that refuse is not exposed at the surface. Application of an appropriate soil cover immediately following waste disposal reduces blowing litter, prevents surface water infiltration into the refuse creating leachate, and discourages rodent and vector activity at the surface of the landfill mound.

We recommend that cover material be applied as per the requirements outlined in Condition 37 of the ECA, as amended. Care should be taken to ensure that the working face of the landfill is covered with a minimum thickness of 0.15 m of soil cover at the end of each working day and that litter is collected routinely to maintain an acceptable site appearance.

Another important aspect of site cleanliness is to ensure that accumulations of recyclable and re-usable materials, including tires and scrap metals, are efficiently managed. Designated areas for recyclable goods and the various other waste diversion streams at the site appear to be organized and well managed. The waste diversion areas (i.e., pile sizes) were observed to be adequate during the site inspections completed in 2023. Reportedly, in April of 2022, two complaints were received by the MECF regarding offsite blowing litter. The Municipality subsequently attended the site and placed additional cover material over the active area.

8.3 Site Operations

In 2023, the active area of landfilling at the Site continued primarily within the Stage 2 area and within the former Areas C, where shown on Figure 2. As per the PDO, it is recommended that the Municipality complete landfilling within the previously active and/or approved areas (i.e., Stages 1 and 2), so that landfilling within the previously approved landfill footprint can be completed to final contours and final cover and topsoil can be applied. Once the Stage 2 area has been filled to capacity, waste placement will be redirected to the Stage 3 area. Based on the updated PDO, it is proposed to install a perforated piping system throughout the base of Stages 3 to 5 of the landfill prior to the commencement of landfilling. The system is intended to remain in-active, unless required as part of contingency measures.

9. SUMMARY OF HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

The landfill site is located within the physiographic region known as the Arran Drumlin Field. The region is generally characterized by drumlins composed of glacial tills, interspersed with shallow stratified clay deposits. The overburden is about 32 m thick and overlies bedrock of the Salina Formation. Piezometric data indicate that regional groundwater flow in the bedrock aquifer is generally to the northwest.

Due to the relatively low permeability of the native soils, the depth to bedrock, and the upward gradients observed at some locations, the shallow groundwater would be the first area to indicate impacts to the subsurface from the landfill. Therefore, shallow overburden groundwater monitoring wells have been installed across the site at depths typically within the range of 2.8 to 7.6 meters below ground surface (screen elevations typically in the range of 227.13 meters above sea level (masl) to 236.57 masl), where shown on Figure 5. An intermediate overburden monitoring well (i.e., TW-25D) was installed in October 2016 to a depth of approximately 13.6 mbgs (or 221.6 masl).

Borehole logs and well construction details are provided in Appendix C and a summary of the well construction details is provided as Table C-2. Stratigraphic cross-sections for the Site are provided in Appendix D.

Groundwater levels continue to be monitored in overburden monitoring wells in conjunction with the required monitoring program. A summary of the groundwater level elevations measured at the Site is provided in Table C-1 (Appendix C) and the 2023 data is presented on Figures 6 and 7. Groundwater typically migrates in a westerly direction across the northern portion of the Site. Adjacent to the landfill area, the average water level elevation in the spring is approximately 235.5 masl, which results in an adequate soil buffer below the bottom of refuse placement (i.e., greater than the required 0.76 m, as outlined in CofA No. 271802 dated December 21, 1972).

The property is interpreted to be part of a local and regional groundwater discharge system. Upward hydraulic gradients have been observed in several areas of the northern portion of the site and are typically associated with the presence of coarser textured soils or the well sorted coarse sand unit in which artesian flow conditions have been observed. The unit of coarser textured soils are interpreted to be continuous in extent and were noted at depth in boreholes TW-17 and TW-25. In the Grey Bruce Groundwater Study (2003), upward gradients were also reported to be present in the vicinity of Arkwright Creek, upstream from the site. Upward gradients would serve to limit the downward migration of groundwater, thus limiting potential impacts to the shallow groundwater and protecting the bedrock aquifer. Furthermore, an influx of groundwater from the deeper overburden into the shallow overburden unit will enhance dilution.

Along the eastern property boundary several well couplets exist including, from north to south, TW-11/TW-11D, TW-15/TW-15D and TW-19S/D. In the area directly up-gradient of the existing fill area, slightly downward to horizontal gradients exist. Based on the existence of the centrally located wetland area and the saturated soil conditions that are often observed to the west of the fill area, it is inferred that upwards gradients become established as groundwater flows from east to west across the northern portion of the Site or, from the vicinity of the fill area, to the south towards the centrally located wetland area.

Downward gradients are typically measured at well couplet TW-19S/D located near the southeast corner of the Site, an estimated 400 meters south of the Phase I landfill area. These downward gradients are attributed to the elevated topography associated with this monitoring location. It is anticipated that upward gradients in the southern portion of the Site will become established at the depth of the intersection with a coarser soil unit, similar to that observed at TW-17 and TW-25D, or that, as the groundwater in this southern portion of the Site flows northwest towards the swampy depression, upward hydraulic gradients will become established.

Historic water level measurements at TW-9, TW-11/TW-11D, G-1, and G-2 indicate a local hydraulic gradient from the west to the southeast (i.e., a radial flow component) due to groundwater mounding within the refuse pile (Appendix C-1). However, on-going groundwater level measurements suggest that the localized radial flow has decreased since final and interim cover placement occurred along the eastern slope of the landfill mound. In 2016, final cover placement along the entire eastern slope of the landfill mound was completed. Ongoing water level monitoring will be used to assess the potential effects the placement of final cover may have on the radial flow patterns historically observed.

10. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

10.1 Groundwater Sampling Requirements

Groundwater quality monitoring was initiated at the site in 1984. Between 1989 and 2003, groundwater quality was consistently monitored at the site by twice annual sampling from a network of monitoring wells. In 2004, the groundwater sampling was reduced to once annually in the spring. Since landfill operations at the Arran Landfill started again in 2012, after temporarily being suspended, the twice annual monitoring program (spring and fall) has been re-established. It is noted that in order to satisfy the groundwater monitoring requirements outlined in the PDO and Condition 42 of the ECA, five monitoring wells including TW-11D, TW-15D, TW-22, TW-23, and TW-24 were installed in the Fall of 2012.

Environmental monitoring at the Site currently consists of groundwater and surface water monitoring on a twice annual basis. At this time, a total of 25 monitoring wells exist. As per the PDO for Phase I and Condition 43 of ECA for waste disposal, as amended, groundwater quality monitoring is currently completed at a network of nineteen (19) monitoring wells situated between the northern property limit and the intermittent tributary that runs to the west across the central portion of the Site, where shown on Figure 2. Monitoring is completed twice annually (spring and fall), with once annual monitoring (i.e., spring only) from ten of the monitoring locations. In addition, surface water samples are collected from six (6) locations twice annually in conjunction with the groundwater monitoring program which, in order to simultaneously satisfy the requirements of the Stormwater Monitoring outlined in Condition 5 of the subject ECA, is completed following a significant rainfall event. A summary of the groundwater and surface water quality monitoring programs and required parameters, as per the Approvals, is provided in Table 3A and Table 3B, respectively (below).

It is noted that, based on the MECP correspondence dated July 29, 2016, and the agreed upon follow-up work identified in a follow-up meeting, three of the historical monitoring locations were replaced in 2016. In consideration of the acquisition of additional lands extending along the northern property boundary in 2012, monitoring wells TW-4 and TW-8 were replaced with TW-25S/D and TW-26, respectively. However, the replacement wells were moved closer to the property boundary to better reflect the revised boundary conditions (Figure 2). Well TW-9, located along the upgradient compliance limit to the east, was replaced with TW-27. Based on MECP correspondence dated July 16, 2019, monitoring well TW-11 was decommissioned. Groundwater quality continues to be monitored at this location with well TW-11D. The monitoring program has been updated to reflect these changes and is summarized below.

TABLE 3A: Summary of Groundwater Quality Monitoring Locations and Parameters

MONITORING LOCATIONS			MONITORING PARAMETERS
Sampling Location	Spring	Fall	
TW-1	X	X	Conductivity, pH, Alkalinity, Hardness, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) Chloride, Sulphate Nitrate, Nitrite Ammonia, TKN, Organic Nitrogen Iron, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium and Sodium
TW-2	X	X	
TW-5	X		
TW-10	X	X	
TW-11D	X		
TW-12	X	X	
TW-13	X	X	
TW-14	X		
TW-15	X	X	
TW-15D	X		
TW-16	X		
TW-17	X		
TW-22	X		
TW-23	X		
TW-24	X	X	
TW-25S	X	X	
TW-25D	X		
TW-26	X	X	
TW-27	X	X	

TABLE 3B: Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Locations and Parameters

MONITORING LOCATIONS			MONITORING PARAMETERS
Sampling Location	Spring	Fall	
SW-1 (Arkwright Creek: Downgradient)	X	X	Conductivity, pH, Alkalinity, Hardness TDS, TSS, cBOD, COD, Phenols, Chloride, Sulphate, Total Phosphorus, Nitrate, Nitrite, Ammonia (Un-ionized), TKN, Metals (As, B, Ba, Ca, Co, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Na, K, Pb, Hg and Zn) and Field Temperature
SW-5 (Un-named Tributary: Upgradient)	X	X	
SW-7 (Arkwright Creek: Upgradient)	X	X	
SW-8 (Un-named Tributary: Downgradient Outflow)	X	X	
SW-9 (SWM Wetland)	X	X	
SW-10 (In SWM Permanent Pool)	X	X	

10.2 Surface Water Sampling Requirements

At the site, the primary surface water feature is Arkwright Creek, which is removed from the relatively small landfill footprint by over 300 m. There is no direct surface water flow path from the existing landfill area to the Creek. The topography is relatively flat, and the ground is covered with wild grasses. In conjunction with historical landfilling operations that were completed prior to June 2000 (i.e., associated with past landfilling in the 1.73 ha area), surface water sampling was completed at up to six locations. Fifteen years of surface water monitoring results completed between 1988 and 2004 did not indicate any historical landfill related impacts to Arkwright Creek or the swampy area located south to south-west of the past landfill area.

Upon resuming landfilling activities at the Site, surface water samples are collected from six (6) locations twice annually in conjunction with the groundwater monitoring program which, in order to simultaneously satisfy the requirements of the Stormwater Monitoring outlined in Condition 5 of the ECA (i.e., ECA No. 7585-8QKL5Q), is completed following a significant rainfall event. In order to satisfy the requirements of both the ECAs for the Site, surface water samples are collected from the following locations, where shown on Figure 5:

- SW-1: Downgradient location in Arkwright Creek.
- SW-5: Located off-site, upgradient monitoring location in unnamed tributary.
- SW-7: Upgradient location in Arkwright Creek.
- SW-8: Outflow from swampy area, located along western property boundary.
- SW-9: Outflow from proposed stormwater management wetland located along the southwest corner of the Phase I boundary.
- SW-10: Located within the permanent pool of the stormwater management wetland.

A summary of the surface water quality monitoring program and required parameters, as per the ECAs, is provided in Table 3B.

10.3 Sampling Procedures

For groundwater sampling, the static groundwater level is measured in each monitoring well prior to purging three (3) casing volumes of stagnant water from each well. GMBP personnel also check to ensure that all monitoring wells are properly secured and in compliance with O.Reg.903. After purging, monitoring wells are allowed to recharge with fresh groundwater before sampling occurs. Groundwater purging and sampling is conducted using dedicated Waterra™ tubing and inertial-type pumps. Samples are collected in laboratory supplied containers and are kept chilled following completion of the sampling program and sent within 24 hours of the sampling event to Bureau Veritas Canada Inc. (BV Labs) in Mississauga for analysis. Under the site-specific program, groundwater samples collected for the indicator metals are placed in laboratory supplied containers without preservative and are filtered and preserved by BV Labs prior to analysis. The laboratory analytical reports for 2023 are included in Appendix G.

Surface water samples are collected by submerging the appropriate sample container into the water body and removing the container when a sufficient volume of sample has been collected. During collection, contact with the bottom sediment is avoided to prevent stirring-up sediment. When collecting surface water samples, direct dipping of the sample bottle is acceptable unless the bottle contains preservative. For those samples requiring preservative, a clean unpreserved bottle is used to obtain the sample, which is then transferred into the appropriate preserved bottle. Surface water samples collected for total metals are placed in laboratory supplied containers with preservative and are not filtered prior to analysis. The surface water temperature is measured and recorded at the time of sampling.

11. DETERMINATION OF REASONABLE USE CRITERIA FOR THE SITE

11.1 Determination of Action Levels

MECP Guideline B-7 establishes the basis for determining what constitutes the reasonable use of groundwater on property adjacent to landfill sites. By applying the Reasonable Use Concept, the potential use of groundwater for domestic consumption will almost always provide the lowest allowable concentration limits. MECP Procedure B-7-1 provides technical details for the application of the reasonable use approach. A change in quality of groundwater on an adjacent property, where the reasonable use is determined to be for drinking water, will be acceptable only where:

- i) Quality is not degraded by more than 50% of the difference between background concentrations and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for non-health related parameters, and
- ii) Quality is not degraded by more than 25% of the difference between background concentrations and the Ontario Drinking Water Standards for health-related parameters.

Background concentrations are considered to be the quality of the groundwater prior to any contamination from landfill activities.

11.2 Background Water Quality

Based on the hydrogeological model, water chemistry, and the EM conductivity survey, groundwater chemistry naturally varies across the site. Therefore, to determine the potential for leachate-derived contamination, individual indicator parameters are evaluated in conjunction with other parameters and concentration trends.

To be conservative, historical water quality records for monitoring wells located to the south of the previously approved landfill area (i.e., TW-1, TW-2 and TW-10) were used to establish background water quality ranges for monitored indicator parameters. All available groundwater quality results from 1984 to 2002 were used to calculate the average and 95th-percentile background concentrations for each indicator parameter. The 95th percentile concentration is used to reflect the background concentration for parameters with concentrations that exceed the Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS: June 2003, revised June 2006). The background water quality locations were selected because (i) their location was cross-gradient from the landfill area and, at that time, had the least chance of impact from the landfill and (ii) historically have had the lowest concentrations of leachate indicator parameters. Historical water quality for these monitoring wells is provided in Appendix E and is summarized in Table 4.

11.3 Calculation of Objective Levels

Table 4 identifies the concentrations of groundwater quality indicator parameters used for evaluating the acceptable level of contaminant concentrations at the site boundary. Background concentrations (C_b) are the site-specific values (discussed in the previous section). The Provincial maximum concentrations (C_r) are identified in the ODWS. Acceptable concentrations at the site boundary (C_m) are calculated from MECP Procedure B-7-1 (Table 5).

Table 5 identifies background concentrations of hardness and organic nitrogen which exceed the ODWS operational guidelines (OG). These elevated background concentrations are reflected in many of the other groundwater monitoring wells across the site. Therefore, to determine if leachate is impacting groundwater, indicator parameters will be evaluated in conjunction with other indicator parameters and concentration trends. Wells with elevated and stable concentrations of the identified naturally elevated constituents, that show no increases in other leachate indicator parameters, will be deemed un-impacted by landfill leachate. Additionally, known leachate impacted groundwater will be compared to the groundwater chemistry at locations with naturally elevated concentrations to determine if leachate contributes to the elevated concentrations.

11.4 Provincial Water Quality Objectives (Surface Water)

The purpose of surface water quality management at the Site is to achieve the requirements established in the Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO) set out by the MECP. The PWQO for the required list of analytical parameters is provided in Table 6. The PWQO were established to ensure that surface waters are of a quality, which is satisfactory for aquatic life and recreation. Areas that have water quality surpassing the PWQO requirements are to be maintained at or above the applicable objectives. Areas that have water quality that does not presently meet the PWQO are not to be degraded any further and are to be upgraded if practical.

12. GROUNDWATER QUALITY

12.1 Leachate Production and Plume Projection

Leachate is produced when surface water percolates down through refuse and into the groundwater. In 2001, final cover was applied to the northern portion of the landfill and an interim cover was applied to the remainder of the landfill mound, temporarily closing the site (Figure 3). Additional final cover and topsoil placement was completed within the historically landfilled areas in 2016, particularly along the eastern slope. To date, the northern slope of the old fill area and the eastern slope have been capped and closed out. It is anticipated that these measures will reduce infiltration and leachate production in these areas of the landfill.

To determine the presence of potential impacts from leachate, several indicator parameters are monitored, and a trend analysis is conducted to determine changes in existing conditions. The following Sections of this report discuss the analytical results in consideration of leachate production at the site, the potential impacts to groundwater and surface water leaving the property boundaries, and compliance with the Reasonable Use Criteria (RUC). The results of the recent and historical groundwater and surface water sampling are presented in tabular and graphical form in Appendix E and Appendix F, respectively.

The general overburden/shallow groundwater flow direction is in a south-westerly to westerly direction towards the swampy depression and Arkwright Creek. Consequently, the leachate plume from the landfill is expected to be most prevalent in these directions.

Monitoring has shown local changes to groundwater chemistry (relative to background) directly west of the landfill with the highest concentrations of indicator parameters historically found at TW-12, located approximately 100 meters downgradient of the western limit of the landfill footprint. At TW-12 and TW-13, several indicator parameters including hardness, TDS, and alkalinity have typically exceeded the objective levels and ODWS aesthetic objectives. Chloride concentrations, although still below the objective level of 126 mg/L, have typically been highest in monitoring well TW-12 (averaging approximately 45 mg/L since 1993), with slightly elevated concentrations at well TW-13. The conductivity has also been consistently higher than background at these two downgradient monitoring wells.

The EM survey conducted by the MOE in 1997 correlates with these findings, showing that leachate-impacted groundwater was limited in extent to TW-12 and, to a lesser extent, well TW-13. However, it is noted that in the memorandum describing the results of the EM Survey, the potential leachate impacts noted at TW-12 and TW-13 were attributed to potential surface transport along ditches that formerly drained the site and settled in slight depressions near these two monitoring locations.

Monitoring data reported between 2000, upon temporary site closure, and 2006 showed relatively stable concentration trends, with chloride concentrations typically ranging between 60 mg/L and 85 mg/L. Between 2006 and 2017 concentrations progressively decreased, although the migration of leachate-impacted groundwater remained evident. Consistent with active landfilling activities occurring directly upgradient of TW-12, since 2018 increased concentrations of leachate-indicator parameters have been reported. More recently, concentrations of leachate-indicator parameters at TW-12 have been generally increasing. Although earlier impacts noted by the MOE (i.e. circa 1997) were likely, in part, related to surface water run-off from the landfill, based on the temporary site closure (i.e. placement of final and interim cover over the entire fill area) that occurred between 2000 and 2012 and the groundwater concentration trends noted, the recent groundwater quality trends at TW-12 and TW-13 are interpreted to reflect groundwater quality conditions, rather than leachate-impacts resulting from surface water run-off.

Since 2019, TW-10 has seen an increase in leachate indicator parameters, and in particular a sharp increase in chloride. This response is within values expected based on the fact that TW-10 is near the toe of the active area and is situated downgradient of the landfill.

More recently, and as expected, TW-1 and TW-2 (historic shallow monitoring locations constructed in testholes) show an increasing trend in leachate indicator parameters including hardness, conductivity and chloride. These wells are located within 75 m the southwest of the landfill footprint, inferred to be downgradient of the landfill.

Well TW-1, TW-2, and TW-10 will continue to be monitored and will provide valuable information with respect to leachate indicator parameters.

Monitoring well TW-24, which was installed in 2012 to monitor groundwater quality down-gradient of the northerly limit of landfilling and within 40 meters of the old fill area, suggests that, at this time, landfill-leachate derived impacts continue to be limited to non-existent in this area of the Site. It is however noted that once active landfilling is re-located to the northern limit of the approved fill area, leachate influence may become more apparent at this monitoring location.

Based on these results, it is inferred that the front of the leachate-impacted groundwater plume from the landfill will likely be stable at a distance of approximately 100 meters from the western limit of the fill area. Furthermore, the application of interim and final cover is helping to reduce leachate production at the Site allowing for greater attenuation of the existing groundwater constituents.

12.2 Background Water Quality

RUC exceedances for hardness are frequently reported and can typically be primarily attributed to natural background conditions and variability. Therefore, RUC exceedances for hardness are only discussed further where the concentration is significantly elevated, and the concentrations of other leachate indicator parameters support the potential for impacts.

At the Arran Landfill, nitrite, nitrate and organic nitrogen are frequently detected, periodically exceeding the RUC, particularly in the monitoring wells situated to the east of the landfill (i.e., TW-9, TW-11, TW-11D, TW-15 and TW-15D). However, based on a comparison to the groundwater quality in areas where leachate impacts are more evident (i.e., TW-12), concentrations of nitrate and nitrite typically remain below the detection limit suggesting these parameters should not be considered leachate indicators. Furthermore, although organic nitrogen is frequently detected at the leachate impacted monitoring location, the concentration trends do not appear to reflect the magnitude and variability of leachate impacts noted by the more prominent leachate indicator parameters (refer to TW-12 graph provided in Appendix E). Therefore, organic nitrogen alone should not be considered an indicator of leachate impacts. Elevated nitrate, nitrite and organic nitrogen concentrations are interpreted to be primarily related natural conditions in the aquifer and are consistent with the presence of organic-rich soils associated with wetland/surface water system downgradient from lands actively used for agriculture and/or livestock.

Therefore, although RUC exceedances may periodically occur at various monitoring locations, these parameters will not be discussed further unless they appear to reflect a significant change from those historically reported.

12.3 Groundwater Quality

12.3.1 East Boundary Condition (Upgradient)

Groundwater quality along the eastern boundary of the fill area is currently monitored at wells TW-11D, TW-15, TW-15D and TW-27. These wells are located to the east and along the Lot 20/21 roadway adjacent to the landfill site (Figure 5).

West of Sideroad 20

It is noted that in order to address MECP concerns, compliance well TW-27 replaced former well TW-9 in 2016. Monitoring well TW-9 was located along the west side of the municipal road allowance and within an estimated 10 meters of the eastern limit of former landfill Area A. The replacement well (i.e., TW-27) was installed an estimated 10 meters south of the former TW-9 location, and slightly closer to the road allowance.

Historically, TW-9 had shown evidence of leachate impacts although, consistent with its proximity to the fill area and the radial flow patterns identified, impacts were most notable before final and interim cover was applied to the surface of the fill area in 2000, upon temporary Site closure. As shown on the trend graph provided in Appendix E, since landfilling resumed at the Site in 2012, the concentrations of several indicator parameters exhibit a strong seasonal variation, with higher concentrations typically being measured in the fall. A similar seasonal variation trend was noted prior to temporary Site closure. Based on the combination of parameters noted, including sodium, chloride, hardness and alkalinity, it appears that this monitoring location was susceptible to groundwater quality influences from the landfilling activities.

Consistent with historical results from TW-9 and those previously reported for TW-27, in 2023 minor RUC exceedances were noted for TDS, alkalinity and hardness at well TW-27 (Table 7). While these exceedances are likely indicative of leachate-impacted groundwater at this location, they are also attributed, at least in part, to impacts from road salting along the Municipal Road (refer to Appendix E - graph).

Based on the regional groundwater flow direction in this area of the Site which is generally to the south and west (Figures 6 and 7), the location of the recently active fill area of greater than 50 meters downgradient of TW-27, and the additional separation distance of approximately 10 m from the municipal road allowance, it is expected that further migration of landfill leachate-impacted groundwater in an easterly direction will be limited. Furthermore, with the placement of final cover along the entire Phase I eastern limit of fill, which was completed in 2016, it is anticipated that a decreasing concentration trend will develop over time, ultimately decreasing the magnitude and extent of influence to the east of the landfill. Currently, the concentration trends are stable to decreasing.

East of Sideroad 20

Well TW-11 historically showed highly variable concentration trends, which were historically inferred to represent minor influence from leachate impacted groundwater. Chloride concentrations averaged approximately 40 mg/L at this location. In 2012, an additional well was installed adjacent to TW-11 (i.e., TW-11D). In contrast, the groundwater quality reported at well TW-11D generally demonstrates stable concentration trends with lower concentrations of leachate indicator parameters. Based on the inconsistent groundwater quality results noted at this well couplet, and questionable well integrity, decommissioning well TW-11 was recommended by the MECF in correspondence dated July 16, 2019 (Appendix B). Monitoring well TW-11 was decommissioned in July 2019.

Monitoring wells TW-15 and TW-15D are located further to the south along the east side of the municipal road allowance and are adjacent to the local surface water drainage and swamp area. Well TW-15 was installed in a light brown sandy layer to a depth of approximately 1.6 mbgs and TW-15D was installed in 2012 to a depth of approximately 7.6 mbgs. Based on a review of the concentration trends at TW-15, and consistent with a more radial flow pattern that was noted prior to the completion of Site's temporary closure (i.e., circa 2000), leachate impacts at TW-15 were noted in the late 1990's and early 2000's. Since that time, water quality at TW-15 has generally improved, with chloride concentrations remaining below 10 mg/L since 2003. At this time, leachate impacts in the vicinity of well couplet TW-15 and TW-15D are not evident.

Limitations and Considerations: Extent of Potential Leachate Migration to the East

Review of historical trends at these upgradient monitoring locations indicates stable to decreasing concentration trends for various leachate indicator parameters, particularly since the Municipality completed the placement of interim and final cover on the landfill mound in 2000 (refer to trend graphs provided in Appendix E). It is anticipated that water quality will continue to improve at these perimeter monitoring locations as the active landfill face moves to the west within the Stage 1 and Stage 2 area and the established cover on the landfill mound continues to promote surface water run-off and prevent infiltration, consequently limiting leachate production in the most easterly areas of the approved landfill. In order to prevent future leachate migration, it is important that the Municipality continue to maintain the final cover, particularly on the eastern portion of the landfill.

In addition, it should be noted that elevated conductivity, sodium, chloride and TDS concentrations along this eastern boundary are believed to be due to, at least in part, the increased use of road salt along Arran Sideroad 20 associated with the resumption of operations at the Arran Landfill.

Furthermore, the extent of leachate migration off-site to the east would be limited by the following factors:

- i. Although mounding of groundwater within the refuse pile can create a local hydraulic gradient to the east, the regional groundwater flow is generally from east to west. The mounding effects will, therefore, be reduced with distance from the site and ultimately resume movement in a westerly direction (i.e., easterly movement is prohibited by the regional groundwater flow direction).
- ii. Water level measurements at TW-11D, TW-15 and TW-15D and TW-27 indicate a local hydraulic gradient to the south along the east side of the municipal road allowance. Shallow groundwater that flows southerly is expected to re-route to the west along the path of convergence that is congruent with the centrally located stream (at the road crossing culvert location shown on Figures 6 and 7).

12.3.2 West Boundary Condition (Downgradient)

The western property boundary, from south to north, is monitored at TW-23, TW-17, TW-14, TW-5 and TW-16 in the spring only, and TW-12 and TW-13 in both the spring and fall. The TW-12 and TW-13 monitoring locations are currently located greater than 100 meters downgradient of the landfilled area, with the other four monitoring locations greater than 200 meters downgradient of the landfilled area. Since about 2010, groundwater quality trends at these downgradient monitoring locations have been relatively stable (refer to Appendix E).

Recent groundwater quality results from well TW-14 shows an increasing concentration trend, with chloride concentrations reported to be in the range of 20 to 36 mg/L since 2018. This well is located approximately 175 m from the landfill footprint and approximate 40 m from the receiving area. Based on the recent increases of salt related parameters only (i.e., the absence of other leachate indicator parameters), it is estimated that these recent increases are reflective of de-icing and or dust suppression at the gravel-surfaced receiving area. Regardless, TW-14 is located centrally on-site and is not a location that measures compliance at the property boundary. TW-14 will continue to be monitored to assess the concentration trends.

In 2023, groundwater quality at the three compliance wells TW-5, TW-16, and TW-17, generally remained within the RUC, with the exception of minor exceedance(s) for alkalinity, TDS and hardness at well TW-5. Provided that chloride concentrations remain below 5 mg/L and the concentrations of other leachate indicator parameters generally remain similar to background, groundwater quality at these monitoring locations does not indicate impacts from landfill leachate. Therefore, based on the overall comparison and review of groundwater quality concentrations and trends, it is concluded that the RUC is being met along the western compliance limit (Table 7, Table 9).

12.3.3 North Boundary Condition (Cross-gradient)

In conjunction with the resumption of operations at the Arran Landfill Site in 2012, the Municipality acquired an additional 30 meters of land extending along the northern property boundary to better facilitate landfill operations and to extend the buffer area to the north. This was equivalent to an additional area of 1.16 hectares. In order to address on-going MECP concerns pertaining to the adequacy of monitoring locations TW-4 and TW-8 (i.e., well construction), these wells were replaced in October 2016 with well couplet TW-25S/D and well TW-26, respectively. While TW-4 and TW-8 historically represented northerly compliance monitoring locations, the well replacements were installed approximately 30 to 40 meters to the north in order to more accurately and reliably access Site compliance along the adjusted northerly compliance limit, where shown on Figure 5. The borehole logs/well records are provided in Appendix C.

Based on the overall comparison and review of groundwater quality concentrations and trends, it is concluded that the RUC is being met along the northern compliance limit (Table 7). Therefore, while groundwater quality at wells TW-4 and TW-8 may have been influenced, in part, by landfill-leachate due to the radial groundwater flow patterns, it is apparent that the impacts to the north are likely limited in extent, as evidenced by the general absence of leachate indicators at these new monitoring locations.

At this time, monitoring wells TW-25S, TW-25D, TW-26, and TW-24 monitor groundwater quality to the north of the landfill footprint, with TW-25S and TW-26 serving as compliance wells. In the spring of 2023, TW-26 was inaccessible due to the casing sinking into the ground. However, the casing was repaired prior to the fall sampling event. In 2023, groundwater quality at all four wells generally remained within the RUC, with the exception of a minor exceedance(s) for TDS at well TW-24. Chloride concentrations remained below 5 mg/L at all four monitoring locations. Therefore, based on the overall comparison and review of groundwater quality concentrations and trends, it is concluded that the RUC is being met along the northern compliance limit (Table 7, Table 9).

12.3.4 South Boundary Condition (Cross-gradient)

At this time, monitoring wells TW-1, TW-2, TW-10, and TW-22 monitor groundwater quality to the south of the landfill footprint between the fill area and the intermittent tributary that flows through the central portion of the Site. Although additional wells are located along the southern compliance boundary, these wells are located greater than an estimated 400 meters from the Phase I area and are therefore not sampled.

Based on (i) the separation distance between the Phase I landfill area and the southern compliance boundary, (ii) the groundwater flow direction which is generally to the west across the Site and (iii) the presence of the low-lying area identified to the south of the landfill which acts as a flow divide for the shallow groundwater re-directing the minor southerly shallow groundwater flow component noted in a westerly direction, compliance along the southern property boundary is expected.

A review of the groundwater quality trends at these locations indicates that the concentrations for the majority of the leachate indicator parameters, although variable, were relatively stable following temporary Site closure. Since landfill operations at the Site have resumed, concentrations trends at TW-22 continue to be stable and consistent with those historically reported. However, concentrations for several leachate indicator parameters at TW-1, which monitors groundwater quality in the shallow overburden, appear to have increased since active landfilling at the Site was resumed. Since 2015, chloride concentrations at TW-1 have generally ranged between 20 and 40 mg/L. Similarly, leachate indicator parameters at TW-2 have also increased in recent years.

Consistent with landfilling activities having progressed closer to monitoring well TW-10 (i.e., currently within 20 meters of the active fill area), concentrations of several leachate indicator parameters have increased significantly, with chloride concentrations more recently ranging between 120 mg/L and 160 mg/L, frequently exceeding the RUC of 126 mg/L. As shown in Table 7, RUC exceedances for several leachate indicator parameters are noted.

12.4 Surface Water Quality

At the site, the primary surface water feature is Arkwright Creek, which is removed from the relatively small landfill footprint by over 300 m. There is no direct surface water flow path from the existing landfill area to the Creek. The topography is relatively flat, and the ground is covered with wild grasses. Surface water monitoring results completed in conjunction with landfilling in the historical 1.73 ha landfill footprint did not indicate any historical landfill related impacts to Arkwright Creek or the swampy area located south to south-west of the past landfill footprint.

Since resuming landfilling activities at the Site, surface water samples have been collected from six (6) locations twice annually and, in order to simultaneously satisfy the requirements of the ECA for Stormwater Management, sampling is completed following a significant rainfall event. In 2023, samples were collected on April 11th and November 17th. A summary of the results for 2023, compared to the PWQO, is provided in Table 8 and historical summaries, presented in tabular and graphical form, are provided in Appendix F.

It is noted that periodically phenols are detected at concentrations in exceedance of the PWQO, however as phenols are typically considered to be a normal component of natural organic carbon from the decay of vegetation, the detection of phenols in Site surface water would be expected within the highly vegetated and wetland areas that surround several of the surface water sample locations. Therefore, phenols alone are not considered to be an indicator of leachate.

Surface water quality is monitored in the unnamed tributary, located to the south of the Phase I landfill area, which flows in a westerly direction across the central portion of the Site. An upstream surface water sample (SW-5) is collected from the east side of Arran Sideroad 20, prior to influence from road salt activities and the landfill (Figure 5). This sample is considered to represent background conditions. In 2023, minor phosphorus and iron exceedances of the PWQO were reported. Historically, iron and/or copper exceedances have been reported, however comparison of these periodic reported exceedances at this upgradient location to the total suspended solids (TSS) suggests that the detection of metals in exceedance of the PWQO is likely associated with the TSS. Elevated alkalinity, TDS and conductivity have also been periodically noted at this location; however, these parameters are attributed to surface water flow through the roadside ditches along Sideroad 20 that are directed into the tributary.

Two samples are collected to monitor the surface water collected by the stormwater management facility located southwest of the landfill prior to being discharged to the intermittent tributary, including one from the stormwater management wetland outlet (i.e., SW-9) and one from the pond area (i.e., SW-10) (Figure 5). Consistent with historical results, in 2023 minor exceedances of the PWQO for phosphorus, cobalt, boron, iron and/or nitrate were reported at SW-9 and SW-10. While the concentrations of the majority of indicator parameters including sodium, chloride, conductivity, sulphate, hardness, and alkalinity are highly variable, there is generally a stable, to slightly increasing, concentration trend.

Since a significant separation distance from the relatively small active area of landfilling and the stormwater works exists and the stormwater works also serve to re-direct stormwater from the operational areas of the Site, these impacts are interpreted to reflect contributions from the operational area (i.e., the transfer area). It is noted that surface water quality at the pond outlet (i.e., SW-9) generally meets the PWQO, with the exception of minor exceedances for phosphorus, periodically boron, phenols, and iron. Furthermore, it is expected the use of cover (daily and final) and good waste compaction will limit the potential for leachate influenced runoff into the stormwater.

Surface water is subsequently monitored at a downgradient location in the unnamed tributary, within the swampy area and in close proximity to the outflow of the tributary into Arkwright Creek. The water quality at SW-8 is generally similar to background, however, consistent with its downgradient location from the Stormwater Management facility, shows slightly increased concentrations of sodium and chloride. The surface water quality at SW-8 slightly exceeded the PWQO for phosphorus, cobalt, and iron in November 2023.

Arkwright Creek is located to the west of the Site and flows in a northerly direction across the northwest corner of the Site (Figure 5). Surface water quality is monitored at an upstream and downstream location within Arkwright Creek at SW-7 and SW-1, respectively. Based on the results, indicator parameter concentrations at SW-7, which represents the water quality prior to potential influence from the landfill, are similar to concentrations downstream of the landfill (i.e., at SW-1). Therefore, there is no evidence of landfill related impacts to Arkwright Creek.

12.5 Water Quality Summary

In summary, the leachate impacted groundwater is limited to the area directly beneath the landfill, the landfill perimeter, and west (downgradient) of the landfill to approximately 100m. Based on groundwater monitoring, the predominant direction of groundwater flow is to the west and southwest towards Arkwright Creek and the swampy depression. However, historical water level monitoring has indicated that a local hydraulic gradient from the west to the southeast (i.e., a radial flow component) due to groundwater mounding within the refuse pile exists, although on-going water level measurements at these monitoring locations suggests that the localized radial flow has decreased since the final cover was applied to the eastern slope of the landfill mound (Figures 6 and 7).

Historical groundwater quality results indicated that the placement of interim and final cover, completed in 2000 when the Arran Landfill temporarily suspended operations at the Site, was effective in reducing the leachate production and groundwater mounding under the landfill. Historical groundwater quality analyses indicate that the downgradient “leachate plume” was stable and that the upgradient plume was reducing in extent following the placement of the cover over the fill area.

As would be expected, since landfill operations at the Site resumed in October 2012, groundwater quality concentration trends, which had been generally stable to decreasing during the temporary Site closure, have changed in some areas. Consistent with the location of the active area in the eastern portion of the Phase I area, the concentrations of several indicator parameters are showing an increasing trend at monitoring locations located to the south-west of the active fill area (i.e., TW-1, TW-2, TW-10, and TW-12). In addition, seasonal fluctuations for various parameters were historically observed at monitoring location TW-9, and to a lesser extent at TW-27, located to the east of the fill area and along Sideroad 20. While the results are likely indicative of leachate-impacted groundwater at this location, they are also attributed to, at least in part, impacts from road salting along the Municipal Road. It is anticipated that with the placement of final cover along the entire Phase I eastern limit of fill, which was completed in 2016, a decreasing concentration trend will develop over time, ultimately decreasing the magnitude and extent of influence to the east of the landfill.

With the recent installation of TW-25S/D and TW-26 in proximity to the northerly property boundary, groundwater quality along the compliance limit to the north can be more reliably assessed. Consistent with historical results, the water quality continues to meet the RUC and is similar to background conditions with no evidence of landfill-leachate influence. This is consistent with the inferred groundwater flow direction which is predominantly to the west.

Surface water quality monitoring suggests that the Stormwater Management Facility, including the Pond/Detention Facility and grassed swales, are successfully managing the stormwater quantity and quality for run-off from the Phase I portion of the landfill, prior to discharging to the stream courses identified at the Site, including the unnamed tributary and Arkwright Creek. On-going monitoring of surface water quality at the Site shows that the landfill has not had an unacceptable impact to the intermittent tributary/wetland area or Arkwright Creek.

13. TRIGGER MECHANISMS

A trigger mechanism and contingency plan entitled “*Arran Landfill: Trigger Mechanisms and Contingency Plan*” (the Plan) was prepared by GMBP and was provided as an Appendix in the PDO for the Site (revised August 2012). This Plan was updated in December 2017, as is recognized by the ECA amendment issued on December 6, 2017. The Plan describes the conditions (i.e., trigger levels) under which contingency measures may be required and recommends the corresponding contingency and remedial action plans for the Site. The primary goal of the Contingency Plan is to provide a course of action in the event that the monitoring program indicates a potential for off-site impacts related to the production and migration of leachate. The reader is referred to the aforementioned report for more detail pertaining to the rationale in the development of the trigger mechanisms for the Site and for a description of the contingency and remedial action plans for the Site. A brief summary and discussion is provided below.

13.1 Groundwater, Surface Water and Stormwater

Trigger locations are used to indicate potential off-site migration of landfill leachate impacts. Trigger locations at the Arran Landfill Site were established for groundwater at the perimeter of the landfill property, for surface water and for stormwater. Based on the localized and regional shallow groundwater flow directions and the location of landfill development within Phase I relative to the property boundaries, trigger locations were established for the northern, eastern, and western property boundaries and include monitoring wells TW-5, TW-11D, TW-15, TW-16, TW-17, TW-25S and TW-26 and surface water sampling locations SW-8 and SW-1. In addition, one stormwater sampling location is utilized as a trigger location to identify the potential for impacts to surface water, prior to entering the natural system (i.e., SW-9).

Tables 9 and 10 provide summaries of the trigger locations, parameters and levels approved for the Arran Landfill Site and compare the past groundwater and surface water quality data for each compliance location to the established trigger levels.

The measures outlined in the contingency plan will not be activated unless two or more groundwater parameters, or two or more surface water parameters, exceed the trigger levels at the same trigger location for *three consecutive events*. Based on the results presented in Table 9 and 10, while some parameters exceeded the trigger concentrations, based on the 2023 groundwater and surface water quality results the contingency measures are not activated at this time. Therefore, in consideration of the established trigger mechanisms for the Site, the monitoring program does not indicate a potential for off-site impacts related to the production and migration of leachate at this time.

13.2 Leachate Indicators

Although the site is intended to be a natural attenuation site where impacts beyond the property boundaries above the RUC and PWQO are not anticipated, leachate is expected to be generated within the waste mound at the site and decrease in concentration with increased distance from the active landfill mound, decreasing to below the RUC by the site's property boundaries. As a result, trigger locations were also established for groundwater adjacent to the landfill as "leachate indicators" that may predict future exceedances at the property boundary. Monitoring wells that are situated within or in proximity to the approved Phase I area and are currently considered "leachate" contingency monitoring locations include wells TW-1, TW-2, TW-10, TW-12, TW-13, TW-22, TW-23 and TW-24. A trigger exceedance for leachate is considered to occur when the chloride concentration exceeds an average of 445 mg/L over two consecutive monitoring events. Currently, chloride concentrations remain below 445 mg/L. Therefore, impacts beyond the property boundary are not expected based on current monitoring results and no contingency plan actions are considered necessary at this time.

14. LANDFILL GAS MEASUREMENT

Methane is a colorless and odourless gas formed by the decomposition of organic matter under oxygen poor (anaerobic) conditions and is commonly associated with landfills. It is produced by anaerobic bacteria, which become active only when the oxygen in the landfill has been completely consumed. The primary concern related to this parameter is that, under certain conditions, the mixture of methane in air can be explosive within a confined area. Methane gas is measured relative to the lower explosive limit (LEL) which corresponds to 5% the concentration of methane in air.

Gas sampling at the three gas monitoring locations G-1, G-2, and G-3 (located east of the Lot 20/21 roadway) has consistently indicated that there is no off-site migration of methane gas. Oxygen and methane levels as well as the Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) have been measured in each gas probe since 1993, results are summarized in Table 11.

In 2023 the oxygen levels remained greater than 19% (measured as % by volume). Historically, oxygen levels in the gas probes have ranged between 12.1% and 21.7%. It is noted that the more oxygen present in a landfill, the longer aerobic bacteria can decompose waste producing only carbon dioxide and water as by-products. LEL measurements for all gas monitoring locations produced readings between 0% and 1%. Historically, methane gas and LEL measurements from the gas probes have typically produced readings of zero (0) with the periodic detection of up to 2% methane. Based on the relatively high levels of oxygen recorded in each gas probe, it appears that the system is aerobic in the vicinity of the gas probes. In general, methane gas is not detected within the gas monitors, and we expect that landfill gases being produced are readily vented to the surface through the soils.

Currently, there are no structures within several hundred metres of the landfill, where the accumulation of methane would occur (if present). Therefore, no threats are evident from the landfill due to methane gas production. New structures built at the site are to be vented or separated from the ground surface as per the approved PDO.

15. CONCLUSIONS

The Phase I area at the Arran Landfill site encompasses 4.45 ha (11.0 acres) on the northern portion of the property. This area generally encompasses the previously approved 1.73 ha area and, as of the Site's re-opening in 2012, provides capacity for approximately 205,000 m³ for waste and daily cover. As of the end of 2023, the remaining capacity at the Arran Landfill is estimated to be 167,969 m³ for waste and interim cover. Based on an average fill rate over the last five years of 3,523 m³, the remaining Site life is estimated to be 47 years. It is noted that the volume for final cover of 25,300 m³ remains unused.

2. There is no evidence of impacts to surface water above the PWQO that are directly attributable to landfilling at the Site.
3. Based on our review of groundwater results, groundwater quality generally meets the objectives of MECP Guideline B-7.
4. Based on the established trigger mechanisms for the Site, the monitoring program does not indicate a potential for off-site impacts related to the production and migration of leachate at this time.

16. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. We recommend that landfill gas production at the site continue to be monitored in conjunction with the established water quality monitoring program. The monitoring of landfill gas at the Site should include the measurement of methane and oxygen levels as well as the LEL.
2. We recommend the continuation of monitoring the northern and western property boundary utilizing a combination of indicator parameters to identify leachate impacts from the landfill, since the elevated alkalinity, hardness, sulphate, and TDS alone, are not likely due to landfill leachate.
3. We recommend that daily, intermediate and final cover be placed, as per the requirements outlined in Condition 37 the ECA for Landfilling, and that areas in which interim and final cover have been historically placed are maintained. General site maintenance including litter pick-up and the removal of waste diversion materials should continue to be completed on a regular basis.
4. We recommend a topographic survey be completed in the Fall of 2024 to allow for an estimation of landfilling rates and to ensure waste placement continues to occur within the Phase I area, as outlined in the PDO.
5. We recommend that as closure approaches in Stage 2 that preparation for completion of the base in Stage 3 (including leachate contingency piping) be considered by the Municipality.

6. We recommend that the monitoring of the groundwater and surface water locations and parameters continue twice per year, in the spring and fall, as outlined in below:

TABLE 3A: Summary of Groundwater Quality Monitoring Locations and Parameters

MONITORING LOCATIONS			MONITORING PARAMETERS
Sampling Location	Spring	Fall	
TW-1	X	X	
TW-2	X	X	
TW-5	X		
TW-10	X	X	
TW-11D	X		
TW-12	X	X	
TW-13	X	X	
TW-14	X		
TW-15	X	X	
TW-15D	X		
TW-16	X		
TW-17	X		
TW-22	X		
TW-23	X		
TW-24	X	X	
TW-25S	X	X	
TW-25D	X		
TW-26	X	X	
TW-27	X	X	

Conductivity, pH,
Alkalinity, Hardness,
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)
Chloride, Sulphate
Nitrate, Nitrite
Ammonia, TKN, Organic Nitrogen
Iron, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium and Sodium

TABLE 3B: Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring Locations and Parameters


MONITORING LOCATIONS			MONITORING PARAMETERS
Sampling Location	Spring	Fall	
SW-1 (Arkwright Creek: Downgradient)	X	X	
SW-5 (Un-named Tributary: Upgradient)	X	X	
SW-7 (Arkwright Creek: Upgradient)	X	X	
SW-8 (Un-named Tributary: Downgradient Outflow)	X	X	
SW-9 (SWM Wetland)	X	X	
SW-10 (In SWM Permanent Pool)	X	X	

Conductivity, pH, Alkalinity, Hardness
TDS, TSS, cBOD, COD, Phenols,
Chloride, Sulphate, Total Phosphorus,
Nitrate, Nitrite, Ammonia (Un-ionized), TKN,
Metals (As, B, Ba, Ca, Co, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg,
Na, K, Pb, Hg and Zn) and Field Temperature

All of which is respectfully submitted,

GM BLUEPLAN ENGINEERING LIMITED

Per:



K. Charpontier, C.E.T.



M.D. Nelson, M.Sc., P.Eng., P.Geo.

TABLES:

**TABLE 1
LANDFILL VOLUME CAPACITY**

Landfill Volume Capacity (m³) - Arran Landfill												
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
<u>Total Approved Capacity</u>												
Total Capacity for Waste and Daily Cover	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000	247,000
Total Capacity for Final Cover (incl Topsoil)	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000	33,000
Total Air Space Capacity	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000
<u>Volume Filled at Beginning of Year</u>												
Volume of Waste and Daily Cover	41,800	43,050	46,025	48,205	51,965	55,165	58,765	61,415	63,940	67,130	71,155	73,795
Volume of Final Cover	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
Total Volume Filled	47,000	48,250	51,225	53,405	57,165	62,865	66,465	69,115	71,640	74,830	78,855	81,495
<u>Available Capacity at Beginning of Year</u>												
Capacity for Waste and Daily Cover	205,200	203,950	200,975	198,795	195,035	191,835	188,235	185,585	183,060	179,870	175,845	173,205
Capacity for Final Cover	27,800	27,800	27,800	27,800	27,800	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300
Total Available Capacity	233,000	231,750	228,775	226,595	222,835	217,135	213,535	210,885	208,360	205,170	201,145	198,505
<u>Capacity Used During Year</u>												
Capacity Used for Waste and Daily Cover	1,250	2,975	2,180	3,760	3,200	3,600	2,650	2,525	3,190	4,025	2,640	5,236
Capacity Used for Final Cover	0	0	0	0	2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Capacity Used	1,250	2,975	2,180	3,760	5,700	3,600	2,650	2,525	3,190	4,025	2,640	5,236
<u>Volume Filled at End of Year</u>												
Volume of Waste and Daily Cover	43,050	46,025	48,205	51,965	55,165	58,765	61,415	63,940	67,130	71,155	73,795	79,031
Volume of Final Cover	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
Total Volume Filled	48,250	51,225	53,405	57,165	62,865	66,465	69,115	71,640	74,830	78,855	81,495	86,731
<u>Remaining Capacity at End of Year</u>												
Capacity for Waste and Daily Cover	203,950	200,975	198,795	195,035	191,835	188,235	185,585	183,060	179,870	175,845	173,205	167,969
Capacity for Final Cover	27,800	27,800	27,800	27,800	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300	25,300
Total Remaining Capacity	231,750	228,775	226,595	222,835	217,135	213,535	210,885	208,360	205,170	201,145	198,505	193,269
<u>Remaining Site Life (Years)</u>												
At Average Fill Rate (5-year)	3,523	57.9	57.0	56.4	55.4	54.4	53.4	52.7	52.0	51.1	49.9	57.6
At Maximum Fill Rate	6,000	34.0	33.5	33.1	32.5	32.0	31.4	30.9	30.5	30.0	29.3	28.9

Notes:

1. Landfill activities were suspended in 2002 and resumed in October of 2012 under a new PDO with expanded capacity.
2. The total approved capacity is based on that outlined in the *Plan of Development and Operation - Phase I* for the Arran Landfill (February 2011, Revised August 2012) and includes the 47,000 m³ historically placed within Areas A, B1 and C.
3. The maximum fill rate is based on the approved maximum annual amount of 6,000 m³, as outlined in Condition 27 of the Approval, as amended.
4. The estimated fill rates are based on topographical surveys of the active areas completed by GMBP annually in the Fall.
5. Additional capacity may be available in areas where additional waste placement requires the removal of previously placed final cover material.

TABLE 2
RECYCLABLE MATERIALS DIVERTED FROM THE ARRAN LANDFILL SITE
BY THE MUNICIPALITY OF ARRAN-ELDERSLIE

Recyclable Material	Units	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Newspaper	Tonnes (metric)	131.39	142.07	113.94	83.11	98.42	69.86
Steel Cans		27.80	30.06	28.91	26.14	30.95	22.26
Aluminium		8.58	9.28	14.16	13.67	16.19	15.10
Mixed Glass		56.80	61.42	71.46	60.80	72.00	70.97
HDPE Plastic		26.78	28.96	28.47	26.63	31.54	31.28
PET Plastic		37.27	40.30	39.25	38.41	45.49	46.35
White Paper		4.49	4.85	2.79	5.64	6.68	N/A
Boxboard		44.99	48.65	39.51	45.78	54.21	54.64
Cardboard		121.46	138.15	126.80	113.23	152.95	133.71
Total Blue Box Materials Diverted:		459.56	503.74	465.29	413.41	508.43	444.17
Other Diverted Materials							
Compost (including brush)	Tonnes	67.18	181.11	155.59	105.39	104.26	72.72
Wood / Brush	Tonnes	44.45	191.79	228.48	144.40	257.77	238.33
Mattresses	Tonnes	13.7	35.45	12.29	14.67	14.24	28.11
E-Waste (OES)	Tonnes	8.1	6.2	1.1	4.63	6.0	7.61
Clothing Donation Bin	Tonnes	0.45	N/A	N/A	3.27	0.9	0.31
Municipal Hazardous and Special Waste	Tonnes	8.82	8.04	0.00	8.39	8.04	7.66
Refrigeration Units	Tonnes	N/A	0.19	5.78	6.81	4.77	6.46
Clean Farms (Grain bags, containers, etc.)	Tonnes	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.03	12.7	25.71
Batteries	Tonnes	0.55	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Propane Tanks (~17 lbs per unit empty)	Tonnes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Scrap Metal (including White Goods)	Tonnes	17.00	24.85	32.02	46.28	51.88	59.52
Tires	Units	N/A	N/A	No tires were picked-up	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tonnes	26.00	7.80		24.01	14.89	3.4
Foam Packaging (EPS)	Volume (m3)	NC	NC	NC	105.5	NC	NC
	Tonnes	NC	NC	NC	0.614	NC	NC
Total (Wood and Compost) (Tonnes)		111.6	372.9	384.1	249.8	362.0	311.1
Total (Not including Wood and Compost) (Tonnes)		74.6	82.6	51.2	118.7	113.5	138.8

Notes:

1. Municipal Hazardous and Special Wastes are collected through the
2. Diversion estimates reflect diversion from the entire Municipality of Arran-Elderslie.
3. N/A = Information not available; NC = Not collected at that time.
4. Propane tanks are also included in the MHSW collection.

TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND GROUNDWATER QUALITY

Well ID	TW-1	TW-2	TW-10	TW-1, TW-2, and TW-10		
	Range of Measurements (mg/L)			Average ¹	95 th Percentile ¹	Range
Parameter	<i>Number of Data Points Shown in Brackets – (n)</i>					
Conductivity (µmho/cm)	510 – 767 (28)	398 – 885 (28)	397 - 939 (31)	622 (87)	794 (87)	397 – 939
Chloride	0.82 – 13.9 (28)	0.4 – 7.4 (27)	<0.01 – 27.5 (31)	2.97 (87)	8.35 (87)	<0.01 – 27.5
Hardness	293 – 458 (27)	260 – 566 (28)	241 – 502 (30)	348 (85)	495 (85)	241 – 566
Iron	<0.01 – 0.89 (15)	<0.01 – 1.13 (17)	<0.01 – 1.59 (20)	0.27 (52)	1.04 (52)	<0.01 – 1.59
TDS	260 – 475 (11)	240 – 526 (12)	240 – 369 (12)	338 (35)	485 (35)	240 – 526
Nitrate	<0.01 – 1.2 (26)	0.01 – 0.69 (26)	<0.01 – 1.95 (29)	0.38 (81)	1.36 (81)	<0.01 – 1.95
Nitrite	0.001 – 0.07 (26)	0.005 – 0.03 (26)	0.003 – 0.41 (29)	0.06 (81)	0.20 (81)	0.001 – 0.41
Ammonia	0.017 – 2.2 (26)	0.01 – 1.0 (24)	0.01 – 2.4 (28)	0.62 (78)	1.77 (78)	0.01 – 2.4
TKN	0.33 – 6.2 (23)	0.15 – 6.7 (23)	0.41 – 8.2 (24)	1.93 (70)	6.16 (70)	0.15 – 8.2
Organic Nitrogen	0.25 – 5.78 (23)	0.14 – 6.7 (23)	0.13 – 7.17 (23)	1.35 (69)	5.70 (69)	0.13 – 7.17
Sulphate	<0.1 – 69.8 (16)	4.3 – 164 (17)	<0.1 – 33.0 (18)	19.2 (51)	68.7 (51)	<0.1 – 164
pH	6.99 – 8.33 (28)	6.99 – 7.94 (28)	7.28 – 8.26 (31)	7.59 (87)	8.05 (87)	6.99 – 8.33
Alkalinity	244 – 790 (24)	160 – 471 (24)	198 – 435 (26)	321 (74)	431 (74)	198 – 790
Sodium	<0.5 – 21 (16)	1.3 – 10.8 (17)	7.41 – 20.5 (18)	9.2 (51)	19.8 (51)	<0.5 – 20.5
Barium	<0.01 – 0.13 (4)	<0.01 – 0.14 (4)	<0.05 – 0.26 (4)	0.076 (12)	0.19 (12)	<0.01 – 0.26
Boron	0.02 – 0.04 (4)	<0.01 – 0.01 (4)	<0.05 – 0.14 (4)	0.043 (12)	0.11 (12)	<0.01 – 0.14

Notes:

1. The reported detection limit was used for calculation of average when values were reported as less than sample detection limits.
2. All available data from 1984 to 2002 was used to calculate background concentrations.
3. All data presented in mg/L = milligrams per liter unless otherwise noted.
4. µmho/cm = micromho per centimeter

**TABLE 5
GROUNDWATER QUALITY INDICATOR PARAMETERS**

Parameter	Background Concentration (Cb)	Maximum Concentration (Cr)	Objective Level (Cm)
Conductivity (umho/cm)	622	NV	NV
Chloride (mg/L)	2.99	250 (AO)	126
Hardness (mg/L) (as CaCO ₃)	495	80 – 100 (OG)	495
Iron (mg/L)	0.27	0.30 (AO)	0.29
TDS (mg/L)	338	500 (AO)	419
Nitrate (mg/L) (as nitrogen)	0.38	10.0 (MAC)	2.8
Nitrite (mg/L) (as nitrogen)	0.06	1.0 (MAC)	0.3
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.62	NV	NV
TKN (mg/L)	1.93	NV	NV
Organic Nitrogen (mg/L)	5.7	0.15 (OG)	5.7
Sulphate (mg/L)	19.2	500 (AO)	260
pH	6.99 – 8.33	6.5 - 8.5 (OG)	6.5 – 8.5
Alkalinity (mg/L) (as CaCO ₃)	321	30 - 500 (OG)	410
Sodium (mg/L)	9.2	200 (AO)	105
Barium (mg/L)	0.076	1.0 (MAC)	0.31
Boron (mg/L)	0.043	5.0 (IMAC)	1.28

Notes:

1. All available data from wells TW-1, TW-2, and TW-10 collected from 1984 to 2002 was used to calculate background concentrations. A summary of the data used for the analysis of background groundwater quality is provided in Table 4.
2. mg/L = milligrams per litre; umho/cm = micromho per centimetre; NV = No Value.
3. AO = Aesthetic Objective
OG = Operational Guideline
MAC = Maximum Acceptable Concentration, Parameters Related to Health
IMAC = Interim Maximum Acceptable Concentration, Parameters Related to Health

MOECC Procedure B-7-1: $C_m = C_b + x(C_r - C_b)$

Where:

- C_m = Maximum concentration acceptable in groundwater beneath an adjacent property.
- C_b = Background concentration.
- C_r = Maximum concentration that should be present in groundwater for domestic consumption according to the Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS).
- x = 0.5 for non-health related parameters and 0.25 for health related parameters.

4. As per MOECC email correspondence dated September 28, 2016, for parameters in which the background concentration (i.e. the average concentration) exceeds the ODWS, the 95th-percentile should be used to represent the RUC for the Site. These parameters are shown in **BOLD**.

TABLE 6
SURFACE WATER
PROVINCIAL WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES (PWQO)

PARAMETER	CONCENTRATION	NOTES
Chloride	120 mg/L	4
Ammonia (un-ionized)	0.02 mg/L	
Alkalinity	NV	1
Arsenic	0.1 mg/L	
Barium (Ba)	NV	
Boron (B)	0.2 mg/L	2
Cadmium (Cd)	0.0002 mg/L	
Chromium (Cr)	0.001 mg/L	Cr(VI)
Copper (Cu)	0.005 mg/L	
Iron (Fe)	0.3 mg/L	
Lead (Pb)	0.025 mg/L	
Zinc (Zn)	0.03 mg/L	
Hardness	NV	
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	NV	
Specific Conductance	NV	
Field temperature	NV	
Nitrate (as N)	3 mg/L	3
Nitrite	NV	
pH	6.5 to 8.5	
Sulphate	NV	
Phenols	0.001 mg/L	
Iron	0.3 mg/L	
Total Phosphorus	0.03 mg/L	2

Notes:

1. Should not be decreased to more than 25% of the natural concentration.
2. Interim PWQO - Objective concentration currently under development
3. The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Canadian Water Quality Guideline (CWQG) for long-term exposure to Nitrate (as N) is 3.0 mg/L.
4. The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) Canadian Water Quality Guideline (CWQG) for long-term exposure to Chloride is 120 mg/L.
5. NV = No Value

**TABLE 7
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY ANALYSES - 2022 and 2023**

Parameter Sample Location Sampling Date	Background (mg/L)	ODWS (mg/L)	RUC (mg/L)	Upgradient (East)											
				TW-27				TW-11D		TW-15				TW-15D	
				2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	11-Apr-23	2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	11-Apr-23
Conductivity (µS/cm)	622	NV	NV	1100	1100	1100	990	680	530	570	590	520	530	610	600
Chloride	2.97	250	126	66	65	58	57	1.7	3.7	2.2	2.9	2.0	2.0	2.6	1.0
Hardness	495	100	495	560	540	530	550	390	400	330	350	330	350	340	340
Iron	0.27	0.30	0.29	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.10	<0.02	<0.02
TDS	338	500	419	575	590	625	640	325	425	300	330	315	330	280	320
Nitrate	0.38	10.0	2.8	0.22	0.53	0.32	0.30	0.14	<0.10	0.33	0.21	0.34	0.30	<0.10	0.12
Nitrite	0.06	1.0	0.3	0.039	0.059	0.049	0.026	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.020
Ammonia	0.62	NV	NV	0.59	0.54	0.22	0.61	0.11	0.16	0.13	0.17	<0.05	<0.05	0.32	0.29
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	1.93	NV	NV	0.79	0.83	0.49	0.77	0.10	0.20	0.19	0.57	0.17	0.15	0.34	0.41
Organic Nitrogen	5.7	0.15	5.7	0.20	0.30	0.27	0.15	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.40	0.17	0.15	<0.10	0.12
Sulphate	19.2	500	260	36	43	43	38	13	16	6.2	23	13	7.8	22	16
pH (pH Units)	6.99 - 8.33	6.5 to 8.5		7.91	7.99	7.94	7.99	8.01	8.01	8.12	8.16	8.08	8.11	8.05	8.04
Alkalinity	321	500	410	490	500	480	400	370	280	310	340	280	290	310	310
Sodium	9.2	200	105	40	40	38	40	3.7	3.9	2.4	4.2	2.5	2.4	4.7	4.9
Calcium	NA	NV	NV	120	100	110	110	90	92	84	83	83	86	80	79
Magnesium	NA	NV	NV	67	69	63	69	40	40	29	35	30	32	33	34
Potassium	NA	NV	NV	9.0	11	8.0	11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.72	<1.0	1.0

Parameter Sample Location Sampling Date	Background (mg/L)	ODWS (mg/L)	RUC (mg/L)	Cross-Gradient (South)													
				TW-1*				TW-2*				TW-10*				TW-22	
				2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	11-Apr-23
Conductivity (µS/cm)	622	NV	NV	930	920	820	930	930	ISW	1100	1100	1200	1100	1300	1500	540	540
Chloride	2.97	250	126	39	42	46	42	14		51	43	150	130	160	160	2.2	1.7
Hardness	495	100	495	520	530	530	590	520		680	640	530	530	630	730	290	290
Iron	0.27	0.30	0.29	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.10	<0.02		<0.02	<0.10	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.10	<0.02	<0.02
TDS	338	500	419	410	585	545	655	550		895	920	615	670	840	970	240	315
Nitrate	0.38	10.0	2.8	0.18	0.46	0.28	1.1	<0.10		0.99	0.10	0.14	0.82	1.9	2.3	<0.10	<0.10
Nitrite	0.06	1.0	0.3	0.053	0.322	0.100	<0.010	<0.010		<0.010	<0.010	0.061	0.934	0.089	0.088	<0.010	<0.010
Ammonia	0.62	NV	NV	0.80	0.86	0.74	0.06	0.24		0.07	0.16	2.5	2.7	1.5	1.3	1.9	1.7
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	1.93	NV	NV	0.83	1.2	0.93	0.29	0.51		2.1	1.0	3.0	3.7	3.6	2.7	2.1	2.0
Organic Nitrogen	5.7	0.15	5.7	<0.10	0.35	0.19	0.23	0.27		2.0	0.86	0.54	0.99	2.1	1.4	0.23	0.30
Sulphate	19.2	500	260	13	15	19	36	180		300	180	10	26	64	100	<1.0	<1.0
pH (pH Units)	6.99 - 8.33	6.5 to 8.5		7.98	7.90	8.08	8.00	7.81		7.93	7.85	7.88	7.90	8.08	7.99	8.08	8.06
Alkalinity	321	500	410	450	480	370	400	330		250	320	370	400	330	410	300	290
Sodium	9.2	200	105	7.7	11	9.8	12	18		28	25	38	41	59	83	6.9	7.5
Calcium	NA	NV	NV	130	130	130	140	150		190	180	110	110	130	140	67	68
Magnesium	NA	NV	NV	50	52	52	58	36		50	49	65	65	77	89	29	30
Potassium	NA	NV	NV	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	<1.0	0.66	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.6	<1.0	1.0	

Notes:

1. ODWS = Ontario Drinking Water Standards (June 2003, Revised June 2006)
2. NV = No value specified.
3. NA = Not Applicable; these compounds were measured to establish baseline concentrations, results will be compared to the ODWS.
4. Values in **bold** represent results greater than the ODWS.
5. Shaded values represent results greater than the Reasonable Use Criteria.
6. Samples analyzed by Maxxam Analytics Inc.
7. Results presented in mg/L (milligrams per litre) unless otherwise specified.
8. TDS = Total Dissolved Solids.
9. *Monitoring wells TW-1, TW-2, TW-4, TW-5, TW-8, TW-9 and TW-10 were not constructed in accordance with current standards.

**TABLE 8
SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY RESULTS AND COMPARISON TO THE PWQO (2022 and 2023)**

Parameter	Sample Location PWQO (mg/L)	SW-7 Arkwright Creek Upstream				SW-1 Arkwright Creek Downstream				SW-5 Unnamed Tributary Upstream		
		2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23
Field pH (pH Units)	6.5 to 8.5	6.97	7.68	NV	7.59	7.10	7.96	NV	NV	6.69	NV	7.40
Field Temperature	NV	8.7	5.9	NV	6.5	8.8	11.8	NV	NV	9.1	NV	7.8
Conductivity (Field)	NV	412	892	NV	0.591	412	437	NV	NV	433	NV	0.540
Hardness	NV	310	380	230	340	290	280	240	340	350	330	330
Total Ammonia	NV	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.06
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	NV	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	3.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	5.0	<2.0
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	NV	19	140	11	77	17	31	15	34	16	14	28
Conductivity	NV	610	1000	440	660	540	550	450	620	620	580	590
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	NV	270	765	245	375	230	360	260	310	270	335	340
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	NV	0.38	0.97	0.19	0.45	0.32	0.44	0.30	0.12	0.38	0.32	0.38
pH (pH Units)	6.5 to 8.5	8.28	7.97	8.22	8.50	8.39	8.31	8.23	8.45	8.13	8.18	8.44
Phenols	0.001	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Total Phosphorus	0.03	0.013	0.049	0.009	0.130	0.015	0.034	0.008	0.023	0.023	0.040	0.046
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NV	5.0	54	3.0	27	6.0	6.0	3.0	<10	4.0	5.0	17
Sulphate	NV	<1.0	310	12	11	<1.0	37	13	18	<1.0	6.5	5.1
Alkalinity	NV ²	300	160	210	330	270	280	210	290	330	320	320
Chloride	120 ¹	19	55	10	21	14	7.9	11	15	8.6	9.3	4.7
Nitrite	NV	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.011	<0.010	0.013	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
Nitrate (as N)	3.0 ¹	<0.10	<0.10	1.7	0.11	1.1	0.21	1.5	3.4	0.16	0.10	<0.10
Mercury (Hg)	0.0002	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Arsenic (As)	0.1	<0.0010	0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Barium (Ba)	NV	0.015	0.048	0.011	0.034	0.014	0.018	0.011	0.017	0.012	0.015	0.014
Boron (B)	0.2	0.052	0.420	0.017	0.083	0.014	0.020	0.017	0.018	0.015	0.014	0.013
Cadmium (Cd)	0.0002	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009	0.00019	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009	<0.00009
Chromium (Cr)	0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
Cobalt (Co)	0.0009	<0.0005	0.0005	<0.0005	0.0014	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
Copper (Cu)	0.005	0.0009	0.0045	0.0012	0.0049	<0.0009	<0.0009	0.0011	0.0012	<0.0009	<0.0009	0.0011
Iron (Fe)	0.3	0.13	0.33	0.14	2.3	0.26	0.32	0.10	0.23	0.12	0.15	0.48
Lead (Pb)	0.025	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0020	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
Potassium (K)	NV	2.8	25	1.4	5.0	1.6	0.93	1.5	1.9	0.53	1.8	2.5
Sodium (Na)	NV	13	44	6.7	17	7.6	4.6	6.8	8.6	6.5	7.2	4.9
Zinc (Zn)	0.03	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.017	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.009

Notes:

1. There is no PWQO for Chloride or Nitrate (as N), therefore the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for long term concentrations were used.
2. Should not be decreased to more than 25% of the natural concentration.
3. NV = No Value

**TABLE 8
SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER QUALITY RESULTS AND COMPARISON TO THE PWQO (2022 and 2023)**

Parameter	Sample Location PWQO (mg/L) Sampling Date	SW-8 Swamp Area Downstream of Tributary			SW-9 Stormwater Management Pond Outlet			SW-10 Stormwater Management Pond			
		2-May-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23	2-May-22	6-Oct-22	11-Apr-23	17-Nov-23
Field pH (pH Units)	6.5 to 8.5	7.11	NV	7.62	7.12	NV	7.46	6.92	7.54	NV	7.40
Field Temperature	NV	8.9	NV	6.7	8.6	NV	6.8	9.3	9.7	NV	6.9
Conductivity (Field)	NV	418	NV	0.648	624	NV	0.681	617	791	NV	1.446
Hardness	NV	320	280	340	340	310	400	320	380	300	360
Total Ammonia	NV	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.08	1.0	0.60	<0.05	<0.05	0.52	0.37
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	NV	<2.0	<2.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	<2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	NV	15	12	160	59	56	49	50	67	45	46
Conductivity	NV	620	530	660	910	790	1100	810	1000	790	990
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	NV	285	295	395	440	485	650	380	785	435	625
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	NV	0.39	0.33	0.61	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.2	0.89	1.6	1.1
pH (pH Units)	6.5 to 8.5	8.31	8.24	8.42	8.22	8.20	8.43	8.16	7.95	8.15	8.28
Phenols	0.001	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Total Phosphorus	0.03	0.012	<0.004	0.330	0.150	0.046	0.044	0.064	0.370	0.025	0.025
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NV	2.0	1.0	340	140	74	<10	52	320	8.0	<10
Sulphate	NV	<1.0	12	11	50	78	72	40	310	87	64
Alkalinity	NV ²	310	260	320	330	280	360	320	160	260	340
Chloride	120 ¹	20	19	22	68	44	73	43	56	35	66
Nitrite	NV	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	0.047	0.045	<0.010	0.016	0.154	0.031
Nitrate (as N)	3.0 ¹	<0.10	<0.10	0.12	0.48	1.5	1.3	<0.10	<0.10	3.8	1.2
Mercury (Hg)	0.0002	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010
Arsenic (As)	0.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	0.0011	0.0013	<0.0010	0.0011	0.0075	<0.0010	<0.0010
Barium (Ba)	NV	0.014	0.017	0.031	0.030	0.037	0.037	0.033	0.170	0.034	0.036
Boron (B)	0.2	0.053	0.063	0.083	0.400	0.360	0.440	0.380	0.410	0.400	0.360
Cadmium (Cd)	0.0002	<0.00009	<0.00009	0.00018	<0.00009	0.00010	<0.00009	<0.00009	0.00019	<0.00009	<0.00009
Chromium (Cr)	0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.032	<0.005	<0.005
Cobalt (Co)	0.0009	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0013	0.0008	0.0013	0.0005	0.0011	0.0160	0.0005	<0.0005
Copper (Cu)	0.005	<0.0009	0.0011	0.0047	0.0017	0.0044	0.0029	0.0019	0.0320	0.0039	0.0032
Iron (Fe)	0.3	<0.10	<0.10	2.2	0.57	0.70	0.12	1.1	33	0.17	<0.10
Lead (Pb)	0.025	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0021	<0.0005	0.0007	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0120	<0.0005	<0.0005
Potassium (K)	NV	2.7	3.8	4.8	21	23	23	19	27	23	21
Sodium (Na)	NV	14	13	16	61	52	70	53	41	46	62
Zinc (Zn)	0.03	<0.005	<0.005	0.016	0.006	0.009	<0.005	0.006	0.075	<0.005	<0.005

Notes:

1. There is no PWQO for Chloride or Nitrate (as N), therefore the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for long term concentrations were
2. Should not be decreased to more than 25% of the natural concentration.
3. NV = No Value

**TABLE 9
GROUNDWATER QUALITY COMPARISON TO TRIGGER LEVELS**

Location	Date	Chloride	Iron	Nitrate	Nitrite	Sodium	Alkalinity	Hardness	Sulphate	
Trigger Levels		95	1.19	2.1	0.31	79	593	425	195	
East Property Boundary	TW11D	Apr-15	4.0	<0.02	0.32	<0.01	3.9	340	400	20
	TW11D	Apr-16	3.3	<0.02	0.25	<0.01	3.8	350	380	21
	TW11D	Apr-17	3.6	0.02	0.11	<0.010	3.8	340	370	23
	TW11D	Apr-18	3.1	<0.02	0.16	<0.010	3.9	370	390	20
	TW11D	Apr-19	3.3	0.18	0.16	<0.010	3.8	380	350	18
	TW11D	Apr-20	2.6	<0.02	0.18	<0.010	3.9	370	420	17
	TW11D	May-21	2.2	<0.02	0.16	<0.010	3.5	380	390	16
	TW11D	May-22	1.7	<0.02	0.14	<0.010	3.7	370	390	13
	TW11D	Apr-23	3.7	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	3.9	280	400	16
	TW15	Apr-15	3.0	<0.02	0.43	<0.01	2.5	300	340	10
	TW15	Nov-15	2.6	<0.02	0.43	<0.01	2.9	340	380	9.3
	TW15	Apr-16	2.1	<0.02	0.44	<0.01	3.0	340	360	12
	TW15	Nov-16	2.0	<0.02	0.39	<0.01	2.8	350	380	7.7
	TW15	Apr-17	1.8	<0.02	0.42	<0.010	2.3	290	300	11
	TW15	Oct-17	1.6	<0.02	0.11	<0.010	2.4	330	330	5.6
	TW15	Apr-18	2.6	<0.02	0.36	<0.010	2.6	350	370	13
	TW15	Oct-18	2.2	<0.02	0.28	<0.010	2.4	340	340	7.2
	TW15	Apr-19	2.4	<0.02	0.41	<0.010	2.6	360	340	9.9
	TW15	Nov-19	2.4	<0.02	0.32	<0.010	2.4	350	350	6.3
	TW15	Apr-20	2.0	<0.02	0.46	<0.010	2.6	330	350	10.0
	TW15	Oct-20	2.8	<0.02	0.80	<0.010	-	340	350	7.5
	TW15	May-21	2.8	<0.02	0.45	<0.010	2.3	330	340	9.4
	TW15	Nov-21	2.7	<0.02	0.30	<0.010	2.4	360	360	8.7
	TW15	May-22	2.2	<0.02	0.33	<0.010	2.4	310	330	6.2
	TW15	Oct-22	2.9	<0.02	0.21	<0.010	4.2	340	350	23.0
	TW15	Apr-23	2.0	<0.02	0.34	<0.010	2.5	280	330	13.0
	TW15	Nov-23	2.0	<0.1	0.30	<0.010	2.4	290	350	7.8
	West Property Boundary	TW5	Apr-15	3.0	<0.02	<0.1	0.127	23	420	510
TW5		Apr-16	2.8	<0.02	<0.1	<0.01	21	440	490	76
TW5		Apr-17	2.7	<0.02	<0.10	0.020	23	430	490	81
TW5		Apr-18	3.1	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	23	450	500	73
TW5		Apr-19	2.9	<0.02	<0.10	0.01	19	450	410	64
TW5		Apr-20	2.8	<0.02	0.17	0.05	22	420	470	71
TW5		May-21	3.1	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	19	420	460	65
TW5		May-22	2.5	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	20	420	470	55
TW5		Apr-23	4.0	<0.02	<0.10	0.01	18	360	480	62
TW16		Apr-15	2.0	<0.02	<0.1	<0.01	22	310	330	35
TW16		Apr-16	2.5	<0.02	<0.1	<0.01	23	320	340	36
TW16		Apr-17	3.4	<0.02	0.10	<0.010	20	310	320	36
TW16		Apr-18	5.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	21	330	330	34
TW16		Apr-19	5.1	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	21	310	300	34
TW16		Apr-20	6.6	<0.02	0.12	<0.010	20	320	340	33
TW16		May-21	9.1	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	18	330	330	32
TW16		May-22	11.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	20	330	360	28
TW16		Apr-23	4.3	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	21	290	330	29
TW17		Apr-15	3.0	<0.02	0.20	0.097	13	250	260	17
TW17		Apr-16	2.6	<0.02	<0.1	0.036	13	260	260	20
TW17		Apr-17	2.2	<0.02	0.23	0.107	11	230	230	18
TW17	Apr-18	2.5	<0.02	0.22	0.08	13	260	260	21	
TW17	Apr-19	3.0	<0.02	0.36	0.07	12	260	250	19	
TW17	Apr-20	21.0	<0.02	0.45	0.08	12	250	250	18	
TW17	May-21	2.5	<0.02	0.21	0.07	11	240	240	19	
TW17	May-22	2.5	<0.02	0.32	0.05	12	250	260	19	
TW17	Apr-23	2.5	<0.02	0.27	0.10	12	240	260	20	

**TABLE 9
GROUNDWATER QUALITY COMPARISON TO TRIGGER LEVELS**

Location	Date	Chloride	Iron	Nitrate	Nitrite	Sodium	Alkalinity	Hardness	Sulphate	
Trigger Levels		95	1.19	2.1	0.31	79	593	425	195	
North Property Boundary	TW25S	Nov-16	7.1	<0.02	<0.1	<0.01	40	340	320	45
	TW25S	Apr-17	4.5	<0.02	0.22	<0.010	43	350	330	62
	TW25S	Oct-17	3.6	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	46	360	320	48
	TW25S	Apr-18	2.7	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	43	360	350	64
	TW25S	Oct-18	2.9	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	40	360	320	48
	TW25S	Apr-19	2.3	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	41	340	340	61
	TW25S	Nov-19	2.3	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	39	350	310	46
	TW25S	Apr-20	1.8	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	40	350	340	56
	TW25S	Oct-20	2.6	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	-	350	320	47
	TW25S	May-21	2.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	38	340	320	57
	TW25S	Nov-21	2.2	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	38	340	300	47
	TW25S	May-22	1.7	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	39	340	340	56
	TW25S	Oct-22	1.9	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	39	360	330	57
	TW25S	Apr-23	<1.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	40	340	330	64
	TW25S	Nov-23	<1.0	<0.10	<0.10	<0.010	41	340	350	52
	TW26	Nov-16	9.4	<0.02	0.45	0.012	80	320	220	38
	TW26	Apr-17	5.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	39	310	260	38
	TW26	Oct-17	3.5	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	36	310	280	34
	TW26	Apr-18	3.4	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	33	310	290	45
	TW26	Oct-18	3.4	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	30	310	280	38
	TW26	Apr-19	3.1	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	29	280	290	42
	TW26	Nov-19	2.8	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	29	300	280	39
	TW26	Apr-20	2.7	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	29	300	300	42
	TW26	Oct-20	2.7	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	-	300	280	38
	TW26	May-21	3.0	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	27	300	290	49
	TW26	Nov-21	2.9	<0.02	<0.10	<0.010	27	300	270	38
TW26	Nov-23	2.7	<0.10	<0.10	<0.010	30	280	300	46	

Notes:

1. Trigger Levels are based on 75% of RUC or Maximum Background concentrations as outlined in the *Arran Landfill Site Trigger Mechanisms and Contingency Plan* (as amended December 2017).
2. NV = No value specified.
3. Samples analyzed by BV Labs (formerly Maxxam Analytics Inc.)
4. Results presented in mg/L (milligrams per litre).
5. Values shaded and in bold exceed the Trigger Level. Values shown in italics show a trigger level exceedence that has occurred for three or more consecutive monitoring events.
6. Contingency Plan measures are to be implemented if two or more parameters exceed the trigger levels at the same trigger location for three consecutive events.
7. Leachate indicator wells (i.e. wells within or in close proximity to the footprint), including TW-1, TW-2, TW-10, TW-12, TW-13, TW-22, TW-23 and TW-24 trigger the Contingency Plan if the chloride concentration exceeds an average of 445 mg/L over two consecutive events. To date, chloride concentrations generally remain below 100 mg/L.

**TABLE 10
SURFACE WATER QUALITY COMPARISON TO TRIGGER LEVELS**

Trigger Locations		SW-1 and SW-8 only		SW-1, SW-8 and SW-9				
Sample Location	Date	Chloride	Iron	Boron	Alkalinity	Hardness	Sodium	Sulphate
Trigger Level (mg/L)		90	1.19	0.2	593	425	79	195
SW-1 Arkwright Creek (Downstream)	13-Apr-15	13	0.12	0.020	220	230	6.9	3
	5-Nov-15	17	0.45	0.015	310	390	8.2	45
	11-Apr-16	15	0.13	0.015	210	230	9.4	2
	2-Nov-16	12	0.11	0.017	340	360	6.9	39
	24-Apr-17	11	0.12	0.019	240	240	6.8	<1.0
	29-Oct-17	13	0.28	0.022	380	390	6.2	<1.0
	12-Apr-18	15	0.35	0.034	230	240	NM	9.9
	30-Oct-18	12	0.22	0.017	320	350	7.6	21
	10-Apr-19	10	<0.10	0.013	200	220	NM	<1.0
	19-Nov-19	14	0.25	0.018	270	350	8.6	29
	10-Apr-20	10	0.51	0.015	230	250	NM	1.2
	26-Oct-20	17	0.20	0.021	330	310	8.3	28
	6-May-21	12	0.33	0.017	280	290	NM	<1.0
	14-Nov-21	12	0.33	0.023	300	310	7.2	<1.0
	2-May-22	14	0.26	0.014	270	290	7.6	<1.0
6-Oct-22	7.9	0.32	0.020	280	280	4.6	37	
11-Apr-23	11	0.10	0.017	210	240	6.8	13	
17-Nov-23	15	0.23	0.018	290	340	8.6	18	
SW-8 Swamp Area Downstream of Tributary	13-Apr-15	23	<0.1	0.039	250	280	12	<1.0
	5-Nov-15	29	0.24	0.033	300	360	15	<1.0
	11-Apr-16	17	0.10	0.018	230	240	9.3	<1.0
	2-Nov-16	DRY	DRY	DRY	DRY	DRY	DRY	DRY
	24-Apr-17	20	0.18	0.093	270	250	14	<1.0
	29-Oct-17	22	<0.1	0.081	390	400	14	<5.0
	12-Apr-18	22	<0.01	0.076	260	260	NM	13
	30-Oct-18	19	0.11	0.055	340	350	14	<1.0
	10-Apr-19	18	1.20	0.021	240	250	NM	<1.0
	19-Nov-19	22	<0.10	0.093	290	350	17	29
	10-Apr-20	20	<0.10	0.061	270	290	NM	<1.0
	26-Oct-20	20	0.14	0.048	300	310	15	25
	6-May-21	20	<0.10	0.057	320	320	NM	1
	14-Nov-21	30	<0.10	0.10	310	320	23	27
	2-May-22	20	<100	0.053	310	320	14	<1.0
11-Apr-23	19	<0.10	0.063	260	280	13	12	
17-Nov-23	22	2.20	0.083	320	340	16	11	
SW-9 Stormwater Management Pond Outlet	13-Apr-15	60	0.80	0.097	180	190	33	15
	5-Nov-15	43	0.66	0.063	280	350	20	30
	11-Apr-16	34	0.36	0.14	250	250	29	24
	2-Nov-16	34	0.35	0.059	330	370	19	56
	24-Apr-17	85	0.89	0.50	280	250	60	22
	29-Oct-17	19	0.37	0.025	400	390	11	<1.0
	12-Apr-18	62	0.31	0.37	280	280	NM	42
	30-Oct-18	17	0.77	0.023	360	360	11	<1.0
	10-Apr-19	71	1.30	0.41	370	360	NM	36
	19-Nov-19	77	0.16	0.48	290	390	65	89
	10-Apr-20	18	<0.10	0.020	280	300	NM	<5.0
	26-Oct-20	20	0.13	0.054	310	320	13	4.2
	6-May-21	93	0.58	0.51	460	390	NM	37
	12-Nov-21	96	0.23	0.53	380	400	83	98
	2-May-22	68	0.57	0.40	330	340	61	50
11-Apr-23	44	0.70	0.36	280	310	52	78	
17-Nov-23	73	0.12	0.44	360	400	70	72	

Notes:

1. The trigger levels outlined herein are to replace those initially presented in Section 6(2) of the Stormwater Management ECA No. 7585-8QKL5Q.
2. Similar to the trigger mechanism for groundwater, the measures outlined in the Contingency Plan not be activated unless two or more parameters exceed the trigger levels at the same trigger location for three consecutive monitoring events.

**TABLE 11
SUMMARY OF GAS MONITORING RESULTS**

DATE	Oxygen (% by volume)			Methane (% by volume)			LEL (% by volume)		
	G1	G2	G3	G1	G2	G3	G1	G2	G3
November 2, 1993	12.1	16.8	17.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	NM	NM	NM
May 12, 1994	19.7	NM	17.5	0.0	NM	0.0	0.0	NM	0.0
November 9, 1994	19.7	19.8	14.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 11, 1995	19.4	19.1	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 22, 1995	19.6	19.4	16.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 17, 1996	17.7	17.8	16.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.0
September 16, 1996	17.8	14.1	16.1	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	NM	0.0
April 29, 1997	17.1	16.8	15.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
September 23, 1997	15.0	12.2	14.2	1.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	NM	0.0
May 4, 1998	20.4	20.2	20.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 20, 1998	20.7	20.6	20.1	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 18, 1999	20.1	19.1	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 1, 1999	19.2	18.9	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 24, 2000	18.7	19.1	19.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 11, 2000	18.7	19.1	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 4, 2001	19.1	19.4	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 26, 2001	19.0	18.9	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 7, 2002	18.4	18.9	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 14, 2002	19.1	18.8	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 12, 2003	18.7	18.8	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 15, 2004	19.1	17.9	18.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 5, 2005	20.1	20.4	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 19, 2006	20.8	20.7	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 15, 2007	20.8	20.8	20.9	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 30, 2008	19.7	18.4	20.6	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 4, 2009	20.9	20.9	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 6, 2010	19.6	16.4	19.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 18, 2011	20.9	20.9	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 27, 2012	20.9	20.9	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Nov 20, 2012	20.3	20.2	20.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.6
April 17, 2013	20.7	20.6	20.9	1.0	0.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 29, 2013	16.4	16.5	NM	0.2	0.1	NM	0.0	0.0	NM
April 30, 2014	21.0	21.0	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 14, 2014	19.8	16.3	19.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 13, 2015	20.7	20.9	20.7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 5, 2015	20.9	20.9	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 11, 2016	21.4	21.5	21.6	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 2, 2016	20.2	21.7	20.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 24, 2017	20.5	20.7	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 26, 2017	19.8	20.4	20.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 12, 2018	20.6	20.6	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 19, 2019	21.6	19.4	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
April 10, 2020	20.7	21.1	20.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0
October 26, 2020	21.0	20.2	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 6, 2021	20.2	20.4	19.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
November 12, 2021	20.0	20.4	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May 2, 2022	20.1	20.8	20.6	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 6, 2022	20.2	19.7	20.0	NM	NM	NM	1.0	0.0	0.0
May 4, 2023	20.0	20.1	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
October 5, 2023	NM	NM	NM	0.0	0.0	0.0	NM	NM	NM

Notes:

1. NM = Not Monitored.
2. LEL = Lower Explosive Limit

FIGURES:

M-1174
Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie




SCALE = N.T.S.
MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL
SITE LOCATION PLAN

Figure No. 1



FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\M-1100 To M-1199\M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-A Drawings\M1174 AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 1
LAST SAVED BY:KCharpontier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY:Kate Charpontier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:00:48 PM




M-1174 AMR
 Arran Landfill Site
 Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
 Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



LEGEND

- LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
- HISTORIC LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT (PRIOR TO JUNE 2000)
- 234.50 EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)
- PHASE 1 LANDFILL DEVELOPMENT SEQUENCE
- HISTORICAL LANDFILL DEVELOPMENT AREAS (FOR PREVIOUS 1.73 ha LANDFILL AREA)




NOTE:
 1. ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.

SCALE = 1:2000
 MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL

SITE PLAN AND LAND DEVELOPMENT SEQUENCE

Figure No. 2



FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\1100 To M-1198M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-A Drawings\M1174-AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 2
 LAST SAVED BY:Kcharpontier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY:Kate Charpontier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:00:52 PM

BRUCE COUNTY ROAD No. 17

LOT 19, CON. VII

LOT 20, CON. VII

PROPERTY OWNED BY THE
 MUNICIPALITY OF ARRAN-ELDERSLIE

LOT 20, CON. VII

LOT 21, CON. VII

SIDEROAD 20

UNNAMED TRIBUTARY

ARKWRIGHT CREEK

DIRECT FISH HABITAT ZONE

NO DEVELOPMENT ZONE

LIMIT OF NO DEVELOPMENT ZONE

LIMIT OF NO DEVELOPMENT ZONE

TRANSFER SITE FOR PLANT COLONY B

LIMIT OF NO DEVELOPMENT ZONE

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP

SWAMP


SWAMP

M-1174 AMR
 Arran Landfill Site
 Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
 Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



LEGEND

- LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
- APPROVED PHASE 1 LANDFILL AREA
- HISTORIC LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT (PRIOR TO JUNE 2000)
- 234.50 EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)
- 235.0 APPROVED BOTTOM GROUND CONTOUR (MASL) - PHASE 1
- (1.05m) (235.97) THICKNESS OF IMPORTED SOILS REQUIRED TO ATTAIN APPROVED BOTTOM ELEVATION.



NOTE:
 1. ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.

SCALE = 1:2000
 MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL


PHASE 1 BOTTOM
 CONTOURS

Figure No. 3



FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\1100 To M-1199\M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-A Drawings\M-1174 AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 3
 LAST SAVED BY:Kcharpoulier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY:Kate Charpoulier - GM BluePlan, 2/17/2024 12:00:56 PM

FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\M-1100 To M-1199\M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-A Drawings\M1174 AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 4
LAST SAVED BY:Kcharpontier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY:Kate Charpontier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:01:01 PM




M-1174 AMR
Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



LEGEND

- LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
- - - APPROVED PHASE 1 LANDFILL AREA
- 236.5 ○ FINAL ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)



NOTE:
1. ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.

SCALE = 1:2000
MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL













PHASE 1 FINAL
TOP CONTOURS

Figure No. 4



M-1174 AMR
 Arran Landfill Site
 Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
 Municipality of Arran-Elderslie

LEGEND

-  RUC CONTINGENCY MONITORING LOCATION
-  LEACHATE CONTINGENCY MONITORING LOCATION
-  TW-1 MONITORING WELL
-  SW-1 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING STATION
-  G-1 GAS WELL
-  TH-1 TEST HOLE LOCATION
-  LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
-  APPROVED PHASE 1 LANDFILL AREA
-  HISTORIC LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT (PRIOR TO JUNE 2000)
-  INFERRED GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
-  234.50 EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)
-  234 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)


- NOTE:
1. ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.
 2. MONITORING WELLS TW1, TW2, AND TW5 WERE NOT CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT STANDARDS.

SCALE = 1:2000
 MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL

GROUNDWATER AND
 SURFACE WATER
 MONITORING LOCATIONS

Figure No. 5



FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\1100 To M-1174\1174-A Drawings\M-1174-AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 5
 LAST SAVED BY: kcharpoutier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY: Kate Charpoutier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:01:05 PM

M-1174 AMR
 Arran Landfill Site
 Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
 Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



LEGEND

- TW-1 MONITORING WELL
- SW-1 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING STATION
- G-1 GAS WELL
- TH-1 TEST HOLE LOCATION
- LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
- APPROVED PHASE 1 LANDFILL AREA
- HISTORIC LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT (PRIOR TO JUNE 2000)
- INFERRED GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)
- GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)

W/L MEASURED WATER LEVEL ELEVATION (m)
 Cl = MEASURED CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (mg/L)


- NOTE:
- ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.
 - GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED ON APRIL 11, 2023.
 - MONITORING WELLS TW1, TW2, AND TW5 WERE NOT CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT STANDARDS.

SCALE = 1:2000

MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL
 SPRING 2023
 GROUNDWATER AND
 SURFACE WATER
 MONITORING LOCATIONS

Figure No. 6



FILE:W:\OwenSound\Municipal\1100 To M-1199M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT:FIGURE 6
 LAST SAVED BY: kcharpontier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY: Kate Charpontier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:01:10 PM



M-1174 AMR
Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7,
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



LEGEND

- TW-1 MONITORING WELL
- SW-1 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING STATION
- G-1 GAS WELL
- TH-1 TEST HOLE LOCATION
- LIMITS OF MUNICIPALITY OWNED LANDS APPROVED FOR LANDFILLING
- APPROVED PHASE 1 LANDFILL AREA
- HISTORIC LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT (PRIOR TO JUNE 2000)
- INFERRED GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- 234.50 EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)
- 234 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)


W/L MEASURED WATER LEVEL ELEVATION (m)
CI = MEASURED CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (mg/L)

- NOTE:
- ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.
 - GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS WERE MEASURED ON NOVEMBER 17, 2023.
 - MONITORING WELLS TW1, TW2, AND TW5 WERE NOT CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT STANDARDS.

SCALE = 1:2000
MARCH 2024

ARRAN LANDFILL
FALL 2023
GROUNDWATER AND
SURFACE WATER
MONITORING LOCATIONS

Figure No. 7



FILE: W:\OwenSound\Municipal\1100 To M-1198M-1174 Arran Landfill\M-1174-A Drawings\M1174 AMR Figures.dwg LAYOUT: FIGURE 7
LAST SAVED BY: Kcharpontier, 2/17/2024 11:59:28 AM PLOTTED BY: Kate Charpontier - GM BluePlan 2/17/2024 12:01:16 PM

**APPENDIX A:
CERTIFICATES OF APPROVAL (AND AMENDMENTS)**



APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL FOR A WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

IMPORTANT NOTE

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED THROUGH THE OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT ENGINEER

(SEE SECOND SHEET FOR INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM)

1. Owner (Applicant)	Under the Environmental Protection Act and the Regulations, this application is made by:—	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Township of Arran</i> (Name)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Dalbinton</i> (Address) <i>Ontario</i></p>
2. Type of disposal site	For the <u>Reissue</u> of a Certificate of Approval for a	<i>Garbage disposal site</i>
3. Site location	Located	<i>S. 7 Lot 20 Con. 7</i>
IF APPLICATION IS FOR REISSUE, COMPLETE SECTIONS 4 AND 5 (A OR B)		
4. Previous Certificate details	Certificate of Approval:— <u>Provisional Certificate</u> for this site was issued on:—	No. <i>197</i>
5. Changes.	<p>(A) The following changes in use, operation or ownership (have occurred since the date of the original application) OR (are proposed)</p> <p>(B) No change in use, operation or ownership of the site has occurred since the date of the original application. <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
IF APPLICATION IS FOR ISSUE, COMPLETE SECTIONS 6, 7, 8 AND 9		
6. Operator.	The site will be operated in conformity with the Environmental Protection Act and the regulations by:—	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Township of Arran</i> (Name)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Address)</p>
7. Publication of Notice.	Notice of this application has been published in the _____ on the following dates _____ and a copy of the notice is attached. <input type="checkbox"/>	(Name of Newspaper)
8. Municipal Certificate (Non-municipal applicants only)	A certificate, that the site does not contravene any of the by-laws of the _____ Signed by _____ is attached.	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Township of Arran</i> (Municipality)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>H. E. Monkman</i> (Name) <i>Clark</i> (Position)</p>
9. Additional information	The required supporting information to this application is attached. <input type="checkbox"/>	

Dated this *8th* day of *July* 19*72*
H. E. Monkman *Clark*

**OF A
LANDFILL DISPOSAL SITE**

APPLICANT TO COMPLETE ITEMS 1-4 INCLUSIVE

1. SITE DETAILS

Applicant: Township of Arhan
 Site Location: St. Pat. Rd. Con. 7

Total area of Site 24.312 Acres
 Total usable area for waste disposal 20.2 Acres
 Anticipated Lifetime 5.0 Years
 Distance to nearest watercourse 15.00 ft.
 Distance to nearest potable well water supply 100.00 ft.
 Depth of well noted above 26 ft.
 Distance to dwelling 16.00 ft.
 Distance to public road measured from working area 5.0 ft.
 Distance to cemetery 3.00 ft.
 Depth from original surface to bottom of waste 3.00 ft.
 Depth from original surface to top of fill 3 ft.
 Ground conditions encountered measured from original surface
 From To
 From To
 From To
 From To
 Depth to watertable below surface 3r 10. ft
 on 197.2
 General description of site (location, topography etc.)
 Proposed use of land after site fully utilized

2. Wastes to be Disposed of Comprise

Domestic	<u>15%</u>
Commercial	<u>x</u>
Industrial Waste	<u>x</u>
Hauled Liquid Industrial Waste	<u>x</u>
Agricultural Waste	<u>x</u>
Hazardous Waste	<u>x</u>
Hauled Sewage	<u>x</u>
* Other	<u>0</u>
	<u>100%</u>

* Describe residual
industrial waste
 Origin and Composition of Principal Components of Waste (other than domestic and commercial)

FOR REGIONAL USE

Authorities Consulted:
 Health Unit Objection No Objection
 O.W.R.C. Objection No Objection
 A.M.B. Objection No Objection
 Municipality Objection No Objection
 Conservation Authority Objection No Objection

Other.....
 Inspection Record Forms Attached 3 copies of 11 Ms. 22/12
 Number of Forms..... M.L.N.C. to file July 17/72
 Regional Engineer's Report Attached K.W.
 Ground water monitoring Yes No
 Surface water monitoring Yes No

3. Quantities
 Total Tons per Day
 Total Gallons per Day
 Estimated or Measured
 Site operated..... days from to
 Population served 12,500
 Names of Municipalities served Arhan
 Official Plan Zoning Bylaw
 Site land zoned 1.10
 Adjacent land zoned no
 Equipment Owned Rented

4. The Following Documents are Attached

 Prepared by

DATED 2th day of July 1972
[Signature]
 Signature of Owner (Applicant)
[Signature]

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL FOR A WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

Provisional Certificate No. 271802

Under The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 and the regulations and subject to the limitations thereof, this Provisional Certificate of Approval is issued to

Township of Arran,
Dobbinon, Ontario

for the Landfill Site

located South half of Lot 20, Concession 7,

Township of Arran


subject to the following conditions

1. That burning of domestic refuse be discontinued as a disposal technique.
2. That a soil buffer of 2¹/₂ feet in depth be retained between the bottom of the trenched and the maximum groundwater level.
3. That an attendant be present at the site whenever it is open to receive wastes.
4. That the operation of the site be reviewed by the Regional Engineer prior to April 30th, 1973.

0.76m

This Provisional Certificate expires on the 15th day of June, 1973

Dated this 21st day of December, 1972


Director, Waste Management Branch

Ontario

Ministry of the Environment
Southwestern Region

985 Adelaide Street South
London, Ontario
N6E 1V3
681-3600

June 11, 1980

Township of Arran
R.R. # 2
Tara, Ontario
NOH 2N0

RE: Landfilling Site
S.1/2, Lot 20, Concession 7
Township of Arran
County of Bruce

The enclosed revised Provisional Certificate of Approval contains a condition requiring it be registered on title. The reason for this condition is attached to the Certificate.

Two copies of the Certificate and reasons are on long paper to facilitate registration. Both of these should be taken to the Land Registry Office and one returned to the Director with registration particulars.

If your Certificate does not contain sufficient legal description for registration because you have not given one to the Director, you will have to provide one under Section 23(1) (e) of The Registry Act or in your application under The Land Titles Act.

In the event that the site including its buffer, is part of a larger parcel of land and you do not wish to prepare a new survey at this time, you may register the Certificate against the larger parcel of land. If you do so, the Director is prepared, if requested in the future.

1. In the case of land recorded under The Land Titles Act, to consent to an application to delete the registration from the title of lands not within the site including its buffer zone, and
2. In the case of land recorded under The Registry Act, to issue a Certificate that lands not used for the actual disposal of waste or buffer zone have not been so used.

Such documents would be issued after suitable draft documents including legal description were submitted by you or your successor. The purpose of such documents would be to assure subsequent purchasers that the lands in question were not affected by section 46 of the Environmental Protection Act.

Yours very truly


Director

Ontario

TO: Township of Arran
R.R. # 2
Tara, Ontario
NOH 2N0

You are hereby notified that Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A 271802 has been issued to you subject to the conditions outlined therein.

The reasons for the imposition of these conditions are as follows:

The reason for the condition requiring registration of the Certificate is that Section 46 of The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 prohibits any use being made of the lands after they cease to be used for waste disposal purposes in order to protect future occupants of the site and the environment from any hazards which might occur as a result of waste being disposed of on the site. This prohibition and potential hazard should be drawn to the attention of future owners and occupants by the Certificate being registered on title.


You may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Appeal Board within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Board.

This Notice should be served upon:

The Secretary,
Environmental Appeal Board, AND
1 St. Clair Ave. West,
5th Floor,
Toronto, Ontario.
M4V 1K7

The Director,
Section 39
Ministry of the Environment

DATED this 11th day of June, 1980.





of the
Environment

Ontario

PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL WASTE DISPOSAL SITE

Under The Environmental Protection Act, 1971 and the regulations and subject to the limitations thereof, this Provisional Certificate of Approval is issued to:

Township of Arran
R.R. # 2
Tara, Ontario
NOE 2N0

for the use and operation of a 24.3 hectare landfilling site

all in accordance with the following plans and specifications:


Located: S. 1/2 Lot 20, Concession 7
Township of Arran
County of Bruce

which includes the use of the site only for the disposal of the following categories of waste (NOTE: Use of the site for additional categories of wastes requires a new application and amendments to the Provisional Certificate of Approval) Domestic, commercial and 10% other, limited to brush wood and wire.

and subject to the following conditions:

1. No operation shall be carried out at the site after sixty days from this condition becoming enforceable unless this Certificate including the reasons for this condition has been registered by the applicant as an instrument in the appropriate Land Registry Office against title to the site and a duplicate registered copy thereof has been returned by the applicant to the Director.

Dated this 11th day of June, 1980.


Director, Section 39,
The Environmental Protection Act, 1971



Ontario

Ministry of
Environment
and Energy

Ministère de
l'Environnement
et de l'Énergie

AMENDED PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL
FOR A WASTE DISPOSAL SITE , NO. A271802
Page 1 of 3

NOTICE OF AMENDMENT

TO: Township of Arran
R.R. # 2
Tara, Ontario
NOH 2N0

You are hereby notified that the approval issued under Provisional Certificate of Approval for a Waste Disposal Site No. A271802, dated June 11, 1980, including all revisions, is hereby amended as follows:

1. The Site will be operated in accordance with the Plan of Development and Operation, Township of Arran Landfill Site, Revision dated April, 1996.
2. The Site will now serve both the Township of Arran and the Village of Tara.

All in accordance with the following plans and specifications:

1. The Application for a Certificate of Approval for a Waste Disposal Site (Landfill) dated March 11, 1996 and signed by Mr. S. Dolphin, Clerk-Treasurer, Township of Arran.
2. The Application for Approval of a Waste Disposal Site dated April 19, 1996 and signed by Mr. S. Dolphin, Clerk-Treasurer, Township of Arran.
3. The Plan of Development and Operation, Township of Arran Landfill Site, Revision dated April, 1996, prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited, Consulting Professional Engineers.

The reasons for the imposition of these conditions are as follows:

- A. The reason for condition 1 of this amendment is to ensure that the Site is operated in accordance with the most recent Plan of Development and Operation for the Site.

AMENDED PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL
FOR A WASTE DISPOSAL SITE , NO. A271802
Page 2 of 3

- B. The reason for condition 2 of this amendment is to permit waste from the Village of Tara to be received at the Site, in accordance with an agreement between the Township of Arran and the Village of Tara.

You may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Appeal Board within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Board. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. E-19, as amended, provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. *The portions of the approval or each term or condition in the approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;*
2. *The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.*

In addition to these legal requirements, the Notice should also include:

3. *The name of the appellant;*
4. *The address of the appellant;*
5. *The Certificate of Approval number;*
6. *The date of the Certificate of Approval;*
7. *The name of the Director;*
8. *The municipality within which the waste disposal site is located;*

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.


This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary,
Environmental Appeal Board,
Suite 502,
112 St. Clair Avenue West,
Toronto, Ontario,
M4V 1N3


AND

The Director,
Section 39, Environmental Protection Act,
Ministry of Environment and Energy,
985 Adelaide Street South
London, Ontario.
N6E 1V3

DATED AT LONDON this 26th day of July, 1996.



Director,
Section 39,
Environmental Protection Act





Ontario

Ministry of the Environment
Ministère de l'Environnement

AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL
WASTE DISPOSAL SITE
NUMBER 0441-4J2HV8

Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
P.O. Box 70, 1925 County Road #10
Arran-Elderslie, Ontario
N0G 1L0

J.R. ✓

Site Location: R. R. #2
Arran-Elderslie Municipality, County Of Bruce
N0H 2N0

You have applied in accordance with Section 27 of the Environmental Protection Act for approval of:

a 1.73 hectare landfill site within a total site area of 24.3 hectares

to be used for the landfilling of the following types of waste:

municipal waste

Note: Use of the site for any other type of waste is not approved under this Certificate, and requires obtaining a separate approval amending this Certificate.

For the purpose of this Provisional Certificate of Approval and the terms and conditions specified below, the following definitions apply:

- (a) "Act" and "EPA" mean the **Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, C. E-19** as amended;
- (b) "Applicant", "Owner" and "Operator" mean the Corporation of the Township of Arran-Elderslie, including its officers, employees, agents or contractors;
- (c) "Certificate" means this entire Provisional Certificate of Approval including its schedules, issued in accordance with Section 27, Part V of the **Environmental Protection Act**;
- (d) "Director" means a Director, Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch, the Ontario Ministry of the Environment;
- (e) "District Manager" means the District Manager of the Barrie District Office, Southwestern Region of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment;
- (f) "interim closure" means an extended period of time in which the Site is not actively operating but is not

recognized as permanently closed;

- (g) "Ministry" means the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE);
- (h) "municipal waste" means municipal waste as defined in Ontario Regulation 347, R.R.O. 1990;
- (i) "Reasonable Use Guideline" means the Ministry Guideline No. B-7 entitled, "Incorporation of the Reasonable Use Concept into MOE Groundwater Management Activities", dated April 1994, or as amended;
- (j) "Site" means the landfill site as described in this Certificate; and
- (k) "waste fill areas" means the area on the surface of the landfilling site beneath which or above which waste is disposed by landfilling;
- (l) "trained" means knowledgeable regarding the contents of this Provisional Certificate of Approval, waste management, relevant health and safety concerns, emergency procedures, and relevant Legislation and Regulations pertaining to waste management and disposal.

You are hereby notified that this approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

A. GENERAL

1. Except as otherwise provided by these Conditions, the Site shall be operated, in accordance with the Application for a Certificate of Approval for a Waste Disposal Site, dated July 8, 1972 and Application for Approval of a Waste Disposal Site, dated February 15, 2000 and its supporting documents as listed in Schedule "A".
2. The requirements specified in this Certificate are the requirements under the **Environmental Protection Act**, R.S.O. 1990. The issuance of this Certificate in no way abrogates the Applicant's legal obligations to take all reasonable steps to avoid violating other applicable provisions of this legislation and other legislation and regulations.
3. The requirements of the Certificate are severable. If any requirement of this Provisional Certificate of Approval, or the application of any requirement of the Provisional Certificate of Approval to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such requirement to other circumstances and the remainder of the Provisional Certificate of Approval shall not be affected in any way.
4. The Applicant shall ensure compliance with all the terms and conditions of this Certificate. Any non-compliance constitutes a violation of the **Environmental Protection Act**, R.S.O. 1990 and

is grounds for enforcement.

5. (a) The Applicant shall, forthwith upon request of the Director, District Manager, or Provincial Officer (as defined in the Act), furnish any information requested by such persons with respect to compliance with this Certificate, including but not limited to, any records required to be kept under this Certificate; and
- (b) In the event, the Applicant provides the Ministry with information, records, documentation or notification in accordance with this Certificate (for the purposes of this condition referred to as "Information"),
- i. the receipt of Information by the Ministry;
 - ii. the acceptance by the Ministry of the Information's completeness or accuracy; or
 - iii. the failure of the Ministry to prosecute the Applicant, or to require the Applicant to take any action, under this Certificate or any statute or regulation in relation to the Information;

shall not be construed as an approval, excuse or justification by the Ministry of any act or omission of the Applicant relating to the Information, amounting to non-compliance with this Certificate or any statute or regulation.

6. The Applicant shall allow Ministry personnel, or a Ministry authorized representative(s), upon presentation of credentials, to:
- (a) carry out any and all inspections authorized by Section 156, 157 or 158 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, Section 15, 16 or 17 of the Ontario Water Resources Act, R.S.O. 1990, or Section 19 or 20 of the Pesticides Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended from time to time, of any place to which this Certificate relates; and,

without restricting the generality of the foregoing, to:

- (b)
- i. enter upon the premises where the records required by the conditions of this Certificate are kept;
 - ii. have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records required by the conditions of this Certificate;
 - iii. inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations required by the conditions of this Certificate; and,
 - iv. sample and monitor at reasonable times for the purposes of assuring compliance with the conditions of this Certificate.

7.
 - (a) Where there is a conflict between a provision of any document referred to in Schedule "A" and the conditions of this Certificate, the conditions in this Certificate shall take precedence; and,
 - (b) Where there is a conflict between documents listed in Schedule "A", the document bearing the most recent date shall prevail.
8. The Applicant shall ensure that all communications/correspondence made pursuant to this Certificate includes reference to the Certificate approval number A 271802.
9. The Applicant shall notify the Director in writing of any of the following changes within thirty (30) days of the change occurring:
 - (a) change of Applicant or Operator of the Site or both;
 - (b) change of address or address of the new Applicant;
 - (c) change of partners where the Applicant or Operator is or at any time becomes a partnership, and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the Business Names Act, 1991 shall be included in the notification to the Director;
 - (d) any change of name of the corporation where the Applicant or Operator is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current "Initial Notice or Notice of Change" (form 1 or 2 of O. Reg. 182, Chapter C-39, R.R.O. 1990 as amended from time to time), filed under the Corporations Information Act shall be included in the notification to the Director; and,
 - (e) change in directors or officers of the corporation where the Applicant or Operator is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current "Initial Notice or Notice of Change" as referred to in 9(d), supra.
10. In the event of any change in ownership of the Site, the Applicant shall notify, in writing, the succeeding owner of the existence of this Certificate, and a copy of such notice shall be forwarded to the Director.
11. Any information relating to this Certificate and contained in Ministry files may be made available to the public in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, C. F-31.
12. All records and monitoring data required by the conditions of this Certificate shall be kept on the Owner's premises for a minimum period of two (2) years from the date of their creation.
13. The obligations imposed by the terms and conditions of this Certificate are obligations of due diligence.

B. PROHIBITION AND REGISTRATION ON TITLE

14. (a) Pursuant to Section 197 of the EPA, neither the Applicant nor any person having an interest in the Site shall deal with the Site in any way without first giving a copy of the Provisional Certificate of Approval to each person acquiring an interest in the Site as a result of the dealing;
- (b) Within sixty (60) calendar days of the date of this Certificate of Approval, submit to the Director for the Director's signature two (2) copies of a completed Certificate of Prohibition containing a registerable description of the Site, in accordance with Form 1 of O. Reg. 14/92; and,
- (c) Within ten (10) calendar days of receiving the Certificate of Prohibition, the Applicant shall register the Certificate of Prohibition in the appropriate Land Registry Office on title and immediately following registration, submit to the Director the duplicate registered copy.

C. SITE OPERATIONS

15. (a) The approved theoretical volumetric capacity of the Site is calculated to be 808 000 cubic metres;
- (b) Waste fill areas A, B1, B2, and C, with total combined capacity, excluding final cover, of 60 000 cubic metres, are approved for development and use in accordance with the "Plan of Development and Operation Township of Arran Landfill Site", dated April 1996;
- (c) Development and use of the remaining Site capacity shall not commence without first obtaining an approval issued by the Director for a design and operating plan for each area to be developed, and all works shall be carried out in accordance with the Director's approval.
16. The Applicant shall operate and maintain the Site in an environmentally safe manner which ensures the health and safety of all persons and the protection of the environment.
17. The Site shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the "Plan of Development and Operation Township of Arran Landfill Site", dated April 1996.
18. The Site may only receive municipal waste generated within the geographic area of the Corporation of the Township of Arran-Elderslie.
19. Delivery and removal of waste to and from the Site shall be conducted in accordance with

20. (a) The operating hours of this Site shall be posted on a permanent readable sign at the entrance to the Site. The sign shall include information on waste types which may be accepted at the Site, the area serviced by this Site, the license number of the Site and the name of the Applicant's contact telephone number(s) for emergencies, complaints and enquires.
- (b) The Site shall only be operated under the supervision of a trained operator and shall be locked and secure at all other times.
21. Burning of brush and clean waste wood material is permitted providing the burning is continuously supervised and carried out in compliance with the Ministry of the Environment "Guidelines for Burning at Landfill Sites in Ontario".
22. If at any time problems such as dust, odour, vectors, litter, illegal dumping or other nuisances are generated at the Site, resulting in complaints received by this Ministry and validated by a Provincial Officer, then the Applicant shall, upon request of the Ministry, take appropriate and immediate remedial action. Appropriate measures may include temporary stoppage of all operations until the problem has been rectified and measures have been undertaken to prevent future occurrences.
23. Scavenging of waste is not permitted at this Site.
24. The Applicant shall ensure that personnel supervising the landfilling operation are aware of the types of waste which may be disposed at this Site; and that they are knowledgeable about the requirements of this Certificate and the Site operation.

D. SITE MONITORING

25. The Applicant shall ensure the monitoring program contained in Schedule "B" is carried out annually.
26. The Site monitoring program may be amended from time to time, as required, by the District Manager.

E. REPORT SUBMISSIONS

27. The Applicant shall submit an annual report on the operation, development and monitoring of the Site to the District Manager by March 31st of each year. The report shall cover the calendar year ending the preceding December 31st and shall include the following, where applicable:
- (a) an updated site contour plan(s) showing areas that have been filled with waste, and areas to be filled with waste during the next reporting period;

- (b) a summary of the number of truckloads and estimated quantities of waste received, landfilled, and the estimated quantity of cover materials used;
- (c) an estimate of the remaining Site capacity and Site life;
- (d) any operational or environmental problems encountered and any mitigative actions taken;
- (e) the data and interpretive analyses of the data from all monitoring programs;
- (f) an assessment of the need for any remedial measures;
- (g) the status of compliance with all Conditions of this Certificate, including inspection and reporting requirements;
- (h) any recommendations for changes to the operation, development and monitoring of the Site; and,
- (i) any other information required under this Certificate or which the Director or the District Manager may require from time to time.

F. CLOSURE PLAN

- 28. Two (2) years prior to the time the Site reaches its approved capacity, the Applicant shall submit to the Director, for approval, a detailed Site closure plan which includes but is not limited to the following: post-closure inspection, maintenance and monitoring, and end use.
- 29. Six (6) months prior to interim closure of the Site, the Owner shall submit to the District Manager for approval, a detailed interim Site closure plan which includes but is not limited to the following: schedule and plans for Site inspections, maintenance and monitoring, and details regarding interim cover and Site security. The Site shall not be closed in the interim unless in accordance with an approved interim closure plan.

G. EMERGENCIES

- 30. In case of an emergency at this Site, the Applicant shall forthwith call the Ministry of the Environment Spills Action Centre (1-800-268-6060). All emergencies shall be recorded in the annual report described in Condition 28.

SCHEDULE "A"

This Schedule "A" forms part of Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A 271802:

1. Application for Approval of a Landfill Disposal Site from the Township of Arran, dated July 8, 1972 and supporting information.
2. Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A271802, dated December 21, 1972.
3. Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A271802, dated June 11, 1980.
4. Plan of Development and Operation Township of Arran Landfill Site, prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited, dated April 1996.
5. Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Approval No. A271802, dated July 26, 1996.
6. Application for Approval of a Waste Disposal Site from the Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, dated February 15, 2000 and supporting information.
7. Letter from S. Ellis, MOE to J. Albright, Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, dated February 28, 2000 requesting additional supporting information.
8. Covering letter from P. Brodzikowski, Henderson, Paddon Environmental Inc. to S. Ellis, MOE, dated March 6, 2000 providing additional supporting information.

SCHEDULE "B"

This Schedule "B" forms part of Provisional Certificate of Approval No. A 271802:

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Analyses Required
TW-1, TW-2, TW-4, TW-5 TW-8, TW-9, TW-10, TW-11, TW-12, TW-13, TW-14, TW-15	Semi-annual (Spring and Fall)	Conductivity, Chloride, Alkalinity, Iron, pH, Total Ammonia, Hardness, Sodium, Nitrate, Nitrite, Total Dissolved Solids, Sulphate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Water Levels

SURFACE WATER MONITORING

Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Analyses Required
SW-1, SW-2, SW-3, SW-4, SW-5, SW-6	Semi-annual (Spring and Fall)	Conductivity, Chloride, Alkalinity, Iron, pH, Total Ammonia, Total Phosphorus, Phenol, Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature (field)

LANDFILL GAS MONITORING

Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Analyses Required
G-1, G-2, G-3	Semi-annual (Spring and Fall)	Methane gas by volume

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

The reason for the imposition of these changes and the replacement of the Certificate issued on June 11, 1980, and as amended July 26, 1996 with this Certificate, is to bring the Site into compliance with current operating procedures for Landfill Sites and to accommodate the service area change request by the Township.

Specific reasons for the Conditions are as follows:

1. Conditions 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 are to clarify the legal rights and obligations of this Certificate.
2. Condition 7 is to ensure that the appropriate Ministry staff have ready access to the waste Site to inspect the operations that are approved under this Certificate. The condition is supplementary to the powers of entry afforded a Provincial Officer pursuant to the *Environmental Protection Act* as amended.
3. Conditions 2 and 6 are to ensure that the waste disposal Site is operated in accordance with the application for this Certificate and supporting information and not in any way or under any name which the Director has not been asked to consider, and to ensure the property is cleaned up and restored to the satisfaction of the Ministry.
4. Condition 14 is required to clarify that the terms and conditions of this Certificate impose a standard of due diligence and not absolute liability.
5. Conditions 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 30 are to ensure that the Site is used only for the wastes and quantities specified; that the Site is properly supervised, monitored and operated in an organized and secure manner by trained persons in order to prevent environmental detriment and to ensure the safety of the general public and site personnel; that the collection, handling, and transportation of all waste materials are conducted in an environmentally acceptable manner in accordance with Provincial regulations; and that emergencies are properly recorded.
6. Conditions 28 and 29 are to ensure the Site is closed in accordance with Ministry standards and to ensure the environment and health and safety of the public are protected.

This Provisional Certificate of Approval revokes and replaces Certificate(s) of Approval No. A271802 issued on June 11, 1980, and amended July 26, 1996.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter E-19, as

amended, you may by written notice served upon me and the Environmental Appeal Board within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Board. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act, provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the approval or each term or condition in the approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The Certificate of Approval number;
6. The date of the Certificate of Approval;
7. The name of the Director;
8. The municipality within which the waste disposal site is located;

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary
Environmental Appeal Board
2300 Yonge St., 12th Floor
P.O. Box 2382
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

AND

The Director
Section 39, *Environmental Protection Act*
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Appeal Board's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Board at: Tel: (416) 314-4600, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca


The above noted waste disposal site is approved under Section 39 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 11th day of April, 2000

THIS IS A TRUE COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL CERTIFICATE MAILED

ON Apr 14/00



(Signed)



Andrzej Dominski, P.Eng.
Director
Section 39, *Environmental Protection Act*

SE/

c: District Manager, MOE Owen Sound

**AMENDMENT TO ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL**

NUMBER 0441-4J2HV8

Notice No. 1

Issue Date: October 29, 2012

The Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
1925 County Road 10
Post Office Box, No. 70
Arran-Elderslie, Ontario
N0G 1L0

Site Location: Arran Landfill Site
657 Sideroad 20 Arran, Part 1, Ref. Plan RP-3R9072
Lot S 1/2 Lot 20, Concession 7
Arran-Elderslie Municipality, County of Bruce

You are hereby notified that I have amended Approval No. 0441-4J2HV8 issued on April 11, 2000 for the use and operation of a 4.45 ha landfill within a total site area of 25.46 hectares , as follows:

I. The following definitions are hereby added to this Approval:

“Approval ” means this Environmental Compliance Approval, including all items, conditions and Schedules attached to and forming part of this Approval, as amended by the Director.

“Director ” means any *Ministry* employee appointed by the Minister pursuant to Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, as amended.

II. The following conditions of this Approval are hereby amended:

REGISTRATION ON TITLE

14. (d) Within sixty (60) days of the date of this *Approval* , the *Owner* shall submit to the *Director* for the Director's signature two (2) copies of a completed Certificate of Requirement, containing a registerable description of the 1.16 hectare land to the north of the *Site* .
- (e) Within ten (10) calendar days of receiving the Certificate of Requirement signed by the *Director* , the *Owner* shall register the Certificate of Requirement in the appropriate land registry office on title to the *Site* and submit to the *Director* a duplicate registered copy.

LANDFILL OPERATIONS

25. Site development in Phase I area, in accordance with Items 9 through 12 in Schedule "A", is hereby approved.
26. Should it become necessary to implement the contingency leachate collection system, the Owner shall submit the detailed design drawings and specifications, quality assurance / quality control program for the construction of the leachate header pipe, cleanouts, and leachate storage facility, operation and maintenance of the leachate collection system for Director's approval prior to implementation.
27. The annual amount of waste disposed of at the Site shall not exceed 6,000 cubic metres.
28. The *Owner* shall develop and implement a program to inspect waste to ensure that the waste is of a type approved for acceptance under this *Approval* .
29. All loads of waste must be properly inspected by trained site personnel prior to acceptance at the site and waste vehicles must be diverted to appropriate areas for waste disposal.
30. The Owner shall deposit waste in a manner that minimizes exposure area at the landfill working face and all waste shall be compacted before cover is applied.
31. No waste shall be deposited in groundwater or surface water at the site.
32. The *Site* shall be operated and maintained such that the vermin, vectors, dust, litter, odour, noise and traffic do not create a nuisance.
33. The Owner shall control fugitive dust emissions from on site sources including but not limited to on-site roads, stockpiled cover material and, closed landfill area prior to seeding especially during times of dry weather conditions. If necessary, major sources of dust shall be treated with water and/or dust suppression materials to minimize the overall dust emissions from the site.
34. The Owner shall take all practical steps to prevent escape of litter from the site. The Owner shall inspect and collect litter from the site on a weekly basis during the spring, summer and fall months. During winter months, litter collection shall be completed on a bi-weekly frequency. All loose, windblown litter shall be collected and disposed of at the landfill working face.
35. All buildings are to be free of any landfill gas accumulation. The Owner shall provide adequate ventilation systems to relieve landfill gas accumulations in buildings if necessary.
36. Burning of any type of waste, clean wood and brush is prohibited at this Site.

Landfill Cover

37. Cover material shall be applied as follows:
 - a. Daily Cover - At the end of each working day, the entire working face shall be covered

- with a minimum thickness of 150 mm of soil cover or an approved thickness of alternative cover material;
- b. Intermediate Cover - In areas where landfilling has been temporarily discontinued for six months or more, a minimum thickness of 300 mm of soil cover or an approved thickness of alternative cover material shall be placed; and
 - c. Final Cover - In areas where landfilling has been completed to final contours, a minimum 600 mm thick layer of final cover soil having a hydraulic conductivity value of 10^{-7} m/s or less shall be placed in lifts of 150 mm or less. The final cover material shall be compacted to 95% Standard Proctor Density (SPD). A layer of 150 mm of topsoil shall be placed above the 600 mm thick soil layer. Fill areas shall be progressively completed and rehabilitated as landfill development reaches final contours.

Employees and Training

38. A training plan for all employees that operate any aspect of the site shall be developed and implemented by the *Owner*. Only *Trained Personnel* shall operate any aspect of the *Site* or carry out any activity required under this *Approval*.

Daily Inspections and Log Book

39. An inspection of the entire *Site* and all equipment on the *Site* shall be conducted each day the *Site* is in operation to ensure that: the *Site* is secure; that the operation of the *Site* is not causing any nuisances; that the operation of the *Site* is not causing any adverse effects on the environment and that the site is being operated in compliance with this *Approval*. Any deficiencies discovered as a result of the inspection shall be remedied immediately, including temporarily ceasing operations at the *Site* if needed.
40. A record of the inspections shall kept in a daily log book that includes:
 - a. the name and signature of person that conducted the inspection;
 - b. the date and time of the inspection;
 - c. the list of any deficiencies discovered;
 - d. The recommendations for remedial action; and
 - e. the date, time and description of actions taken.
41. A record shall be kept in the daily log book of all refusal of waste shipments, the reason(s) for refusal, and the origin of the waste, if known.

Monitoring Program

42. By September 30, 2012, the *Owner* shall install two new deep monitoring wells at the east site boundary and three new monitoring wells in the vicinity of landfill footprint as described in Item 11 of Schedule "A".
43. Groundwater, surface water and landfill gas monitoring shall be carried out by the *Owner* in

accordance with the sampling program set out in Section 17.0 and Table 6 of Item 9 in Schedule "A", with the addition of the five new monitoring wells described in Condition 41.

44. No alterations to the groundwater or surface water monitoring programs shall be implemented prior to receiving written agreement from the District Manager or written approval from the Director.
45.
 - (1) The Owner shall follow the trigger mechanisms for groundwater and surface water as detailed in Attachment A in Item 9 and Table 1 in Item 11 of Schedule "A".
 - (2) In the event a result of a monitoring test carried out under a monitoring program does not comply with the standards set out in the above condition, the *Owner* shall:
 - a. notify the District Manager immediately upon receipt of the result;
 - b. conduct confirmatory sampling within 30 days of the trigger event date;
 - c. conduct an investigation into the cause of the adverse result and submit a report to the *District Manager* that includes an assessment of whether contingency measures need to be carried out;
 - d. if contingency measures are needed, submit detailed plans, specifications and descriptions for the design, operation and maintenance of the contingency measures, and a schedule as to when these measures will be implemented, to the *Director* and notify *District Manager* ; and
 - e. implement the required contingency measures upon approval by the *Director* .

Surface Water Management

46. The Owner shall take all appropriate measures to minimize surface water from coming in contact with waste. Temporary berms and ditches shall be constructed around active waste disposal areas to prevent extraneous surface water from coming in contact with the active working face.

Complaints Procedure

47. If at any time, the *Owner* receives complaints regarding the operation of the *Site* , the *Owner* shall respond to these complaints according to the following procedure:
 - a) The *Owner* shall record and number each complaint, either electronically or in a log book, and shall include the following information: the nature of the complaint, the name, address and the telephone number of the complainant if the complainant will provide this information and the time and date of the complaint;
 - b) The Owner, upon notification of the complaint, shall initiate appropriate steps to determine all possible causes of the complaint, proceed to take the necessary actions to eliminate the cause of the complaint and forward a formal reply to the complainant; and
 - c) The Owner shall complete and retain on-site a report written within one (1) week of the complaint date, listing the actions taken to resolve the complaint and any

recommendations for remedial measures, and managerial or operational changes to reasonably avoid the recurrence of similar incidents.

Emergency Situations

48. In the event of a fire or discharge of a contaminant to the environment, site staff shall forthwith notify the MOE Spills Action Centre (1-800-268-6060) and the District Office of the MOE.
49. The Owner shall submit to the District Manager a written report within 3 days of the spill or incident, outlining the nature of the incident, remedial measures taken and measures taken to prevent future occurrences at the Site.
50. The Owner shall prepare an Emergency Response Manual for the site within ninety (90) days of issuance of this Approval in consultation with local emergency response agencies. The Emergency Response Manual should indicate the responsibility of each of the stakeholders with respect to handling possible emergency situations.
51. The Emergency Response Manual shall be updated on a regular basis and be provided to the District Manager within one month of the revision date.
52. The Owner shall ensure that adequate fire fighting and contingency spill clean up equipment is available and that emergency response personnel are familiar with its use and location.

Closure Plan

53. At least 2 years prior to the anticipated date of closure of this *Site*, the Owner shall submit to the *Director* for approval, with copies to the *District Manager*, a detailed site closure plan pertaining to the termination of landfilling operations at this *Site*, post-closure inspection, maintenance and monitoring, and end use. The plan shall include the following:
 - a. a plan showing *Site* appearance after closure;
 - b. a description of the proposed end use of the *Site*;
 - c. a descriptions of the procedures for closure of the *Site*, including:
 - i. advance notification of the public of the landfill closure;
 - ii. posting of a sign at the Site entrance indicating the landfill is closed and identifying any alternative waste disposal arrangements;
 - iii. completion, inspection and maintenance of the final cover and landscaping;
 - iv. site security;
 - v. removal of unnecessary landfill-related structures, buildings and facilities; and
 - vi. final construction of any control, treatment, disposal and monitoring facilities for leachate, groundwater, surface water and landfill gas;
 - d. a schedule indicating the time-period for implementing sub-conditions i) to vi) above.
 - e. descriptions of the procedures for post-closure care of the Site, including:
 - i. operation, inspection and maintenance of the control, treatment, disposal and monitoring facilities for leachate, groundwater, surface water and landfill gas;
 - ii. record keeping and reporting; and

- iii. complaint contact and response procedures;
- f. an assessment of the adequacy of and need to implement the contingency plans for leachate and methane gas; and
- g. an updated estimate of the contaminating life span of the *Site* , based on the results of the monitoring programs to date.

54. The *Site* shall be closed in accordance with the closure plan as approved by the *Director*.

Annual Report

55. A written report on the development, operation and monitoring of the *Site* , shall be completed annually. The Annual Report shall be submitted to the *District Manager* by April 30 of the year following the calendar year covered by the report.

56. The Annual Report shall include the following:

- a. the results and an interpretive analysis of the results of all leachate, groundwater, surface water and landfill gas monitoring, including an assessment of the need to amend the monitoring programs and the trigger mechanisms;
- b. an assessment of the operation and performance of all engineered facilities, the need to amend the design or operation of the *Site* , and the adequacy of and need to implement the contingency plans;
- c. an evaluation of the horizontal and vertical extent of the leachate impact on groundwater, and an updated estimate of contaminant attenuation zone required based on the groundwater monitoring data;
- d. site plans showing the existing contours of the *Site*; areas of landfilling operation during the reporting period; areas of intended operation during the next reporting period; areas of excavation during the reporting period; the progress of final cover, vegetative cover, and any intermediate cover application; previously existing site facilities; facilities installed during the reporting period; and site preparations and facilities planned for installation during the next reporting period;
- e. calculations of the volume of waste, daily and intermediate cover, and final cover deposited or placed at the *Site* during the reporting period and a calculation of the total volume of Site capacity used during the reporting period;
- f. a calculation of the remaining capacity of the *Site* and an estimate of the remaining *Site* life;
- g. a summary of the weekly, maximum daily and total annual quantity (tonnes) of waste received at the Site.
- h. a summary of any complaints received and the responses made;
- i. a discussion of any operational problems encountered at the *Site* and corrective action taken;
- j. any changes to the Plan of Development and Operations and the Closure Plan that have been approved by the Director since the last *Annual Report*;
- k. a report on the status of all monitoring wells and a statement as to compliance with Ontario Regulation 903; and
- l. any other information with respect to the *Site* which the *District Manager* may require

from time to time.

III. The following items are hereby added to Schedule "A"

9. Report entitled "Plan of Development & Operations - Phase I Arran Landfill, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce" dated February 2011 (Revised August 2012) prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited.
10. Report entitled "Hydrogeologic Investigation Arran Landfill Site, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie" dated February 2007 prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited.
11. Letter dated January 11, 2012 addressed to Ministry of the Environment from Gamsby and Mannerow providing a response to the Ministry's review comments on the Phase I Plan of Development and Operations.
12. Report entitled "Stormwater Management Report Arran Landfill, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce" dated March 2012 prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited.

IV. Schedule "B" of this Approval is hereby revoked.

The reasons for this amendment to the Approval are as follows:

1. The reason for amending Condition 14 is to ensure the newly acquired land is registered on the Site's title. This is to provide that any persons having an interest in the Site are aware that the land has been approved and used for the purposes of waste disposal.
2. The reason for Condition 25 is to approve the Development and Operations Plan for Phase I of the Site.
3. The reason for Condition 26 is to ensure the leachate collection system if required, is properly designed, constructed, and operated.
4. The reason for Condition 27 is to specify the maximum allowable fill rate at the Site.
5. The reason for Conditions 28 and 29 is to ensure appropriate waste inspection procedures are undertaken so that waste that is disposed of at the site is in accordance with the type specified in this Approval.
6. The reasons for Conditions 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35 are to ensure that the Site is operated inspected and maintained in an environmentally acceptable manner and does not result in a hazard or nuisance to the natural environment or any person.
7. The reason for Condition 36 is to ensure no waste burning is carried out at the Site for safety and air quality issues.

8. The reasons for Conditions 37 are to ensure that daily and intermediate cover is used to control potential nuisance effects, to facilitate vehicle access on the site, and to ensure an acceptable site appearance is maintained. The proper closure of a landfill site requires the application of a final cover which is aesthetically pleasing, controls infiltration, and is suitable for the end use planned for the site.
9. The reason for Condition 38 is to ensure that the Site is supervised and operated by properly trained staff in a manner which does not result in a hazard or nuisance to the natural environment or any person.
10. The reason for Condition 39, 40 and 41 is to ensure that the Site is supervised and operated by properly trained staff in a manner which does not result in a hazard or nuisance to the natural environment or any person.
11. The reason for Condition 42, 43, and 44 are to demonstrate that the landfill site is performing as designed and the impacts on the natural environment are acceptable. Regular monitoring allows for the analysis of trends over time and ensures that there is an early warning of potential problems so that any necessary remedial/contingency action can be taken.
12. The reason for Condition 45 is to ensure that the Owner follows a plan with an organized set of procedures for identifying and responding to unexpected but possible problems at the Site. A remedial action / contingency plan is necessary to ensure protection of the natural environment.
13. The reason for Condition 46 is to ensure that the surface water discharged to the environment does not have an impact on the environment.
14. The reason for Condition 47 is to ensure that any complaints regarding landfill operations at this Site are responded to in a timely and efficient manner.
15. The reason for Conditions 48, 49, 50, 51 and 52 are is to guarantee that appropriate measures are taken by the Owner to prevent future occurrences of spills or fires at the site and to protect public health and safety and the environment.
16. The reasons for Conditions 53 and 54 is to ensure that final closure of the Site is completed in accordance with Ministry standards, and to ensure the long-term protection of the health and safety of the public and the environment.
17. The reasons for Conditions 55 and 56 are to ensure that regular review of site development, operations and monitoring data is documented and any possible improvements to site design, operations or monitoring programs are identified. An annual report is an important tool used in reviewing site activities and for determining the effectiveness of site design.

This Notice shall constitute part of the approval issued under Approval No. 0441-4J2HV8 dated April

11, 2000

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed

Pursuant to subsection 139(3) of the Environmental Protection Act, a hearing may not be required with respect to any terms and conditions in this environmental compliance approval, if the terms and conditions are substantially the same as those contained in an approval that is amended or revoked by this environmental compliance approval.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5


AND


The Director appointed for the purposes of
Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 29th day of October, 2012





Tesfaye Gebrezghi, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the

RL/

c: District Manager, MOE Owen Sound
Matthew Nelson, Gamsby and Mannerow Limited ✓

AMENDMENT TO ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVAL

NUMBER 0441-4J2HV8

Notice No. 2

Issue Date: December 6, 2017

The Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
1925 Bruce County Road 10
Post Office Box, No. 70
Chesley, Ontario
N0G 1L0

Site Location: Arran Landfill Site
Lot 20, Concession 7
Arran-Elderslie Municipality, County of Bruce, N0H 2N0

You are hereby notified that I have amended Approval No. 0441-4J2HV8 issued on April 11, 2000 and amended on October 29, 2012 for the use and operation of a 4.45 ha landfill within a total site area of 25.46 hectares , as follows:

Condition 45(2) is hereby revoked.

Condition 45(1) is hereby revoked and replaced with the following:

45. (1) The *Owner* shall follow the trigger mechanisms for groundwater and surface water shall be in accordance with Item 13 of Schedule "A".

Item 13 is added to the Schedule "A":

13. Environmental Compliance Approval Application dated June 28, 2017 and signed by Scott McLeod, Public Works Manager, the Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, including the attached report titled "Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Trigger Mechanism & Contingency Plan, Arran Landfill Site - Phase I" dated February 2011 (Revision 2: December 2017) prepared by GM Blue Plan Engineering.

The reason for this amendment to the Approval is to approve the revised trigger mechanisms and contingency plans.

This Notice shall constitute part of the approval issued under Approval No. 0441-4J2HV8 dated April 11, 2000

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

- a. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;
- b. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

Pursuant to subsection 139(3) of the Environmental Protection Act, a hearing may not be required with respect to any terms and conditions in this environmental compliance approval, if the terms and conditions are substantially the same as those contained in an approval that is amended or revoked by this environmental compliance approval.

The Notice should also include:

- 1. The name of the appellant;
- 2. The address of the appellant;
- 3. The environmental compliance approval number;
- 4. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
- 5. The name of the Director, and;
- 6. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change
135 St. Clair Avenue West, 1st Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1P5

* Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 326-5370 or www.ert.gov.on.ca

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 6th day of December, 2017



Dale Gable, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the
Environmental Protection Act

RM/

c: District Manager, MOECC Owen Sound
Matthew Nelson, GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE APPROVALNUMBER 7585-8QKL5Q
Issue Date: July 17, 2012

The Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
1925 County Road 10
Post Office Box, No. 70
Arran-Elderslie, Ontario
N0G 1L0

Site Location: Arran Landfill Site
657 Sideroad 20 Arran, Part 1, Ref. Plan RP-3R9072
Lot S 1/2 Lot 20, Concession 7
Arran-Elderslie Municipality, County of Bruce

You have applied under section 20.2 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E. 19 (Environmental Protection Act) for approval of:

Constructing a stormwater management facility to service 7.3 ha drainage area of Phase I development of Arran Landfill Site within a total of 24.3 ha drainage area property located at Lot S1/2 Lot 20, Concession 7, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce, designed to provide quality and quantity control of stormwater runoff by attenuating runoff from storm events with up to 1:100 year return frequency to pre-development levels, consisting of the following:

South Drainage Ditch

- One (1) approximately 170 m long drainage V-shaped ditch running along the southern part of the site having 3H:1V side slopes, variable depth with a minimum of 0.5 m, and a 0.90% horizontal slope, discharging through a sediment forebay to a stormwater management facility described below;

North Drainage Ditch

- One (1) approximately 325 m long drainage ditch running along the northern and western part of the site having a 1.0 m bottom width, 3H:1V side slopes, variable depth with a minimum of 0.3 m, and a horizontal slope ranging from 0.6% to 1.5%, flowing through a 450 mm diameter storm sewer, discharging through a sediment forebay to a stormwater management facility described below;

Stormwater Management Facility (Wetland)

- One (1) extended detention stormwater management facility located at the south-western part of the landfill footprint, having an approximate total length of 160 m, a typical width of 15.0 m, an average depth of 1.2 m (top at 235 m MASL, bottom at 233.8 m MASL), and side slopes of 5H:1V; equipped with one (1) 5 m wide x 10 m long x 0.5 m deep sediment forebay (east forebay), one (1) 5 m wide x 10 m long x 0.5 m deep sediment forebay (west forebay), and one (1) 5 m wide x 20 m long x 0.5 m deep permanent micro pool; providing a permanent storage capacity of 310 m³ and an extended storage capacity of 1,625 m³; equipped with an outlet structure consisting of one (1) 250 mm diameter HDPE storm sewer, discharging to an existing stormwater pond which discharges to an existing swamp area and eventually to Arkwright Creek;
- including all controls and associated appurtenances.

All in accordance with the supporting documentation listed in Schedule 'A'.

For the purpose of this environmental compliance approval, the following definitions apply:

"*Approval*" means this entire document and any schedules attached to it, and the application.

"*Director*" means a person appointed by the Minister pursuant to section 5 of the *EPA* for the purposes of Part II.1 of the *EPA*.

"*District Manager*" means the District Manager of the Owen Sound District Office of the Ministry.

"*EPA*" means the Environmental Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.E.19, as amended.

"*Ministry*" means the ministry of the government of Ontario responsible for the *EPA* and *OWRA* and includes all officials, employees or other persons acting on its behalf.

"*Owner*" means The Corporation of the Municipality of Arran-Elderslie and its successors and assignees.

"*OWRA*" means the Ontario Water Resources Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.40, as amended.

"*Substantial Completion*" has the same meaning as "*substantial performance*" in the Construction Lien Act.

"*Works*" means the sewage works described in the *Owner's* application and this *Approval*

You are hereby notified that this environmental compliance approval is issued to you subject to the terms and conditions outlined below:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (1) The *Owner* shall ensure that any person authorized to carry out work on or operate any aspect of the *Works* is notified of this *Approval* and the conditions herein and shall take all reasonable measures to ensure any such person complies with the same.
- (2) Except as otherwise provided by these conditions, the *Owner* shall design, build, install, operate and maintain the *Works* in accordance with the description given in this *Approval*, and the application for approval of the *Works*.
- (3) Where there is a conflict between a provision of any submitted document referred to in this *Approval* and the conditions of this *Approval*, the conditions in this *Approval* shall take precedence, and where there is a conflict between the listed submitted documents in the schedule, the document bearing the most recent date shall prevail.
- (4) Where there is a conflict between the documents listed in the Schedule and the application, the application shall take precedence unless it is clear that the purpose of the document was to amend the application.
- (5) The conditions of this *Approval* are severable. If any condition of this *Approval*, or the application of any condition of this *Approval* to any circumstance, is held invalid or unenforceable, the application of such condition to other circumstances and the remainder of this *Approval* shall not be affected thereby.

2. EXPIRY OF APPROVAL

The approval issued by this *Approval* will cease to apply to those parts of the *Works* which have not been constructed within five (5) years of the date of this *Approval*.

3. CHANGE OF OWNER

- (1) The *Owner* shall notify the *District Manager* and the *Director*, in writing, of any of the following changes within thirty (30) days of the change occurring:
 - (a) change of *Owner*;
 - (b) change of address of the *Owner*;
 - (c) change of partners where the *Owner* is or at any time becomes a partnership, and a copy of the most recent declaration filed under the Business Names Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.B17 shall be included in the notification to the *District Manager*;
 - (d) change of name of the corporation where the *Owner* is or at any time becomes a corporation, and a copy of the most current information filed under the Corporations Information Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C39 shall be included in the notification to the *District Manager*;

- (2) In the event of any change in Ownership of the *Works*, other than a change to a successor municipality, the *Owner* shall notify in writing the succeeding owner of the existence of this *Approval*, and a copy of such notice shall be forwarded to the *District Manager* and the *Director*.

4. UPON THE SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF THE WORKS

- (1) Upon the *Substantial Completion* of the *Works*, the *Owner* shall prepare a statement, certified by a Professional Engineer, that the *Works* are constructed in accordance with this *Approval*, and upon request, shall make the written statement available for inspection by Ministry personnel.
- (2) Within one (1) year of the *Substantial Completion* of the *Works*, a set of as-built drawings showing the *Works* “as constructed” shall be prepared. These drawings shall be kept up to date through revisions undertaken from time to time and a copy shall be retained at the *Works* for the operational life of the *Works*.

5. MONITORING AND RECORDING

- (1) The *Owner* shall carry out the following stormwater monitoring program. Grab samples shall be collected from the designated sampling locations at a **semi-annual frequency (spring and fall)** and analysed for the following parameters listed in Table 1:

Table 1 - Stormwater Monitoring		
Sampling Locations ^{*Note 1} : Stormwater Management Pond Outlet		
Parameters	Parameters	Field Parameters
Alkalinity	Arsenic	Conductivity
Ammonia	Boron	pH
Chloride	Cadmium	Temperature
Conductivity	Cobalt	
Nitrate as Nitrogen	Chromium	
Nitrite as Nitrogen	Copper	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Iron	
pH	Lead	
Total Phosphorus	Mercury	
Total Suspended Solids	Zinc	
Total Dissolved Solids		
Sulphate		
Biological Oxygen Demand (CBOD ₅)		
Chemical Oxygen Demand		
Phenol		

* Note 1: Stormwater sample shall be collected immediately after a rainfall event which

caused stormwater discharge from the pond.

- (2) The methods and protocols for sampling, analysis and recording shall conform, in order of precedence, to the methods and protocols specified in the following:
 - (a) the Ministry's Procedure F-10-1, "Procedures for Sampling and Analysis Requirements for Municipal and Private Sewage Treatment Works (Liquid Waste Streams Only), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions;
 - (b) the Ministry's publication "Protocol for the Sampling and Analysis of Industrial/Municipal Wastewater" (January 1999), ISBN 0-7778-1880-9, as amended from time to time by more recently published editions; and,
 - (c) the publication "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" (21st edition), as amended from time to time by more recently published editions.
- (3) The *Owner* shall retain for a minimum of three (3) years from the date of their creation, all records and information related to or resulting from the monitoring activities required by this *Approval* .

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

- (1) Within six (6) months of the commencement of operation of the *Works* , the *Owner* shall prepare a detailed "Stormwater Contingency and Remedial Action Plan" for the *Works* and submit for approval to the *District Manager* ;
- (2) The *Owner* shall compare monitoring results obtained from the *Works* under Condition 5 (1) with the trigger levels of the selected trigger parameters listed in Table 2 to identify any potential leachate impact to stormwater.

Parameter	Trigger Levels (PWQO) (mg/L unless otherwise indicated)
Ammonia (Un-ionized)	0.02
Boron	0.2
Cadmium	0.0002
Chromium (Hexavalent)	0.001
Lead	0.025
Phenol	0.005

- (3) In the event that a monitoring result for any of the parameters listed in Table 2 exceeds its corresponding trigger level, the *Owner* shall re-sample within two weeks period to confirm the exceedence for that parameter.
- (4) In the event that the presence of the parameter(s) of concern is (are) not confirmed after the

second round of sampling conducted under Condition 6 (3), then, normal stormwater and surface water monitoring shall be resumed;

- (5) In the event that the presence of any parameter of concern is confirmed after the second round of sampling conducted under Condition 6 (3), the *Owner* shall implement the "Stormwater Contingency and Remedial Action Plan" approved under Condition 6(1) and notify the *District Manager* forthwith orally and in writing;
- (6) Discharge of contaminated stormwater from the *Works* to storm sewer/surface water is prohibited, except where it is necessary to avoid loss of life, personal injury, danger to public health or severe property damage;
- (7) After two years of monitoring in accordance with Condition 5 (1), the *Owner* may submit to the *District Manager* a request for changes to the list of trigger parameters and corresponding trigger levels stipulated under Condition 6 (2) along with supporting monitoring data and an assessment report and such changes shall be approved by the *District Manager* in writing from time to time;
- (8) The *Owner* shall prepare an operations manual within six (6) months of *Substantial Completion* of the *Works* , that includes, but not necessarily limited to, the following information:
 - (a) operating procedures for routine operation of the *Works* ;
 - (b) inspection programs, including frequency of inspection, for the *Works* and the methods or tests employed to detect when maintenance is necessary;
 - (c) repair and maintenance programs, including the frequency of repair and maintenance for the *Works* ;
 - (d) procedures for the inspection and calibration of monitoring equipment;
 - (e) procedures for receiving, responding and recording public complaints, including recording any follow up actions taken.
- (9) The *Owner* shall maintain the operations manual current and retain a copy at the location of the *Works* or operational office of the *Owner* for the operational life of the *Works* . Upon request, the *Owner* shall make the manual available to *Ministry* staff.

7. REPORTING

- (1) One week prior to the start up of the operation of the *Works* , the *Owner* shall notify the *District Manager* (in writing) of the pending start up date.
- (2) The *Owner* shall, upon request, make all manuals, plans, records, data, procedures and supporting documentation available to *Ministry* staff.

- (3) The *Owner* shall prepare and submit to the *District Manager* , a performance report, on an annual basis, before March 31st following the end of the period being reported upon. The first such report shall cover the first annual period following the commencement of operation of the *Works* and subsequent reports shall be submitted to cover successive annual periods following thereafter. The reports shall contain, but shall not be limited to, the following information:
- (a) a summary and interpretation of all monitoring data collected for stormwater under Condition 5, including an overview of the success and adequacy of the *Works* ;
 - (b) a summary of all maintenance carried out on any major structure, equipment, apparatus, mechanism or thing forming part of the *Works* ;
 - (c) a description of any operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken;
 - (d) any other information the *District Manager* requires from time to time.

Schedule 'A'

1. Application for Approval of Sewage Works submitted by The Municipality of Arran-Elderslie dated February 11, 2011, and drawings and design specifications prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited, Owen Sound, Ontario.
2. "Stormwater Management Report - Arran Landfill, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce" dated February 2011, Revised March 2012, prepared by Gamsby and Mannerow Limited, Consulting Professional Engineers, Owen Sound, Ontario.
3. Memorandum from Hugh Geurts, MOE Surface Water Specialist, sent on May 31, 2011, Re: Surface Water Review: Arran Landfill, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce.
4. Memorandum from Helen Zhang, MOE, Hydrogeologist, SWR Technical Support Section, Re: Groundwater Review, Phase I, Arran Landfill, Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, County of Bruce.
5. Additional information request letter from Youssouf Kalogo, P.Eng., MOE, Senior Wastewater Engineer, dated April 14, 2011 Re: stormwater management facility design specifications.
6. Responses to additional information request from J. B. Slocombe, P. Eng., Gamsby and Mannerow Limited, dated April 28, 2011 and June 20, 2011 Re: stormwater management facility design specifications.
7. "Issuance of Grey Sauble Conservation Authority Permit GS11-014 for placement and grading of fill associated with berms, swales and stormwater management pond - Part of Lot 20, Concession 7; 657 Sideroad 20 Arran, Roll No. 41-03-490-002-116-00, Municipality of Arran-elderslie, formerly Arran Township" dated March, 2012, issued by Grey Sauble Conservation, Owen Sound, Ontario.

The reasons for the imposition of these terms and conditions are as follows:

1. Condition 1 is imposed to ensure that the *Works* are built and operated in the manner in which they were described for review and upon which approval was granted. This condition is also included to emphasize the precedence of Conditions in the *Approval* and the practice that the Approval is based on the most current document, if several conflicting documents are submitted for review. The condition also advises the Owners their responsibility to notify any person they authorized to carry out work pursuant to this *Approval* the existence of this *Approval*.
2. Condition 2 is included to ensure that the *Works* are constructed in a timely manner so that standards applicable at the time of Approval of the *Works* are still applicable at the time of construction, to ensure the ongoing protection of the environment.
3. Condition 3 is included to ensure that the *Ministry* records are kept accurate and current with respect to the approved works and to ensure that subsequent owners of the *Works* are made aware of the *Approval* and continue to operate the *Works* in compliance with it.
4. Condition 4 is included to ensure that the *Works* are constructed in accordance with the approval and that record drawings of the *Works* “as constructed” are maintained for future references.
5. Condition 5 is included to enable the *Owner* to evaluate and demonstrate the performance of the *Works* on a continual basis, so that the *Works* are properly operated and maintained at a level which is consistent with the design objectives specified in the *Approval* and that the *Works* does not cause any impairment to the receiving watercourse.
6. Condition 6 is included to require that the *Works* be properly operated and maintained such that the environment is protected .
7. Condition 7 is included to ensure that the *Ministry* is made aware of problems as they arise, and to provide a compliance record for all the terms and conditions outlined in this *Approval*, so that the *Ministry* can work with the *Owner* in resolving any problems in a timely manner.

In accordance with Section 139 of the Environmental Protection Act, you may by written Notice served upon me and the Environmental Review Tribunal within 15 days after receipt of this Notice, require a hearing by the Tribunal. Section 142 of the Environmental Protection Act provides that the Notice requiring the hearing shall state:

1. The portions of the environmental compliance approval or each term or condition in the environmental compliance approval in respect of which the hearing is required, and;

2. The grounds on which you intend to rely at the hearing in relation to each portion appealed.

The Notice should also include:

3. The name of the appellant;
4. The address of the appellant;
5. The environmental compliance approval number;
6. The date of the environmental compliance approval;
7. The name of the Director, and;
8. The municipality or municipalities within which the project is to be engaged in.

And the Notice should be signed and dated by the appellant.

This Notice must be served upon:

The Secretary*
Environmental Review Tribunal
655 Bay Street, Suite 1500
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 1E5

AND

The Director appointed for the purposes of
Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act
Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, Ontario
M4V 1L5

*** Further information on the Environmental Review Tribunal's requirements for an appeal can be obtained directly from the Tribunal at: Tel: (416) 212-6349, Fax: (416) 314-4506 or www.ert.gov.on.ca**

The above noted activity is approved under s.20.3 of Part II.1 of the Environmental Protection Act.

DATED AT TORONTO this 17th day of July, 2012



Mansoor Mahmood, P.Eng.
Director
appointed for the purposes of Part II.1 of the
Environmental Protection Act

SH/

c: District Manager, MOE Owen Sound
John Slocombe, P. Eng., Gamsby and Mannerow Limited

**APPENDIX B:
CORRESPONDENCE**

MEMORANDUM

File No. SI BR AE C7 610

TO: Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Owen Sound District Office

FROM: Simon Thuss
Hydrogeologist
Water Resources Unit – Technical Support Section

DATE: July 29, 2016

RE: 2015 Annual Monitoring Report and Trigger Mechanisms & Contingency Plan
Arran Landfill Site
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
Reference: 1163-A8BHEM

As requested, I have reviewed the following reports:

- "Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Annual Progress Report (2015) – Arran Landfill", dated March 2016 and prepared by GM Blueplan Engineering Limited.
- "Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Trigger Mechanism & Contingency Plan, Arran Landfill Site – Phase I", dated February 2011 (Revised June 2016) and prepared by GM Blueplan Engineering Limited.

My review was limited to the hydrogeological aspects of the monitoring program. Review comments regarding the 2011 to 2014 annual reports were previously provided in a memorandum dated August 26, 2015.

The landfill site is located approximately 4.5 kilometres southwest of the community of Tara, within the south half of Lot 20, Concession 7 in the former Township of Arran, now in the Township of Arran-Elderslie, Bruce County. The landfill was originally operated under Provisional Certificate of Approval (C of A) No. A271802, later replaced by C of A No. 0441-4J2HV8. Landfilling was temporarily suspended in June 2000, and resumed in October 2012. The landfill currently operates under Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) No. 0441-4J2HV8, issued on October 29, 2012.

The current groundwater monitoring network includes 19 monitoring wells, with groundwater levels

measured and samples collected twice per year (April and October). The groundwater flow direction is generally expected to be towards Arkwright Creek to the west; however, radial flow away from the fill area is also expected in some areas.

In 2015, exceedances of the Reasonable Use Guideline (RUG) criteria for alkalinity, hardness, TDS, sulphate, nitrite and/or organic nitrogen were noted at several locations. These results were generally consistent with the data presented in previous annual reports for the site.

Historically, most of the exceedances of the RUG criteria have been attributed to upwelling from a deeper groundwater flow system. In my previous August 2015 memorandum, I requested clarification on several points related to the groundwater chemistry at the site. Several of my previous concerns have not been fully addressed in the current monitoring report.

Based on a review of all available information, it appears that the exceedances of the RUG criteria along the northern and eastern property boundaries are indicative of leachate impacts originating from the landfill site. Further details are provided in the comments below:

2015 Annual Monitoring Report

1. Consistent with the data recorded in previous years, elevated concentrations (with respect to the established RUG criteria and trigger levels) of hardness, TDS, alkalinity and sulphate were measured along the northern property boundary in 2015. Historically, the Consultant has attributed these elevated concentrations to groundwater discharge from a "deeper groundwater system"; however, it appears that there is insufficient evidence to support this conclusion.

The Consultant points to the results of an electromagnetic conductivity (EM) survey carried out in 1997 and isotopic analysis carried out in 2005 to support the "deep groundwater discharge" conceptual model. As detailed in the August 2015 memorandum, I have concerns with the interpretation of these results as presented in the various annual reports. The EM survey results are nearly 20 years old and cannot be used to evaluate the current conditions at the site.

The current conceptual model is incompatible with the long-term groundwater quality trends observed along the northern property boundary. For example, the sulphate concentration at TW-4 and TW-8 was typically 25 to 90 mg/L in the 1990's, increasing at both locations to a maximum of approximately 350 to 385 mg/L during the 2000's. Similar increasing concentration trends were observed for conductivity, hardness and alkalinity. If the current elevated concentrations were due the discharge of water from a deep flow system, these concentrations should have remained relatively constant throughout the period of record.

It should also be noted that the presence of a "deep groundwater flow system" has not been demonstrated at the site. A review of the borehole logs indicates that artesian conditions were

encountered in a single borehole (TW-17) which encountered a coarse sand layer at an elevation of approximately 227 masl. Unfortunately, a monitoring well was not installed at this depth and the groundwater quality within the confined unit is unknown. Furthermore, the other boreholes drilled at the site were not advanced to a sufficient depth to confirm that this sand deposit is laterally extensive.

For the reasons outlined above, the elevated concentrations of hardness, TDS, alkalinity and sulphate do not appear to be consistent with upwelling from a deeper groundwater flow system. In the absence of any other plausible sources, it is inferred that these elevated concentrations are indicative of leachate impacts originating from the landfill site.

2. The report indicates that the recent (2013-2015) increase in chloride and hardness concentrations at TW-4 may be related to the use of calcium chloride for on-site dust suppression. Given the concerns about groundwater quality along the northern property boundary, any trends at this location should be closely monitored for evidence of further degradation attributable to leachate impacts.
3. Since approximately 2012, a rough increasing trend has been observed for several parameters (including conductivity, hardness, alkalinity, TDS and chloride) in TW-9 on the eastern boundary of the landfill. The groundwater chemistry at this location has also exhibited a strong seasonal variation, with higher concentrations of most parameters measured in the fall samples.

The Consultant has attributed these trends, in part, to road salting activities along Sideroad 20; however, I disagree with this assessment. Road salting would not contribute to an increase in hardness and alkalinity. Furthermore, if road salting was contributing to the observed sodium and chloride trends, a higher concentration should be measured in the spring following the winter salting season, and the opposite trend is observed in the samples collected from TW-9. Elevated concentrations of nitrite, ammonia, TKN and organic nitrogen at this location are also indicative of leachate impacts.

For these reasons, it appears that the recent groundwater chemistry trends observed at TW-9 are primarily a result of landfill leachate impact. It should also be noted that the increasing trend roughly corresponds with the reopening of the landfill site in 2012.

4. The highly variable groundwater chemistry at TW-9 suggests this well may have an inadequate annular seal. The well log indicates that the seal is only approximately 0.2 metres in thickness, which would not be considered sufficient under current standards. If the well seal is compromised, surface water runoff may seep into the well and influence the quality of the samples collected from this location. The well should be inspected and any necessary maintenance or repairs should be completed in a timely manner. Since TW-9 is a key monitoring location, this well should be replaced if it cannot be adequately repaired.

5. Consistent with previous results, the hardness and/or TDS concentrations exceeded the RUG criteria at TW-11 and TW-15 in 2015. The report indicates that groundwater quality is anticipated to improve at these locations as the active landfill face moves to the south and west. However, given the apparent degradation in groundwater quality at TW-9, any trends should be closely evaluated for evidence of leachate impacts migrating towards the adjacent property.
6. The groundwater quality trends at TW-11D and TW-15D appear to be relatively stable. I agree with the Consultant's recommendation to reduce the monitoring frequency at these locations to once annually.

Revised Trigger Mechanism & Contingency Plan

7. Monitoring wells TW-1, TW-2, and TW-10 are used to characterize the background groundwater chemistry at the site; however, these wells are located downgradient of the landfill and may be potentially influenced by leachate impacts. For example, an increase in conductivity, hardness and chloride concentrations has been noted at TW-1 in 2014 and 2015.

It is acknowledged that only data from 1984 to 2002 was used to evaluate the background groundwater chemistry, and as such, these recent trends would not influence the values used to develop the RUG criteria and trigger values. However, it should be noted that the previous 2011 version of the Trigger Mechanism & Contingency Plan indicated that "In the event that the background data appears to be influenced by leachate, new background monitoring locations should be established to ensure that conservative estimates of background groundwater quality are continually provided for on-going comparative purposes". The monitoring locations used for background characterization should be re-evaluated accordingly. Is there monitoring data available for TW-18, TW-19D, TW-20 and TW-21 that could be used to support the background groundwater quality characterization?

8. The Consultant has recommended that nitrate and nitrite be excluded from the list of trigger criteria for the landfill site, as these parameters could be related to agricultural land use in the surrounding area. However, sample results from TW-9 suggest that the landfill leachate along the eastern boundary contains elevated concentrations of several nitrogen compounds, including nitrite, ammonia, organic nitrogen and TKN. Accordingly, nitrate and nitrite should remain in the list of trigger criteria for the site.
9. The Consultant has also recommended that hardness and TDS be excluded from the list of trigger criteria. As detailed in this memorandum, it appears that the elevated hardness and TDS concentrations measured along the northern site boundary are indicative of leachate impacts and should remain in the list of trigger criteria for the site.
10. The Consultant has proposed that the Contingency Plan only be implemented in the event that the

trigger criteria are exceeded for two or more parameters for at least three consecutive sampling events. Given the semi-annual monitoring frequency, at least 1.5 years would elapse between the initial adverse sample result and the implementation of the Contingency Plan. This appears to conflict with Condition 45(2) in the ECA, which requires actions to be taken in the event a sample result exceeds the trigger criteria. These actions include immediately notifying the District Manager; conducting confirmatory sampling within 30 days; and conducting an assessment into the cause of the adverse result.

These actions are necessary to determine whether additional contingency measures are needed, and therefore should be carried out in a timely manner following receipt of an adverse result. Accordingly, the actions outlined in Condition 45(2) of the ECA should be carried out following the first trigger exceedance at any of the identified trigger locations. Additional contingency measures may not be required if the initial assessment indicates that the adverse result is not related to the landfill.

As detailed in this memorandum, the Arran Landfill site is not in compliance with the Reasonable Use Guideline with respect to groundwater quality along the northern and eastern property boundaries. Based on the decreasing trend in sulphate concentrations at TW-4 since approximately 2010, it appears that there has been some improvement in groundwater quality along the northern boundary over the past several years. However, the concentrations of several other indicator parameters (hardness, TDS and alkalinity) remain elevated relative to the RUG criteria.

It is acknowledged that the groundwater impacts do not pose an immediate risk given that there are no sensitive receptors (i.e. residences, water supply wells, etc.) immediately adjacent to the landfill; however, the exceedances of the RUG criteria represent an adverse impact to the groundwater quality on the adjacent properties.

I understand that the Municipality recently acquired an additional 30 metres of buffer lands along the northern boundary. There may be some improvement in groundwater quality within this buffer area; however, additional monitoring wells would be required to demonstrate that groundwater quality meets the RUG criteria along the northern limit of the buffer zone. Additional buffer lands or a Contaminant Attenuation Zone (CAZ) may also be required to the east of the site.

If you have any questions or require clarification on any of the points provided herein, please contact me at Simon.Thuss@ontario.ca or 519-873-5033.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Simon Thuss', written over a horizontal line.

Simon Thuss, P.Geol.
Hydrogeologist
Technical Support Section
Southwestern Region

Limitations:

The purpose of the preceding review is to provide advice to the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change regarding subsurface conditions based on the information provided in the above referenced documents. The conclusions, opinions and recommendations of the reviewer are based on information provided by others, except where otherwise specifically noted. The Ministry cannot guarantee that the information that has been provided by others is accurate or complete. A lack of specific comment by the reviewer is not to be construed as endorsing the content or views expressed in the reviewed material.

Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan

From: Mitchell, Ian (MOECC) <ian.mitchell@ontario.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2016 10:09 AM
To: Matt Nelson - GM BluePlan
Cc: Thuss, Simon (MOECC); Pietz, Kimberley (MOECC); Scott Mcleod (works@arran-elderslie.ca); Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan
Subject: RE: M-1174 Arran Landfill - Follow-up to Meeting (MOECC Ref #1163-A8BHEM)

Hello Matt

The following is our response to your email below:

1. We agree with the approach proposed. Could you please keep me informed as to the schedule for the well installations, as we may visit the site to see the new installations.
2. Our hydrogeologist generally agrees with the use of the 95-th percentile approach at this site for parameters with background concentrations that exceed the ODWS. To clarify, the average background concentration should continue to be used for parameters that have background concentrations less than the ODWS. The use of this approach on other sites would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
3. Please review the ECA to ensure that the updated Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan is submitted for the appropriate approval. An ECA amendment appears to be required to revise Schedule A to reference the updated plan. Specifically, the new Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan document would be added to Schedule A, and Condition 45(1) should be amended to reference the new document. Consideration should be given to removing Condition 45(2)b., since the procedure for re-sampling, etc. will be covered in the Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan document.

Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions regarding this email.

Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change
Owen Sound District
101-17th St E
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Phone (519) 371-6191

From: Matt Nelson - GM BluePlan [mailto:matthew.nelson@gmblueplan.ca]
Sent: September 27, 2016 9:17 AM
To: Thuss, Simon (MOECC)
Cc: Mitchell, Ian (MOECC); Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan; Scott Mcleod (works@arran-elderslie.ca)
Subject: M-1174 Arran Landfill - Follow-up to Meeting (MOECC Ref #1163-A8BHEM)

Simon,

Further to our meeting on September 16, 2016 regarding outstanding concerns outlined in the July 29, 2016 MOECC correspondence related to the Arran Landfill Site, the following action items were identified:

1. **Monitoring Well Installation:** Shallow monitoring wells TW-4, TW-8 and TW-9 will be ‘replaced’, in that they will be decommissioned and moved closer to the respective property lines to better reflect boundary conditions. The existing wells will be decommissioned. A monitoring well nest will be installed at the location of TW-4. These wells are currently scheduled for installation the week of October 11.
2. **RUC Determination:** For the Arran Landfill Site it was generally concurred that the use of the 95-th percentile using the existing data set provided in Table 4 of the most recent AMR (i.e. Summary of Background Groundwater Quality) would be preferred. Using the 95-th percentile rather than the average, particularly for parameters in which the background concentrations are greater than the ODWS (i.e. hardness and alkalinity) will limit the number RUC exceedances highlighted during analysis, then later dismissed as being within natural variability. We understand you are assessing whether this approach be used as a general rule for RUC determination at other landfill sites?
3. **Trigger Mechanisms and Contingency Plan:**
 - a. There was some discussion on whether the updated plan would meet the requirements of the ECA, namely the development of “trigger mechanism” schedule, versus notification requirement. While we believe the Revised Plan (February 2011, Revised June 2016) was developed so that it generally recognizes the conditions 45(2) of the ECA for the landfill, Condition 45(1) and Schedule A would need to be revised to reference the updated Plan. Will an ECA application to amend the Approval be required in order for the revised plan to be recognized or is concurrence from District Manager in consultation with the Technical Reviewers (i.e. the Regional Hydrogeologist and the Surface Water Specialist) be considered sufficient? i.e., will the ECA be amended through submission of the revised report and MOECC process, or will a formal ECA application (proponent driven) for administrative amendment be required?
 - b. Once the process is confirmed, a conference call will be arranged to discuss and solidify the details related to the trigger parameters, locations and mechanisms.
 - c. The Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan will be updated and re-submitted for review and approval.

We appreciate the time taken to meet with us and the Municipality in order to ensure that the concerns of all parties are satisfactorily addressed. Please correct/update any items presented herein.

Thanks,

Matt

Matthew Nelson, M.Sc., P.Eng., P.Geo.
Senior Project Manager, Partner

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
1260-2nd Avenue East | Owen Sound ON N4K 2J3
t: 519.376.1805 | c: 519.374.4562
matthew.nelson@gmblueplan.ca | www.gmblueplan.ca



N O T I C E - This message from GM BluePlan Engineering Limited is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged, confidential or proprietary. Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, arrive late or contain viruses. By communicating with us via e-mail, you accept such risks. When addressed to our clients, any information, drawings, opinions or advice (collectively, "information") contained in this e-mail is subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing agreements. Where no such agreement exists, the recipient shall neither rely upon nor disclose to others, such information without our written consent. Unless

**Ministry of the Environment and
Climate Change**

Southwestern Region
Owen Sound District Office
3rd Flr
101 17th St
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Fax: (519) 371-2905
Tel: (519) 371-6191

**Ministère de l'Environnement et de
l'Action en matière de changement
climatique**

Direction régionale du Sud-Ouest
Bureau du district d'Owen Sound
101 rue 17th, 3ème étage
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Télécopieur: (519) 371-2905
Tél:(519) 371-6191



Ontario

September 16, 2016

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70
1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Dear Mr. McLeod,

RE: Arran Landfill Site, Trigger Mechanisms and Contingency Plan
(revised June 2016)

Further to my letter to you dated July 29, 2016, our regional surface water specialist has reviewed the Trigger Mechanism & Contingency Plan report (revised June 2016) for the Arran landfill and provides the following comments:

The report has been done in accordance with condition 6(7) of ECA 7585-8QKL5Q. This condition allows for the proponent to apply to the district manager for amendments to trigger criteria after compiling two years of monitoring data.

Currently, twice annual monitoring is required for the Stormwater Management system and anticipated water bodies that may be influenced. The monitoring requires the anticipated general chemistry and metals suites typical for landfill monitoring.

Sites SW1, SW 9 and SW8 are proposed to remain as trigger locations. On page 8, the consultant proposes to replace un-ionized ammonia as a trigger with a chloride trigger of 120 mg/l based on the CCME guideline. Although our surface water reviewer does not have an issue with chloride being substituted for un-ionized ammonia, we do not support a trigger of 120 mg/l for SW1 or SW8. The ministry's reviewer believes Awkright creek has a chloride value substantively below 120 mg/l and the buffer afforded by the 120 mg/l trigger allows substantial potential for landfill influence before the trigger is reached. Also, the text of page 8 references 120 mg/l as the trigger yet Table 3 on the same page proposes a value of 90 mg/l (which contradicts the 120 mg/l). Which value does the consultant wish to use? Chloride levels can get quite high in a near stagnant storm pond regardless of landfill waste influence so we are somewhat hesitant to apply a chloride trigger at all to SW9. And would prefer to leave chloride in as a monitoring parameter for SW9 and observe long term trending.

Section 5.0 deals with contingency actions should trigger exceedance be realized. The plan lacks specific detail for surface water but follows generally accepted steps for dealing with landfill influence; as such, we have no specific concern with the contingency as presented.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 371-6191.

Yours truly,



Ian Mitchell , P.Eng.
District Engineer
Owen Sound District Office

File Storage Number: SI BR AE C7 610

cc. Hugh Geurts – MOECC, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Kim Pietz – MOECC Owen Sound

**Ministry of the Environment and
Climate Change**

Southwestern Region
Owen Sound District Office
3rd Flr
101 17th St
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Fax: (519) 371-2905
Tel: (519) 371-6191

**Ministère de l'Environnement et de
l'Action en matière de changement
climatique**

Direction régionale du Sud-Ouest
Bureau du district d'Owen Sound
101 rue 17th, 3ème étage
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Télécopieur: (519) 371-2905
Tél:(519) 371-6191



June 29, 2017

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70
1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Dear Mr. McLeod,

RE: Arran Landfill Site, 2016 Annual Report

The ministry received a copy of the report titled “Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Annual Progress Report (2016) – Arran Landfill” dated March 2017 and prepared by GM BluePlan Engineering. Our regional Hydrogeologist has reviewed the 2016 report for the hydrogeological aspects of the groundwater monitoring program and provides the following comments:

1. As indicated in the report, samples collected from TW-11 continue to suggest leachate-related impacts on groundwater quality along the eastern boundary of the landfill site, with minor exceedances of the RUG criteria for hardness, alkalinity and TDS observed in Fall 2016. Although it is acknowledged that any impacts in this area are likely to be limited in extent due to the primary westerly groundwater flow direction, these continued exceedances have implications for compliance with the Reasonable Use Guideline.

In reviewing the borehole log for TW-11, it is noted that this well was drilled in August 1993 and was completed with a bentonite annular seal placed only “at surface”. The thickness/depth of the seal is unknown. In contrast, TW-11D was drilled in September 2012 and was completed with a full bentonite annular seal extending from the top of the sandpack (approximately 5.2 metres depth) to ground surface. The top of the screen interval for TW-11D is only approximately 0.6 metres below the base of TW-11; however, the analytical results for samples collected from TW-11D generally do not indicate a leachate impact on groundwater quality at this location, with the concentrations of all tested parameters below the applicable RUG criteria.

The Consultant should comment on the integrity of monitoring well TW-11. Are samples collected from this well an accurate representation of groundwater quality along the eastern boundary of the site?

2. As discussed in our May 31, 2017 teleconference, it is understood that GM BluePlan is in the process of revising the trigger mechanism and contingency plan document, and will be submitting an ECA amendment application on behalf of the Municipality in order to formally recognize these changes. As discussed during the call, our hydrogeologist is in general agreement with the proposed approach recommended by the Consultant as summarized below:
- a. The list of trigger locations will be revised to recognize the replacement of TW-4 with TW-25S and TW-8 with TW-26.
 - b. Nitrate, nitrite and hardness will be retained as trigger parameters in the revised plan.
 - c. It is recognized that an increase in concentration for any of the leachate trigger parameters will also cause a corresponding increase in TDS concentration. Accordingly, TDS will not be included as a trigger parameter.
 - d. The proposed approach for the trigger mechanism (exceedance of the trigger concentration for two or more parameters for three consecutive sampling events) is appropriate and consistent with the approach applied by the Ministry at other similar landfill sites.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 371-6191.

Yours truly,



Ian Mitchell , P.Eng.
District Engineer
Owen Sound District Office

File Storage Number: SI BR AE C7 610


- cc. Simon Thuss – MOECC, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Kim Pietz –MOECC Owen Sound

**Ministry of the Environment and
Climate Change**

Southwestern Region
Owen Sound District Office
3rd Flr
101 17th St
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Fax: (519) 371-2905
Tel: (519) 371-6191

**Ministère de l'Environnement et de
l'Action en matière de changement
climatique**

Direction régionale du Sud-Ouest
Bureau du district d'Owen Sound
101 rue 17th, 3ème étage
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Télécopieur: (519) 371-2905
Tél:(519) 371-6191



Ontario

July 4, 2017

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70
1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Dear Mr. McLeod,

RE: Arran Landfill Site, 2016 Annual Report

Further to my letter to you dated June 29, 2017, containing groundwater comments for the Arran Landfill 2016 Monitoring Report, our regional surface water specialist has reviewed the 2016 annual report and provides the following comments:

We concur with the conclusion that the landfill is not having an off-site impact on surface water. The on-site storm water management facility sampled at SW9 and SW10 had slightly elevated concentrations of several indicator variables including upward trends in concentrations over time as shown by the appended trend graphs of monitoring data collected since 2012. Additionally, total ammonia concentrations above 1 mg/L were measured in April 2016 for these two sampling locations however total ammonia was low or non-detectable at all other sampled locations. Our surface water reviewer concurs with the report notes that daily operations at the landfill are likely responsible for the elevated concentrations. Overall, our surface water reviewer agrees with the report that the reported exceedances of PWQO's or current trigger values are not indicative of impacts or potential impacts to surface water features.

The report notes that the municipality will submit an ECA application in 2017 to revise the trigger mechanism and contingency plan primarily for groundwater concerns however the surface water component of the proposed plan should also be reviewed. For example, if the phenol detections in surface water do derive from the natural decay of vegetation then this variable is not of much use for leachate detection.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 371-6191.

Yours truly,



Ian Mitchell, P.Eng.
District Engineer
Owen Sound District Office

File Storage Number: SI BR AE C7 610

cc. Scott Abernethy – MOECC, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Kim Pietz –MOECC Owen Sound

Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan

From: Mitchell, Ian (MOECC) <ian.mitchell@ontario.ca>
Sent: Monday, November 06, 2017 11:50 AM
To: Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan
Cc: Matt Nelson - GM BluePlan
Subject: RE: M1562 Arran Landfill: ECA Application - Revised Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan

Thanks Andrea

Our surface water reviewer reviewed the proposed triggers and provided the following:

The proposed triggers are the same as those in the stormwater ECA minus un-ionized ammonia plus a chloride trigger (90 mg/L) for SW1 and SW8 only. The stormwater trigger for boron is acceptable to use in a revised contingency plan but I question the continued use of triggers for cadmium, chromium, lead and phenol. I would drop phenol because of elevated background concentrations. I don't see any stand-alone technical rationale for continued use of cadmium, chromium and lead other than consistency with the stormwater approval. While there is no doubt that they are high-hazard contaminants, they are not particularly soluble in water and I don't know if they are enriched in the leachate that flows in the shallow groundwater that discharges to surface. In the absence of a rationale for the metals I would suggest surface water trigger parameters similar to the ones recommended for groundwater (plus boron):

Chloride 90 mg/L

Boron 0.2 mg/L

Alkalinity, hardness, sodium, nitrate, iron (RU-based calculated water concentrations once these are finalized)

I don't recall the reason metals were included. Can you provide comment on the above?

Thanks

Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change
Owen Sound District
101-17th St E
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Phone (519) 371-6191

From: Drea Nelson - GM BluePlan [<mailto:Drea.Nelson@gmblueplan.ca>]
Sent: November 1, 2017 9:57 AM
To: Mitchell, Ian (MOECC)
Cc: Matt Nelson - GM BluePlan
Subject: M1562 Arran Landfill: ECA Application - Revised Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan

Ian,

As requested, please find attached the ECA Application and the Revised Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan for the Arran Landfill.

Regards,
Andrea

Andrea Nelson, M.Sc.

Senior Hydrogeologist

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

1260-2nd Avenue East | Owen Sound ON N4K 2J3

t: 519.376.1805 ext. 2219 | c: 519.372.4678

andrea.nelson@gmblueplan.ca | www.gmblueplan.ca



N O T I C E - This message from GM BluePlan Engineering Limited is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged, confidential or proprietary. Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, arrive late or contain viruses. By communicating with us via e-mail, you accept such risks. When addressed to our clients, any information, drawings, opinions or advice (collectively, "information") contained in this e-mail is subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing agreements. Where no such agreement exists, the recipient shall neither rely upon nor disclose to others, such information without our written consent. Unless otherwise agreed, we do not assume any liability with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the information set out in this e-mail. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete the message from your computer systems.

Ministry of the Environment,
Conservation & Parks
Owen Sound District Office

101 17th Street East, 3rd Floor
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Tel.: 519-371-2901
Fax.: 519-371-2905

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de
la nature et des Parcs
Bureau de district d'Owen Sound

101 17^{ème} rue Est, 3^e étage
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Tél. : 519-371-2901
Télec. : 519-371-2905



July 16, 2019

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70
1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Dear Mr. McLeod,

Re: Arran Landfill Site, 2018 Annual Report
MOE File: SI BR AE C7 610

The ministry received a copy of the report titled "Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Annual Progress Report (2018) – Arran Landfill" dated March 2019 and prepared by GM BluePlan Engineering. Our regional Hydrogeologist has reviewed the 2018 report for the hydrogeological aspects of the groundwater monitoring program and provides the following comments:

Based on the data presented in the report, the 2018 monitoring results were generally consistent with those recorded in recent years. Monitoring locations downgradient of the landfill (e.g. TW-1, TW-12, TW-14) continue to exhibit an increasing trend for several indicator parameters. The October 2018 sample collected from TW-2 indicated a sharp increase in several parameters including chloride, sulphate and sodium. The data from this location should be evaluated following future sampling events to determine if these results were anomalous or representative of a trend in groundwater quality.

We concur with the Consultant's recommendation to abandon TW-11. Going forward, it will be acceptable to rely on samples collected from TW-11D to evaluate groundwater quality at this location.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 374-1388.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ian Mitchell".

Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Owen Sound District

cc. Simon Thuss – MECP, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Sierra Gillies –MECP Owen Sound

Ministry of the Environment,
Conservation & Parks
Owen Sound District Office

101 17th Street East, 3rd Floor
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Tel.: 519-371-2901
Fax.: 519-371-2905

Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de
la nature et des Parcs
Bureau de district d'Owen Sound

101 17^{ème} rue Est, 3^e étage
Owen Sound ON N4K 0A5
Tél. : 519-371-2901
Télééc. : 519-371-2905



May 12, 2020

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70, 1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Via email

Dear Mr. McLeod,

Re: Arran Landfill Site, 2019 Annual Report
MOE File: SI BR AE C7 610

The ministry received a copy of the report titled “Municipality of Arran-Elderslie, Annual Progress Report (2019) – Arran Landfill” dated March 2020 and prepared by GM BluePlan Engineering. Our regional Hydrogeologist has reviewed the 2019 report for the hydrogeological aspects of the groundwater monitoring program and provides the following comments:

The 2019 sampling results are generally consistent with those reported in previous years. Groundwater samples downgradient of the landfill continue to indicate elevated concentrations of several parameters including chloride, hardness, alkalinity and TDS. Several onsite monitoring wells near the active landfilling area have recently started to show an increasing trend in leachate related parameters; however, the site remains in compliance with the Reasonable Use Guideline criteria at the property boundaries.

As previously agreed, monitoring was discontinued at TW-11 in 2019 and the well was abandoned. Groundwater quality will continue to be monitored at this location using TW-11D. We have no further groundwater comments or concerns at this time.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 374-1388.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ian Mitchell".

Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Owen Sound District

cc. Simon Thuss – MECP, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Sierra Gillies –MECP Owen Sound

June 29, 2020

Mr. Scott McLeod
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70, 1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Via email: works@arran-elderslie.ca

Dear Mr. McLeod,

Re: Arran Landfill Site, 2019 Annual Report
MOE File: SI BR AE C7 610

Further to my letter to you dated May 12, 2020, containing groundwater comments for the Arran Landfill Site 2019 Monitoring Report, our regional surface water specialist has reviewed the 2019 annual report and provides the following comments:

Stormwater management consists of a stormwater perimeter swale system that collects to a stormwater wetland. Page 16 makes the following observations:

Two samples are collected to monitor the surface water collected by the stormwater management facility located southwest of the landfill prior to being discharged to the intermittent tributary, including one from the stormwater management wetland outlet (i.e. SW-9) and one from the pond area (i.e. SW-10) (Figure 5). Since monitoring began at these locations in 2012, indicator parameters have been generally slightly increasing. In 2019, indicator parameters conductivity, sodium, and sulphate were all slightly elevated at both monitoring locations, with hardness and alkalinity slightly elevated at SW-10 only, as compared to recent years. Minor exceedances of the PWQO of some metals as well as phenols, phosphorus, and unionized ammonia were also reported in 2019. Future sampling and reporting will monitor if a trend is developing.

Accordingly, the Municipality should provide comment on SW 9 and SW 10 with respect to whether landfill influence is resulting in increasing trends. We understand from the consultant however that SW9 discharges to a small pond (SW 3) that generally has little to no discharge overland to the downgradient wetland that leads to Arkwright Creek.

Page 18 of the report notes that trigger criteria at SW1, SW8, and SW9 were not exceeded for the 2019 monitoring year. However; from our reading of Table 10, it appears boron exceeded its trigger at SW9 (stormwater pond outlet) for both sample events in 2019. If this is correct and the Spring 2020 boron sample at SW 9 exceeds the boron trigger again, we believe that will be three exceedances and the "Trigger mechanisms and contingency plan" will be activated.

Except for SW9 and SW10 as noted above, no impact is noted in Arkwright Creek in an upstream to downstream analysis and all other surface water sample points remain within

historical norms. As such, our surface water reviewer does not believe the landfill is having unacceptable impact for 2019.

The report does not recommend any other changes to the current surface water monitoring program. Please confirm our understanding of the boron trigger for SW9 and whether or it has been initiated.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact the undersigned at (519) 374-1388.

Yours truly,



Ian Mitchell
District Engineer
Owen Sound District

cc. Hugh Geurts – MECP, London
M.D. Nelson – GM BluePlan, Owen Sound
Sierra Gillies –MECP Owen Sound



July 23, 2020
Our File: M-1174

Via Email: Works@arran-elderslie.ca

Municipality of Arran-Elderslie
PO Box 70, 1925 Bruce Road 10
Chesley, ON, N0G 1L0

Attention: Mr. Scott McLeod

Re: Arran Landfill Site – Surface Water
Contingency Review
Response to MECP Comments
Annual Progress Report (2019)
ECA No. 0441-4J2HV8 (Landfill)

Dear Mr. McLeod:

In response to correspondence from the Ministry of the Environment, Parks, and Conservation (MECP) dated June 29, 2020 we have reviewed the surface water quality results from the Spring 2020 sampling event. A copy of the MECP correspondence is included with this letter. In particular the MECP provided the following comment:

“Please confirm our understanding of the boron trigger for SW9 and whether or it has been initiated.”

The surface water quality results in comparison to trigger levels and the contingency plan trigger mechanisms are discussed below. Other comments provided in the letter, primarily around expanded explanation, will be dealt with in future Annual Monitoring Reports.

The spring surface water sampling event was carried out April 10, 2020. The Boron concentration at sampling location SW-9 was recorded as 0.020 mg/L. This is below the trigger level of 0.20 mg/L. All other monitoring parameters at SW-9 were also below the trigger levels. All monitoring parameters at both SW-1 and SW-8 were also below the trigger levels. See attached Table 1.

As detailed in the Trigger Mechanism and Contingency Plan (GM BluePlan, Revision 2, December 2017), the Contingency Plan is not to be activated unless 2 or more trigger parameters exceed the surface water trigger levels at the same trigger location for 3 consecutive events.

As only one (1) parameter exceeded the trigger level during the 2019 fall sampling event, and zero (0) parameters exceeded the trigger levels during the 2020 spring sampling event, it is our opinion that the Contingency Plan has not yet been activated.



We will continue to monitor per the established program and identify any concerns if they are encountered.

By way of this letter, we are also providing a copy to Ian Mitchell of the MECP.

Yours truly,

GM BLUEPLAN ENGINEERING LIMITED

Per:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'J. L. Swiger'.

J. L. Swiger, B.E.Sc., E.I.T.

Encl. Table 1
MECP Correspondence dated June 29, 2020

Cc Matthew Nelson, GMBP
Ian Mitchell, MECP – District Engineer, Owen Sound

**APPENDIX C:
BOREHOLE LOGS AND MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION
DETAILS**

**TABLE C-1
SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA**

Well No.	Ground Elevation (m)	Original Well Depth from GS (m)	Measuring Point Top of Casing (m)	Feb-84	May-85	Aug-88	Oct-88	Nov-90	Mar-91	Sep-91	Apr-92	Nov-92	May-93	May-94	Nov-94	May-95	Oct-95
TW-1	95.74	3.7	96.87	95.62	95.04	94.12	95.52	NM	95.60	93.70	95.56	95.45	95.00	95.56	95.60	95.61	95.25
TW-2	95.79	2.3	96.86	95.67	95.04	94.00	95.55	95.67	95.70	93.70	NM	95.50	94.98	95.51	95.49	95.50	95.05
TW-3	97.65	3.4	98.71	97.69	97.08	94.75	97.58	97.45	97.60	97.28	97.18	97.71	ABANDONED				
TW-4	95.99	5.0	97.05	95.96	95.23	94.30	NM	95.89	95.88	93.58	95.75	95.73	95.33	95.75	95.75	95.75	94.70
TW-5	93.49	4.8	94.46	93.58	92.85	91.61	93.32	93.40	93.44	91.17	93.49	93.54	93.11	93.54	93.56	93.54	93.09
TW-6	95.33	2.3	NA	95.44	95.01	94.25	95.31	ABANDONED									
TW-7	97.87	6.0	NA	97.72	97.06	96.59	97.44	ABANDONED									
TW-8	96.98	4.3	98.14	96.71	96.44	95.54	96.96	96.81	96.88	95.15	96.93	96.84	96.64	96.97	96.97	96.98	96.20
TW-9	99.38	4.1	100.54	98.24	97.26	96.83	97.71	97.48	97.86	NM	98.29	97.51	97.85	98.34	98.17	97.81	97.14
TW-10	96.14	4.1	97.37	96.09	95.41	95.01	96.21	98.48	96.07	94.32	95.80	95.89	95.47	96.30	95.91	95.93	95.47
TW-11	98.70	3.8	99.35	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	98.30	98.18	97.32	96.32
TW-12	95.02	4.6	96.00	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	95.00	95.04	95.01	94.85
TW-13	95.21	4.0	95.95	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	95.19	95.20	95.21	94.75
TW-14	94.38	4.6	95.38	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	94.33	94.35	94.34	93.43
TW-15	96.50	1.63	97.63	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI
G-1	99.33	3.3	100.70	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	99.15	NM	NM	99.06
G-2	98.28	3.32	99.63	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	98.02	NM	NM	97.93
G-3	98.85	3.34	100.19	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	96.89	NM	NM	97.01

Well No.	Ground Elevation (m)	Original Well Depth from GS (m)	Measuring Point Top of Casing (m)	May-96	Sep-96	Apr-97	Sep-97	May-98	Sep-98	May-99	Oct-99	May-00	Oct-00	May-01	Nov-01	May-02	Nov-02
TW-1	95.74	3.7	96.87	95.47	94.53	95.57	95.18	95.37	93.85	94.89	92.77	95.59	95.36	95.27	95.58	95.57	94.61
TW-2	95.79	2.3	96.86	95.26	94.30	95.38	94.97	95.15	93.61	94.75	DRY	95.44	95.14	95.04	95.37	95.37	94.37
TW-4	95.99	5.0	97.05	95.62	94.04	95.72	94.77	95.47	92.27	95.00	91.93	95.71	94.10	95.26	95.63	95.61	91.75
TW-5	93.49	4.8	94.46	93.33	92.45	93.42	93.22	93.26	88.40	92.93	89.89	92.46	93.06	93.16	93.51	93.44	89.88
TW-8	96.98	4.3	98.14	96.79	94.46	96.84	95.91	96.72	92.57	96.20	DRY	96.94	94.16	96.65	96.84	96.86	DRY
TW-9	99.38	4.1	100.54	97.98	97.14	97.46	96.88	97.31	95.95	96.91	95.93	97.66	96.74	97.49	97.40	97.43	96.01
TW-10	96.14	4.1	97.37	95.83	94.95	95.87	95.39	95.69	94.06	94.29	93.89	95.57	95.42	95.57	95.82	95.78	94.75
TW-11	98.70	3.8	99.35	97.55	96.65	97.43	96.72	97.21	95.63	96.72	95.67	97.77	96.57	97.33	97.4	96.03	95.77
TW-12	95.02	4.6	96.00	94.94	94.22	94.98	94.92	94.32	92.15	94.27	91.95	95.02	94.96	94.69	95.01	95.06	92.87
TW-13	95.21	4.0	95.95	95.17	94.26	95.20	95.07	95.13	93.43	94.32	91.95	95.22	95.15	95.00	95.21	95.29	93.75
TW-14	94.38	4.6	95.38	94.26	93.30	94.31	94.17	95.15	91.63	93.47	90.03	94.35	94.25	94.04	94.35	94.46	91.08
TW-15	96.50	1.63	97.63	NI	NI	NI	96.61	96.73	95.68	96.43	95.73	96.77	96.36	96.66	96.67	96.98	95.88
G-1	99.33	3.3	100.70	98.83	97.17	98.67	97.46	98.19	96.14	97.27	96.19	98.82	97.11	98.14	98.88	98.62	DRY
G-2	98.28	3.32	99.63	97.40	96.64	97.35	96.74	97.19	95.63	96.71	95.65	95.33	96.59	97.28	97.41	95.92	95.78
G-3	98.85	3.34	100.19	97.39	96.31	96.78	96.75	96.71	95.73	96.53	95.78	97.41	96.30	96.73	96.82	96.79	DRY

Notes:

- Elevations measured relative to a benchmark established by GMBP (formerly Gamsby and Mannerow) and comprised of a nail in a hydro pole located to the east of the site along Arran Sideroad 25.
- NI = Not Installed; NM = Not Measured; NA = Not Applicable
- GS = Ground Surface
- Ground elevations and subsequent measuring point (top of casing) adjusted in 2022 to suit UTM derived coordinates. Elevations across the site lowered by 1.6 m

**TABLE C-1
SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA**

Well No.	Ground Elevation (m)	Original Well Depth from GS (m)	Measuring Point Top of Casing (m)	May-03	May-04	May-05	Apr-06	Sep-06	May-07	Apr-08	May-09	May-10	Apr-11	Apr-12	Nov-12	Apr-13	Nov-13
TW-1	235.21	3.7	236.32	235.11	235.05	234.98	235.13	234.10	235.11	234.80	235.15	235.11	235.27	235.15	235.12	235.11	235.22
TW-2	235.35	2.3	236.55	235.20	235.10	235.00	235.17	234.11	235.12	234.82	235.17	235.13	235.30	235.17	235.14	235.17	235.11
TW-4	235.58	5.0	236.60	235.32	235.26	235.06	235.16	232.27	235.18	234.80	235.31	235.14	235.42	235.30	235.39	235.71	235.73
TW-5	232.93	NA	234.01	233.09	233.04	NM	232.91	231.45	233.16	232.51	233.26	233.21	233.30	233.26	234.01	233.23	232.95
TW-8	236.64	4.3	237.69	236.51	236.49	236.38	236.42	232.83	236.48	236.24	236.56	236.57	236.63	236.54	236.44	236.64	236.49
TW-9	239.17	4.1	240.09	238.79	237.73	237.64	237.88	236.62	236.64	237.55	237.80	237.14	237.82	237.40	237.29	238.25	237.79
TW-10	235.90	4.1	236.92	235.51	235.43	235.40	235.50	234.56	235.37	235.20	235.49	235.34	235.44	235.42	235.36	235.49	235.48
TW-11	237.75	3.8	238.90	237.76	237.40	237.16	237.45	235.79	237.11	236.97	237.52	236.65	237.47	236.97	236.86	238.06	237.75
TW-11D	238.21	NM	239.16	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	236.99	237.99	237.72
TW-12	234.49	4.6	235.55	234.58	234.57	234.58	234.61	233.81	234.58	234.35	234.64	234.65	234.67	234.65	234.55	234.46	234.64
TW-13	234.81	4.0	235.50	234.81	234.80	234.83	234.84	234.37	234.84	234.61	234.88	234.88	234.83	234.88	234.8	234.89	234.88
TW-14	233.90	4.6	234.83	233.85	233.83	233.76	233.77	232.84	233.85	233.59	233.91	233.92	233.65	233.91	234.1	234.28	234.11
TW-15	235.93	1.63	236.85	235.91	235.95	235.94	235.98	235.51	235.94	235.91	236.01	235.86	236.02	235.94	235.94	236.07	236.02
TW-15D	234.73	NM	236.58	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.63	235.82	235.73
TW-16	233.27	5.03	234.21	NI	NI	NI	NI	230.14	232.96	232.70	232.99	232.92	233.11	232.96	232.99	233.08	233.04
TW-17	233.13	4.57	234.15	NI	NI	NI	NI	232.94	233.56	233.22	233.35	233.35	233.42	233.51	233.3	233.40	233.45
TW-18	236.17	4.15	237.07	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.44	235.99	236.04	236.13	235.77	NM	NM	235.87	236.23	236.09
TW-19S	242.34	5.90	243.33	NI	NI	NI	NI	240.73	241.33	241.28	241.50	241.17	NM	NM	NM	243.33	241.69
TW-19D	242.43	12.2	242.80	NI	NI	NI	NI	240.21	241.22	241.02	241.43	240.83	NM	NM	241.05	241.78	241.45
TW-20	237.39	6.25	238.17	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.98	236.88	236.74	237.01	236.83	NM	NM	237.05	237.24	237.17
TW-21	235.33	4.57	236.19	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.03	235.20	235.14	235.27	235.26	NM	NM	235.26	235.30	235.27
TW-22	234.68	NM	235.48	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	234.44	234.53	234.46
TW-23	233.54	NM	234.34	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	234.20	234.29	234.26
TW-24	235.71	NM	236.71	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.56	235.63	235.63
G-1	238.85	3.3	240.10	238.49	238.20	237.95	238.35	NM	237.93	237.57	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
G-2	237.92	3.32	239.18	237.57	237.28	237.07	237.34	NM	237.08	236.91	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
G-3	238.42	3.34	239.53	236.15	DRY	236.09	236.13	NM	236.17	236.03	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

Notes:

1. Elevations measured relative to a benchmark established by GMBP (formerly Gamsby and Mannerow) and comprised of a nail in a hydro pole located to the east of the site along Arran Sideroad 25.
2. NI = Not Installed; NM = Not Measured; NA = Not Applicable
3. GS = Ground Surface
4. Ground elevations and subsequent measuring point (top of casing) adjusted in 2022 to suit UTM derived coordinates. Elevations across the site lowered by 1.6 m

**TABLE C-1
SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA**

Well No.	Ground Elevation (m)	Original Well Depth from GS (m)	Measuring Point Top of Casing (m)	Apr-14	Oct-14	Apr-15	Nov-15	Apr-16	Nov-16	Jan-17	Apr-17	Oct-17	Apr-18	Oct-18	Apr-19	Nov-19
TW-1	235.21	3.7	236.32	235.29	235.12	235.09	234.99	235.14	234.89	NM	235.22	234.90	235.30	235.09	235.26	235.19
TW-2	235.35	2.3	236.55	235.31	235.16	235.25	235.01	235.22	234.69	NM	235.24	235.10	235.37	235.11	235.29	235.21
TW-4	235.58	5.0	236.60	235.91	235.55	235.55	235.53	235.66	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom
TW-5	232.93	NA	234.01	233.30	NM	233.00	233.11	233.30	232.42	NM	233.19	232.34	233.09	233.12	232.76	233.14
TW-8	236.64	4.3	237.69	236.69	236.54	236.66	236.52	236.72	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom
TW-9	239.17	4.1	240.09	238.07	237.16	238.06	236.86	237.78	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom	Decom
TW-10	235.90	4.1	236.92	235.61	235.44	235.48	235.43	235.65	235.22	NM	235.55	235.30	235.70	235.46	235.64	235.59
TW-11	237.75	3.8	238.90	238.06	236.80	237.83	236.51	237.78	236.03	237.05	237.55	236.22	237.53	236.42	237.63	Decom
TW-11D	238.21	NM	239.16	237.99	236.84	237.81	236.54	237.75	235.99	236.95	237.56	236.68	237.53	236.43	237.60	236.71
TW-12	234.49	4.6	235.55	234.67	234.63	234.65	234.55	234.58	234.44	NM	234.55	234.72	234.75	234.66	234.72	234.68
TW-13	234.81	4.0	235.50	234.89	234.88	234.90	234.80	234.93	234.80	234.92	234.91	234.89	234.96	234.89	234.92	234.91
TW-14	233.90	4.6	234.83	234.31	NM	234.16	234.22	234.27	234.14	NM	234.22	NM	234.26	NM	NM	234.26
TW-15	235.93	1.63	236.85	236.10	235.89	236.03	235.83	236.05	235.62	NM	236.03	235.81	236.04	235.88	236.05	235.94
TW-15D	234.73	NM	236.58	235.85	235.57	235.77	235.52	235.82	235.29	NM	235.75	235.46	235.75	235.54	235.76	235.63
TW-16	233.27	5.03	234.21	233.11	232.95	233.05	232.92	233.15	232.87	NM	233.08	232.84	233.17	232.94	233.08	233.02
TW-17	233.13	4.57	234.15	233.51	233.42	233.55	233.40	233.51	233.25	NM	233.33	233.18	233.35	233.16	233.49	233.30
TW-18	236.17	4.15	237.07	236.23	235.79	236.18	235.59	236.26	236.02	NM	236.12	235.70	236.16	235.82	236.15	235.92
TW-19S	242.34	5.90	243.33	241.68	241.33	241.66	241.18	241.74	241.79	NM	241.51	240.69	241.69	241.02	241.54	241.29
TW-19D	242.43	12.2	242.80	241.60	240.99	241.54	240.73	241.72	241.05	NM	241.40	240.32	241.51	240.70	241.52	240.94
TW-20	237.39	6.25	238.17	237.17	237.02	237.21	236.91	237.22	237.07	NM	237.06	236.45	237.23	236.82	237.13	237.11
TW-21	235.33	4.57	236.19	235.31	235.25	235.25	235.19	235.29	235.17	NM	235.24	235.18	235.29	235.12	235.21	235.18
TW-22	234.68	NM	235.48	234.45	234.41	234.46	234.42	234.56	234.33	NM	234.47	234.44	234.55	234.42	234.51	234.45
TW-23	233.54	NM	234.34	234.24	234.14	234.24	234.11	234.31	233.99	NM	234.23	234.12	234.24	234.14	234.22	234.17
TW-24	235.71	NM	236.71	235.63	235.49	235.63	235.54	235.69	234.79	235.68	235.65	234.46	235.73	235.73	235.71	235.70
TW-25S	235.17	5.2	236.33	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	232.69	234.56	235.05	233.99	235.14	234.81	235.09	235.01
TW-25D	235.17	13.6	236.13	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	234.00	234.91	234.38	234.17	234.41	234.21	234.41	234.28
TW-26	235.54	5.7	236.70	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	234.96	235.42	235.27	235.09	235.46	235.22	235.33	235.28
TW-27	238.04	6.5	239.16	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	235.31	236.86	235.90	235.50	235.85	235.62	235.93	235.71
G-1	238.85	3.3	240.10	NM	NM	NM	NM	238.49	NM	238.72	238.43	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
G-2	237.92	3.32	239.18	NM	NM	NM	NM	237.58	NM	237.91	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
G-3	238.42	3.34	239.53	NM	NM	NM	NM	236.34	NM	236.38	236.25	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

Notes:

1. Elevations measured relative to a benchmark established by GMBP (formerly Gamsby and Mannerow) and comprised of a nail in a hydro pole located to the east of the site along Arran Sideroad 25.
2. NI = Not Installed; NM = Not Measured; NA = Not Applicable
3. GS = Ground Surface
4. Ground elevations and subsequent measuring point (top of casing) adjusted in 2022 to suit UTM derived coordinates. Elevations across the site lowered by 1.6 m

**TABLE C-1
SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA**

Well No.	Ground Elevation (m)	Ground Elevation (adjusted 2022)	Original Well Depth from GS (m)	Measuring Point Top of Casing (m) Adjusted 2022	Apr-20	Oct-20	May-21	Nov-21	May-22	Oct-22	Apr-23	Nov-23
TW-1	235.21	233.61	3.7	234.72	233.6	233.62	233.13	233.65	233.54	232.73	NM	233.59
TW-2	235.35	233.75	2.3	234.95	233.63	233.68	233.46	233.69	233.55	232.71	233.61	233.63
TW-5	232.93	231.33	NA	232.41	231.57	231.64	NM	231.68	231.32	230.17	231.33	231.56
TW-10	235.90	234.30	4.1	235.32	234.01	234.00	233.65	234.10	234.01	233.58	234.10	233.88
TW-11D	238.21	236.61	NM	237.56	235.74	NM	235.58	235.52	235.76	234.06	235.97	235.58
TW-12	234.49	232.89	4.6	233.95	233.08	233.13	233.11	233.13	233.09	232.86	233.00	233.04
TW-13	234.81	233.21	4.0	233.90	233.33	233.23	233.33	233.41	233.32	NM	233.24	233.35
TW-14	233.90	232.30	4.6	233.23	232.67	232.63	232.64	232.67	232.64	NM	232.54	232.68
TW-15S	235.93	234.33	1.63	233.13	232.29	232.21	232.27	232.32	232.31	231.63	232.31	232.21
TW-15D	234.73	233.13	NM	234.98	234.13	234.01	234.12	234.13	234.15	233.55	234.16	234.02
TW-16	233.27	231.67	5.03	232.61	231.45	231.47	231.49	231.52	231.39	230.50	231.46	231.46
TW-17	233.13	231.53	4.57	232.55	231.69	231.61	231.69	231.51	231.57	231.11	231.66	231.48
TW-18	236.17	234.57	4.15	235.47	234.57	234.20	234.48	234.51	234.48	233.72	234.57	234.31
TW-19S	242.34	240.74	5.90	241.73	239.84	239.68	239.70	239.77	239.74	238.19	239.93	240.11
TW-19D	242.43	240.83	12.2	241.20	239.78	239.13	239.58	239.62	239.80	237.90	239.83	239.51
TW-20	237.39	235.79	6.25	236.57	235.39	235.39	235.29	235.38	235.34	234.31	235.45	235.38
TW-21	235.33	233.73	4.57	234.59	233.61	233.55	233.59	233.60	233.56	233.06	233.54	233.62
TW-22	234.68	233.08	NM	233.88	232.86	232.86	232.82	232.94	232.82	232.48	232.84	232.95
TW-23	233.54	231.94	NM	232.74	232.64	232.58	232.64	232.47	232.47	231.97	232.52	232.48
TW-24	235.71	234.11	NM	235.11	234.01	234.13	234.13	234.12	234.08	234.12	234.10	234.11
TW-25S	235.17	233.57	5.2	234.73	233.48	233.48	233.47	233.47	233.42	231.51	233.43	233.41
TW-25D	235.17	233.57	13.6	234.53	232.8	232.69	232.71	232.43	232.53	232.09	232.60	232.47
TW-26	235.54	233.94	5.7	235.10	233.58	233.84	233.60	233.74	233.48	NM	NM	233.63
TW-27	238.04	236.44	6.5	237.56	234.27	234.10	234.71	234.22	234.00	234.11	234.33	234.09

Notes:

1. Elevations measured relative to a benchmark established by GMBP (formerly Gamsby and Mannerow) and comprised of a nail in a hydro pole located to the east of the site along Arran Sideroad 25.
2. NI = Not Installed; NM = Not Measured; NA = Not Applicable
3. GS = Ground Surface
4. Ground elevations and subsequent measuring point (top of casing) adjusted in 2022 to suit UTM derived coordinates. Elevations across the site lowered by 1.6 m

**TABLE C-2
SUMMARY OF TEST WELL DATA**

Well Number	Top of PVC Pipe (masl)	Ground Elevation (masl)	Well Depth From Ground Level (m)	Screen Length (m)	Well Screen Elevation Depth (masl)
TW-1	236.32	235.21	3.7	0.9	232.41 – 231.51
TW-2	236.55	235.35	2.3	1.2	234.25 – 233.05
TW-3	238.26	237.5	3.4	0.9	235.00 – 234.10
TW-4	236.6	235.58	5	1.2	231.78 – 230.58
TW-5	234.01	232.93	4.8	1.2	229.33 – 228.13
TW-6	235.75	234.88	2.3	0.9	233.48 – 232.58
TW-7	238.49	237.42	6	1.2	232.62 – 231.42
TW-8	237.69	236.64	4.3	0.9	233.24 – 232.34
TW-9	240.09	239.17	4.1	1.5	236.57 – 235.07
TW-10	236.92	235.9	4.1	1.8	233.60 – 231.80
TW-11	238.9	237.75	3.8	1.5	235.45 – 233.95
TW-11D	239.16	238.21	7.0	3	232.71 - 231.21
TW-12	235.55	234.49	4.6	1.5	231.44 – 229.92
TW-13	235.5	234.81	4	1.5	232.37 – 230.85
TW-14	234.83	233.9	4.6	1.5	230.85 – 229.33
TW-15	236.85	235.93	1.63	1.5	235.80 - 234.30
TW-15D	236.58	234.73	7.60	3	228.63 - 227.13
TW-16	234.21	233.27	5.03	3	231.24 - 228.24
TW-17S	234.15	233.13	4.57	3	231.56 – 228.56
TW-17D	NA	NA	6.55	Artesian Conditions	Well not installed
TW-18	237.07	236.17	4.15	3	235.02 – 232.02
TW-19S	243.33	242.34	5.9	3	239.44 - 236.44
TW-19D	242.8	242.43	12.2	3	233.23 - 230.23
TW-20	238.17	237.39	6.25	3	234.14 - 231.14
TW-21	236.19	235.33	4.57	3	233.76 - 230.76
TW-22	235.48	234.68	5.20	3	232.48 - 229.48
TW-23	234.34	233.54	5.20	3	231.34 - 228.34
TW-24	236.71	235.71	6.70	3	232.01 - 229.01
TW-25S	236.33	235.17	5.18	1.5	231.51 - 229.99
TW-25D	236.13	235.17	13.56	3	224.65 - 221.61
TW-26	236.70	235.54	5.69	1.5	232.37 - 230.85
TW-27	239.16	238.04	6.50	1.5	234.17 - 232.65
G-1	240.1	238.85	3.3	3.05	238.60 – 235.55
G-2	239.18	237.92	3.32	3.05	237.63 – 234.58
G-3	239.53	238.42	3.34	3.05	238.13 – 235.08

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbgs)	Completion (mbgs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
	(m below ground surface[mbgs])				Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TW-1	0.0 - 0.2	Topsoil	235.21	1 @ .2-1.1	0.9	2.8 - 3.7	0.3 - 2.1	1.11
	0.2 - 1.1	Silty TILL, grey-brown mottled, minor stones		2 @ 1.1-1.8				
	1.1 - 1.8	Fine SAND, silty (TILL) minor gravel and clay, brown		3 @ 1.8-2.3				
	1.8 - 2.3	Fine SAND, silty, grey minor gravel and stones		4 @ 2.3-3.2				
	2.3 - 3.7	Silty fine SAND/GRAVEL, grey						
TW-2	same as above	same as above	235.35	same as above	1.2	1.1 - 2.3	Silt Seal 0.0 - 0.6	1.2

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log (m below ground surface[mbs])		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbs)	Completion (mbs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
					Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TW-3	0.0 - 0.25	Topsoil	237.50	1 @ 1.25-1.7	2.50 - 3.40	Native sand 2.0 - 3.40	0.76	
	0.25 - 1.6	Brown sandy silt TILL		2 @ 2.0-2.4				
	1.6 - 2.5	Silty SAND TILL		3 @ 2.75-3.2				
	2.5 - 4.25	SAND medium to coarse, minor gravel, grey brown		4 @ 3.50-4.0				
	4.25 - 4.75	Grey sandy silt TILL		5 @ 4.25-4.75				
	4.75 - 5.20	Grey silty TILL		6 @ 5.80-6.20				
TW-4	0.0 - 0.25	Topsoil	235.58	1 @ 2.5-2.0	3.8 - 5.0	0 - 3.0	1.02	
	0.25 - 3.6	SILT TILL, sandy reddish brown and grey mottled		2 @ 2.0-3.4				
	3.6 - 5.0	SILT TILL, minor clay, and sand grey brown		3 @ 3.5-5.0				

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log (m below ground surface[mbsgs])		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbsgs)	Completion (mbsgs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
					Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TW-5	0.0 - 0.35	Topsoil	232.93	1 @ .35-1.2	3.6 - 4.8	Silt Seal 0.3 - 6.0	1.08	
	0.35 - 1.2	SILT TILL, sandy reddish-brown and grey mottled		2 @ 1.2-3.4				
	1.2 - 3.4	SILT TILL, sandy reddish-brown		3 @ 3.4-4.3				
	3.4 - 4.3	SILT TILL grey-brown mottled, minor clay		4 @ 4.3-5.2				
	4.3 - 5.15	SILT clay grey-brown mottled						
TW-6	0.0 - 0.3	Topsoil	234.88	1 @ 1.3 -2.3	1.4 - 2.3	Silt till seal 0 - 1.2	0.87	
	0.3 - 1.0	SILT TILL, sandy, grey-brown mottled						
	1.0 - 1.3	Fine SAND, silty, brown						
	1.3 - 2.3	Fine SAND, silty, grey						

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log (m below ground surface[m]bgs)		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbgs)	Completion (mbgs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
					Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TW-7	0.0 - 0.25	Topsoil	237.42	1 @ 1.25-1.7	4.8 - 6.0	Partial Peltonite 4.35 - 4.70	1.07	
	0.25 - 1.6	Brown sandy TILL		2 @ 2.0-2.4				
	1.6 - 2.5	Silty SAND TILL		3 @ 2.75-3.2				
	2.5 - 4.25	SAND medium to coarse, minor gravel, grey brown		4 @ 3.50-4.0				
	4.25-4.75	Grey sandy SILT TILL		5 @ 4.25-4.75				
	4.75-5.20	Grey silty SAND		6 @ 5.80-6.20				
5.20-6.20	Grey sandy SILT TILL					Silica Sand Packing 4.70 - 6.0 4.35 - 4.70		

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log (m below ground surface [mbgs])		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbgs)	Completion (mbgs)		
					Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal
TW-8	0.0 - 0.3	Topsoil	236.64	1 @ 0.5-1.9	3.4 - 4.3	1.0 - 1.2	1.05
	0.3 - 3.6	SILT TILL, minor gravel and clay, brown		2 @ 1.2-1.7			
	3.6 - 4.7	SILT TILL, minor gravel and clay, grey-brown		3 @ 2.0-2.4			
	3.4 - 4.3			4 @ 2.7-3.2			
	4.3 - 5.15			5 @ 3.5-4.0			
TW-9	0.0 - 0.3	Topsoil	239.17	6 @ 4.3-4.7	2.6 - 4.1	1.3 - 1.5	0.92
	0.3 - 2.1	SILT TILL, sandy, brown		1 @ 0.3-0.8			
	2.1 - 4.1	SILT TILL, grey		2 @ 1.1-1.5			
	4.1 - 4.6			3 @ 1.8-2.3			
				4 @ 2.6-3.1			
				5 @ 3.4-3.8			
				6 @ 4.1-4.6			

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log (m below ground surface[mbs])		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbgs)	Completion (mbgs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
					Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TW-10	0.0 - 0.3	Topsoil	235.90	1 @ 0.3-0.8	2.3 - 4.1	0.6 - 0.8	1.02	
	0.3 - 2.4	SAND, silty minor gravel brown		2 @ 1.1-1.5				
	2.4 - 3.2	Silty gravelly, SAND grey		3 @ 1.8-2.3				
	3.2 - 4.6	SILT TILL, grey		4 @ 2.6-3.1				
TH-1	0.0 - 0.25	Topsoil	-	5 @ 3.4-3.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	0.25 - 1.4	Sandy SILT TILL, reddish-brown mottled		6 @ 4.1-4.6				
	1.4 - 2.2	SILT TILL, brownish-grey, minor stones and boulders		1 @ 0.3-1.4				
	2.2 - 3.4	SAND/GRAVEL silty grey		2 @ 1.4-2.2				
				3 @ 2.2-3.4				

BOREHOLE LOGS TW-1 THROUGH TW-10, TH-1, AND TH-2

Testwell No.	Testwell Log		Ground Elevation (masl)	Sample No. and Depth (mbgs)	Completion (mbgs)			Standpipe Height Above Ground
	(m below ground surface[mbgs])				Screen Length	Screen Interval	Depth of Seal	
TH-2	0.0 - 0.25	Topsoil	-	1 @ 0.3-2.1 2 @ 2.1-2.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	0.25 - 2.1	Fine SAND TILL, silty brown minor stones and cobbles						
	2.1 - 2.8	SAND TILL, very silty, grey, minor gravel						

RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. TW-11

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7


DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
<p>SURF. —</p> <p>1.0 m —</p> <p>2.0 m —</p> <p>3.0 m —</p> <p>4.0 m —</p> <p>5.0 m —</p>	<p>237.75</p> <p>235.31</p> <p>233.94</p>		<p>SANDY, COBBLY GRAVEL FEW STONES AND BOULDERS</p> <p>COBBLY GRAVEL WITH LIGHT BROWN SAND</p> <p>END OF TESTHOLE</p> <p>COMPLETION: SCREEN — 1.52m x 50mmϕ PVC. FROM 233.94m TO 235.46m STANDPIPE — 2.29m x 50mmϕ PVC. FROM 235.46m TO 237.75m STICKUP — 1.2m FROM 237.75m TO 238.90m NO SAND PACK AIR SLOT BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE</p>	<p>W.L. — 2.59m FROM GRADE</p>



GAMSBY AND MANNEROW LIMITED
 people engineering environments
 Guelph, Owen Sound, Listowel, Kitchener, Exeter
 1260 Second Avenue East, Owen Sound, ON N4K 2J3
 519-376-1805 Fax 519-376-8977 www.gamsby.com

MONITORING WELL ID: TW-11D




CLIENT Municipality of Arran-Elderslie PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill Contingency Plan
 PROJECT NUMBER M-1562 PROJECT LOCATION Arran Landfill
 DATE COMPLETED 09/12/2012 CONTRACTOR Aardvark Drilling Inc.
 LOGGED BY ALE METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
 WELL CONSTRUCTION 0.05 m Ø PVC NOTES Third Attempt. Auger refusal on boulders in first two attempts.



Borehole Terminated at 7.00 m.





RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. TW-12

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7

DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	234.49			
	234.19		TOPSOIL	
1.0 m			BROWN AND GREY SILTY CLAY	
2.0 m				
3.0 m				
4.0 m	230.53		GREY SILTY CLAY	
	229.92		END OF TESTHOLE	
5.0 m			COMPLETION: SCREEN - 1.52m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 229.42m TO 231.44m STANDPIPE - 3.05m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 231.44m TO 234.49m STICKUP - 1.06m FROM 234.49m TO 235.55m NO SAND PACK AIR SLOT BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE	

RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. TW-13

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7

DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	234.81		TOPSOIL	
	234.51		REDDISH-GREY SILTY CLAY	
1.0 m	233.90		BROWN AND GREY SILTY CLAY	
2.0 m				
3.0 m				
4.0 m	230.85		END OF TESTHOLE	
5.0 m				COMPLETION: SCREEN - 1.52m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 230.85m TO 232.37m STANDPIPE - 2.44m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 232.37m TO 234.81m STICKUP - 0.69m FROM 234.81m TO 235.50m NO SAND PACK AIR SLOT BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE

RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. TW-14

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7


DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	233.90			
		~ ~ ~ ~ ~	TOPSOIL	
	233.60	~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
1.0 m		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
2.0 m		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
3.0 m		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
4.0 m	229.94	/ / / / /	BROWN AND GREY CLAY	
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
4.0 m	229.94	/ / / / /	GREY CLAY	
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
		/ / / / /		
5.0 m	229.33	/ / / / /	END OF TESTHOLE	
		/ / / / /	COMPLETION: SCREEN - 1.52m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 229.33m TO 230.85m	
		/ / / / /	STANDPIPE - 3.05m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 230.85m TO 233.90m	
		/ / / / /	STICKUP - 0.93m FROM 233.90m TO 234.83m	
		/ / / / /	NO SAND PACK	
		/ / / / /	AIR SLOT	
		/ / / / /	BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE	



GAMSBY AND MANNEROW LIMITED
 people engineering environments
 Guelph, Owen Sound, Listowel, Kitchener, Exeter
 1260 Second Avenue East, Owen Sound, ON N4K 2J3
 519-376-1805 Fax 519-376-8977 www.gamsby.com

MONITORING WELL ID: TW-15D

CLIENT Municipality of Arran-Elderslie PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill Contingency Plan
 PROJECT NUMBER M-1562 PROJECT LOCATION Arran Landfill
 DATE COMPLETED 09/12/2012 CONTRACTOR Aardvark Drilling Inc.
 LOGGED BY ALE METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
 WELL CONSTRUCTION 0.05 m Ø PVC NOTES _____



Borehole Terminated at 7.60 m.

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill

Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-16

Eng./Tech.: M. Nelson

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	
ft m							<p>Concrete</p> <p>Bentonite</p> <p>Silica Sand</p> <p>3m 010 Slot PVC Screen</p>
-3		Ground Surface					
-2		Organic topsoil.					
-1		Brown and mottled grey, stiff silty clay with some sand, moist, becoming wet with depth.	1	SS	3,4,5		
0							
1							
2			2	SS	6,9,14		
3							
4		Clayey silt till (clayey silt and silty clay), wet, becoming very wet and soft ("sloppy") with depth.	3	SS	7,14,15		
5							
6							
7							
8			4	SS	6,10,11		
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15			5	SS	3,3,5		
16							
17		End of Borehole					
18							
19							

Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 27, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 5.03

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
 People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 233.27

TOC Elevation (masl): 234.21

Stickup (m): 0.94

Notes: NW Corner of Site

Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill


Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-17S/D

Eng./Tech.: M. Nelson

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details	
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	TW-17D	TW-17S
ft m								
-3								
-2								
-1								
0		Ground Surface						
1		Light brown silty clay, slightly moist.						
2								
3								
4								
5								
6		Brown silty clay with some gravel, moist becoming wet with depth.	1	SS	4,9,10			
7								
8			2	SS	5,11,13			
9								
10								
11		Brown, stiff clay, wet. Clay becoming grey, increasingly plastic and soft with depth. Two 1-mm scale silt lenses 4.57 to 6.10m.	3	SS	5,8,8			
12								
13								
14								
15								
16			4	SS	1,1,1			
17								
18								
19								
20								
21		Coarse sand. Artesian flow in borehole. Head in augers 0.40m above ground surface, well could not be installed, borehole was capped with bentonite.	5	SS	3,1,1			
22								
23		End of Borehole						
24								



Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 27, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 6.55

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 233.13

TOC Elevation (masl): 234.15

Stickup (m): 1.02

Notes: Central West Property

Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill

Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-18

Eng./Tech.: M. Nelson

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	
-3 -2 -1 0		Ground Surface					
1 2 3 4	1	Sandy silt, moist to wet. Brown sandy silt with some clay 0.76 to 1.52m, wet (sand coarsening with depth).	1	SS	4,5,7		
5 6 7 8	2	Brown silty sand with clay, very wet and high pore water pressure (sand coarsening with depth).	2	SS	2,5,8		
9 10 11	3	Gravel with sand and silt, wet (very high pore water pressure).	3	SS	3,4,4		
12 13 14	4	Gravel till, wet. Notes: Difficult drilling.	4	SS	13,13,11		
15 16 17	5	End of Borehole					

Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 27, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 4.15

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
 People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 236.17

TOC Elevation (masl): 237.07

Stickup (m): 0.90

Notes:

Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill


Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-19S/D

Eng./Tech.: A. Bringleston

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details	
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	TW-19D	TW19-S
-3 -2 -1 0		Ground Surface						
0 1 2		Light brown silty sand and gravel, dry.						
2 3 4 5 6	1	Light brown silt till with gravel stones and cobbles, moist.	1	SS	16,28,18			
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2	Light brown silt till with stones and cobbles, moist becoming wet at 2.74m. Angular stones and cobbles 2.74 to 5.03m.	2	SS	37,17,19			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5	Light brown, dense silt till, wet.	3	SS	50,x,x			
	6	Grey/brown silt till with stones.	4	SS	39,50,x			
			5	SS	47,50,x			



Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 26 and 27, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 12.65

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
People Engineering Environments
Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 242.43 (D), 242.34 (S)

TOC Elevation (masl): 242.80 (D), 243.33 (S)

Stickup (m): 0.37 (D), 0.99 (S)

Notes:

Sheet: 1 of 2

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill

Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-19S/D

Eng./Tech.: A. Bringleston

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	
23	7						
24		Grey, hard silt till, moist.	6	SS	45,50,x		
25							
26	8						
27							
28							
29	9						
30							
31							
32							
33	10						
34							
35							
36	11		7	SS	26,50,x		
37							
38							
39	12						
40		Notes: Overall difficult drilling due to presence of rocks and dense till 1.98m to 12.64m.					
41				8	SS	34,50,x	
42		End of Borehole					
43	13						
44							
45							
46	14						
47							

Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 26 and 27, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 12.65

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
 People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 242.43 (D), 242.34 (S)

TOC Elevation (masl): 242.80 (D), 243.33 (S)

Stickup (m): 0.37 (D), 0.99 (S)

Notes:

Sheet: 2 of 2

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill

Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-20

Eng./Tech.: A. Bringleston

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	
ft m		Ground Surface					
0		Brown silty sand with stones and organics 0 to 0.76m, moist.					
1		Light brown, dense silty sand with angular stones 0.76 to 1.23m.	1	SS	10,10,12		
2		Light brown, dense silt with clay and stones with iron oxidation staining throughout, moist to wet.	2	SS	17,18,21		
3		Grey/brown, dense silt with stones layered with medium coarse sand, wet.	3	SS	13,18,20		
4		Grey silty sand and gravel, wet.	4	SS	18,15,14		
5		Grey, dense silt with clay, dry.	5	SS	10,17,17		
6		Grey silt till with seams of medium to coarse sand and gravel, wet.	6	SS	5,12,34		
7		Grey, dense, uniform silt till. Split spoon refusal at 6.32m.					
8		End of Borehole	7	SS	36,50,x		

Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 26, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 6.55

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
 People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 237.39

TOC Elevation (masl): 238.17

Stickup (m): 0.78

Notes:

Sheet: 1 of 1

Project No: M-1562

Project: Arran Landfill

Client: Municipality of Arran Elderslie

Location: Lot 20, Concession 7, Township of Arran, County of Bruce

Log of Borehole: TW-21

Eng./Tech.: A. Bringleston

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE				Well Completion Details
Depth	Symbol	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts	Recovery %	
-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Ground Surface					
	x	Light brown silt with organics, moist.					
	x	Light brown silt till, moist.					
	.	Grey/brown to grey silt till with seams of coarse sand and gravel, moist, becoming wet and grey at 1.98m. Occasional stones 1.98 to 2.74m.	1	SS	9,6,9		
	.		2	SS	4,10,15		
	.	Grey silt with sand and gravel, wet.	3	SS	5,3,3		
	.		4	SS	7,12,16		
	.		5	SS	9,13,19		
	.						
	.	End of Borehole					

Drill Method: HSA with Split Spoon Sampler

Drill Date: June 26, 2006

Drilling Contractor: London Soil Test

Hole Diameter (m): 0.16

Hole Depth (m): 5.03

Gamsby and Mannerow Limited
 People Engineering Environments
 Guelph - Owen Sound - Listowel

Ground Elevation (masl): 235.33

TOC Elevation (masl): 236.19

Stickup (m): 0.86

Notes:

Sheet: 1 of 1



GAMSBY AND MANNEROW LIMITED
 people engineering environments
 Guelph, Owen Sound, Listowel, Kitchener, Exeter
 1260 Second Avenue East, Owen Sound, ON N4K 2J3
 519-376-1805 Fax 519-376-8977 www.gamsby.com

MONITORING WELL ID: TW-22

CLIENT Municipality of Arran-Elderslie PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill Contingency Plan
 PROJECT NUMBER M-1562 PROJECT LOCATION Arran Landfill
 DATE COMPLETED 09/11/2012 CONTRACTOR Aardvark Drilling Inc.
 LOGGED BY ALE METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
 WELL CONSTRUCTION 0.05 m Ø PVC NOTES _____

DEPTH (m) (ft)	ELEVATION (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	SPT N VALUE				REMARKS	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM
					20	40	60	80				
0.00											Topsoil	
0.40											Brown sandy silt. Hard and dry.	Concrete
1.50											Grey silty sandy clay with stones. Moist.	Bentonite Seal
1.80									Wet at 1.8 m bgs			
1.80		SS 1	80	1-2-3-4 (5)								
5.20		SS 2	50	1-1-2-3 (3)								Silica Sand Pack
11.00		SS 3	80	8-12-13-18 (25)								
16.00		SS 4	75	10-19-24-35 (43)								Native Material Slough


Borehole Terminated at 5.20 m.



GAMSBY AND MANNEROW LIMITED
 people engineering environments
 Guelph, Owen Sound, Listowel, Kitchener, Exeter
 1260 Second Avenue East, Owen Sound, ON N4K 2J3
 519-376-1805 Fax 519-376-8977 www.gamsby.com

MONITORING WELL ID: TW-23


CLIENT Municipality of Arran-Elderslie PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill Contingency Plan
 PROJECT NUMBER M-1562 PROJECT LOCATION Arran Landfill
 DATE COMPLETED 09/11/2012 CONTRACTOR Aardvark Drilling Inc.
 LOGGED BY ALE METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
 WELL CONSTRUCTION 0.05 m Ø PVC NOTES _____



Borehole Terminated at 5.20 m.



CLIENT Municipality of Arran-Elderslie PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill Contingency Plan
 PROJECT NUMBER M-1562 PROJECT LOCATION Arran Landfill
 DATE COMPLETED 09/11/2012 CONTRACTOR Aardvark Drilling Inc.
 LOGGED BY ALE METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
 WELL CONSTRUCTION 0.05 m Ø PVC NOTES _____




Borehole Terminated at 6.70 m.

CLIENT Municipality of Arran Elderslie **PROJECT NAME** Arran Landfill
PROJECT NUMBER M-1174 **PROJECT LOCATION** Arran Elderslie
DATE COMPLETED 2016/10/24 **CONTRACTOR** London Soil Test
LOGGED BY AN **METHOD** Hollow Stem Auger
WELL CONSTRUCTION 2" PVC **NOTES** Lithology and sample information taken from TW-25 D


DEPTH (m) (ft)	ELEVATION (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	SPT N VALUE	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	WELL DIAGRAM
					20 40 60 80			
0.00	235.17						Ground Surface	
0.30	234.87						TOPSOIL	
1.52	233.65						Reddish brown, SILT and CLAY	
1.52	233.65	SS 1	95	3-9-9-14 (18)			Reddish brown, SILT and CLAY with trace coarse sand and fine gravel, moist at 1.5 mbgs	BENTONITE SEAL
3.05	232.12	SS 2	90	6-10-11-13 (21)			Greyish brown, SILT and CLAY with trace coarse sand and fine gravel, wet at 4.57 mbgs	CAPPED RISER
3.05	232.12							SILICA SAND PACK
3.05	232.12							10' SLOTTED SCREEN
3.05	232.12	SS 3	100	3-7-7-8 (14)				BOTTOM CAP

Borehole Terminated at 6.27 m.

CLIENT Municipality of Arran Elderslie **PROJECT NAME** Arran Landfill
PROJECT NUMBER M-1174 **PROJECT LOCATION** Arran Elderslie
DATE COMPLETED 2016/10/24 **CONTRACTOR** London Soil Test
LOGGED BY AN **METHOD** Hollow Stem Auger
WELL CONSTRUCTION 2" PVC **NOTES** 30 metres towards Sideroad 20 from North property line.




CLIENT Municipality of Arran Elderslie	PROJECT NAME Arran Landfill
PROJECT NUMBER M-1174	PROJECT LOCATION Arran Elderslie
DATE COMPLETED 2016/10/24	CONTRACTOR London Soil Test
LOGGED BY AN	METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
WELL CONSTRUCTION 2" PVC	NOTES Replaced TW-8



Borehole Terminated at 5.69 m.

CLIENT <u>Municipality of Arran Elderslie</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Arran Landfill</u>
PROJECT NUMBER <u>M-1174</u>	PROJECT LOCATION <u>Arran Elderslie</u>
DATE COMPLETED <u>2016/10/24</u>	CONTRACTOR <u>London Soil Test</u>
LOGGED BY <u>AN</u>	METHOD <u>Hollow Stem Auger</u>
WELL CONSTRUCTION <u>2" PVC</u>	NOTES <u>Replaced TW-9</u>



Borehole Terminated at 6.50 m.



RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. G-1

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7

DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	238.85	~ ~ ~	TOPSOIL	
	238.55	~ ~ ~		
1.0 m		/ / /	LIGHT BROWN CLAY	MOIST
2.0 m		/ / /		
3.0 m		/ / /		
	235.55	x x x	END OF TESTHOLE	
4.0 m			GAS WELL COMPLETION: SCREEN - 3.05m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 235.55m TO 238.60m STANDPIPE - 0.25m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 238.60m TO 238.85m STICKUP - 1.25m FROM 238.85m TO 240.10m NO SAND PACK NO AIR SLOT BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE	
5.0 m				


RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. G-2

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7

DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	237.92		TOPSOIL	
	237.62		VERY COBBLY AND STONY	
	237.31		LIGHT BROWN SAND, SMALL BOULDERS AND LARGE ROCKS	 W.L. - 2.59m FROM GRADE
1.0 m				
2.0 m				
3.0 m				
	234.58		END OF TESTHOLE	
4.0 m			GAS WELL COMPLETION: SCREEN - 3.05m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 234.58m TO 237.63m STANDPIPE - 0.29m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 237.63m TO 237.92m STICKUP - 1.26m FROM 237.92m TO 239.18m NO SAND PACK NO AIR SLOT BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE	
5.0 m				

RECORD OF TESTHOLE NO. G-3

PROJECT : ARRAN LANDFILL PROJECT NO. : M-1174
 CLIENT : TOWNSHIP OF ARRAN SUPERVISOR : P. QUINLAN
 TEST HOLE TYPE : TRACTOR BACKHOE DATE : AUGUST 6, 1993
 LOCATION : SOUTH HALF OF LOT 20, CONCESSION 7

DEPTH	ELEVATION	MARK	DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
SURF.	238.42			
1.0 m		~	TOPSOIL LARGE ROCKS	
2.0 m		~		
3.0 m		~		 W.L. - 2.44m FROM GRADE
	235.08	~	END OF TESTHOLE	
4.0 m		~	GAS WELL COMPLETION:	
			SCREEN - 3.05m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 235.08m TO 238.13m	
			STANDPIPE - 0.29m x 50mm ϕ PVC. FROM 238.13m TO 238.42m	
			STICKUP - 1.11m FROM 238.42m TO 239.55m	
			NO SAND PACK	
			NO AIR SLOT	
			BENTONITE SEAL AT SURFACE	
5.0 m		~		

**APPENDIX D:
CROSS-SECTIONS**

**Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie**



LEGEND

- T.W.-1 TEST WELL
- S.W.-1 SURFACE WATER SAMPLING STATION
- G-1 GAS WELL
- T.H. #1 TEST HOLE LOCATION
- LIMITS OF TOWNSHIP OWNED LANDS
- AREA OF APPROVED LANDFILL
- PROPOSED AREAS OF FUTURE LANDFILLING
- LIMIT OF REFUSE PLACEMENT
- GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- 234.50 EXISTING GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (MASL)


NOTE:
1. ELEVATIONS MEASURED IN METRES ABOVE SEA LEVEL (MASL) RELATIVE TO AN OBM LOCATED ON BRUCE COUNTY ROAD No. 17

SCALE = 1:2000
FEBRUARY 2007


ARRAN LANDFILL

CROSS SECTION LOCATIONS

Figure No. 4




**Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie**



NOTES:

1. ELEVATIONS MEASURED IN METERS ABOVE SEA LEVEL (MASL) RELATIVE TO AN OBM LOCATED ON COUNTY ROAD 17.
2. 10 X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION.
3. WATER LEVELS (W.L.) WERE MEASURED ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2006.



SCALE = 1:2000 HORIZ.
1:200 VERT.


FEBRUARY 2007

ARRAN LANDFILL

**CROSS SECTIONS
A-A' AND B-B'**


Figure No. 4A

**Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie**



NOTES:

1. ELEVATIONS MEASURED IN METERS ABOVE SEA LEVEL (MASL) RELATIVE TO AN OBM LOCATED ON COUNTY ROAD 17.
2. 10 X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION.
3. WATER LEVELS (W.L.) WERE MEASURED ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2006.



SCALE = 1:2000 HORIZ.
1:200 VERT.


FEBRUARY 2007

ARRAN LANDFILL

**CROSS SECTIONS
C-C' AND D-D'**

Figure No. 4B

Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



SCALE = 1:2000 HORIZ.
1:200 VERT.

FEBRUARY 2007

ARRAN LANDFILL


**CROSS SECTION
E-E'**

Figure No. 4C

NOTES:

1. ELEVATIONS MEASURED IN METERS ABOVE SEA LEVEL (MASL) RELATIVE TO AN OBM LOCATED ON COUNTY ROAD 17.
2. 10 X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION.
3. WATER LEVELS (W.L.) WERE MEASURED ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2006.

Arran Landfill Site
Part Lot 20, Concession 7
Municipality of Arran-Elderslie



SCALE = 1:2000 HORIZ.
1:200 VERT.

FEBRUARY 2007

ARRAN LANDFILL

**CROSS SECTION
F-F'**


Figure No. 4D

NOTES:


1. ELEVATIONS MEASURED IN METERS ABOVE SEA LEVEL (MASL) RELATIVE TO AN OBM LOCATED ON COUNTY ROAD 17.
2. 10 X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION.
3. WATER LEVELS (W.L.) WERE MEASURED ON SEPTEMBER 26, 2006.

**APPENDIX E:
HISTORIC GROUNDWATER QUALITY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(TABLES AND GRAPHS)**


GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-1




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-2




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-3




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-4




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-5




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-8




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-9




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-10




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-11




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-11D




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-12




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-13




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-14




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-15




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-15D




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-16




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-17




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-22




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-23




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-24




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-25S




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-25D




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-26




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: TW-27




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: G-1



GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: G-2




GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOCATION: G-3




**APPENDIX F:
HISTORIC SURFACE WATER QUALITY ANALYTICAL RESULTS
(TABLES AND GRAPHS)**


SW-1 TREND GRAPH




SW-5 TREND GRAPH




SW-7 TREND GRAPH




SW-8 TREND GRAPH



SW-9 TREND GRAPH



SW-10 TREND GRAPH



**APPENDIX G:
LABORATORY CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSES**



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
 Your C.O.C. #: 927306-01-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
 1260 - 2nd Ave E
 Unit 1
 Owen Sound, ON
 CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/04/25
 Report #: R7602356
 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3A3029

Received: 2023/04/12, 09:21

Sample Matrix: Water
 # Samples Received: 6

Analyses	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Analytical Method
Alkalinity	6	N/A	2023/04/19	CAM SOP-00448	SM 23 2320 B m
Carbonaceous BOD	6	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	CAM SOP-00427	SM 23 5210B m
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	1	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00463	SM 23 4500-Cl E m
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	5	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00463	SM 23 4500-Cl E m
Chemical Oxygen Demand	6	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00416	SM 23 5220 D m
Conductivity	6	N/A	2023/04/19	CAM SOP-00414	SM 23 2510 m
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)	6	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP 00102/00408/00447	EPA 6010D m
Mercury in Water by CVAA	6	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00453	EPA 7470A m
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	6	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00447	EPA 6020B m
Total Ammonia-N	6	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00441	USGS I-2522-90 m
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water (1)	6	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00440	SM 23 4500-NO3I/NO2B
pH	6	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00413	SM 4500H+ B m
Phenols (4AAP)	6	N/A	2023/04/14	CAM SOP-00444	OMOE E3179 m
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	1	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00464	SM 23 4500-SO42- E m
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	5	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00464	SM 23 4500-SO42- E m
Total Dissolved Solids	6	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540C m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	5	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	1	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	6	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00407	SM 23 4500-P I
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	5	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540D m
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	1	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540D m

Remarks:

Bureau Veritas is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Bureau Veritas are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Bureau Veritas' profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Bureau Veritas in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported; unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected. Where applicable, unless otherwise noted, Measurement



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
Your C.O.C. #: 927306-01-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
1260 - 2nd Ave E
Unit 1
Owen Sound, ON
CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/04/25
Report #: R7602356
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3A3029

Received: 2023/04/12, 09:21

Uncertainty has not been accounted for when stating conformity to the referenced standard.

Bureau Veritas liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Bureau Veritas has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Bureau Veritas, unless otherwise agreed in writing. Bureau Veritas is not responsible for the accuracy or any data impacts, that result from the information provided by the customer or their agent.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested. When sampling is not conducted by Bureau Veritas, results relate to the supplied samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

(1) Values for calculated parameters may not appear to add up due to rounding of raw data and significant figures.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to:

Ashton Gibson, Project Manager
Email: Ashton.Gibson@bureauveritas.com
Phone# (905)817-5765

=====

This report has been generated and distributed using a secure automated process.

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by Rodney Major, General Manager responsible for Ontario Environmental laboratory operations.



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP800		VNP801			VNP801		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11 08:00		2023/04/11 08:45			2023/04/11 08:45		
COC Number		927306-01-01		927306-01-01			927306-01-01		
	UNITS	SW-1	QC Batch	SW-5	RDL	QC Batch	SW-5 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	240	8606339	330	1.0	8606339			
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	8611210	<0.050	0.050	8611210			
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L	<2	8609865	5	2	8609865			
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L	15	8609436	14	4.0	8609436			
Conductivity	umho/cm	450	8608636	580	1.0	8608636			
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	260	8609454	335	10	8609454	335	10	8609454
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.30	8609502	0.32	0.10	8609502			
pH	pH	8.23	8608642	8.18		8608642			
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L	<0.0010	8609036	<0.0010	0.0010	8609036			
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.008	8609566	0.040	0.004	8609566			
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	3	8609432	5	1	8602703			
Dissolved Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	13	8609037	6.5	1.0	8609037			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	210	8608611	320	1.0	8608611			
Dissolved Chloride (Cl ⁻)	mg/L	11	8609058	9.3	1.0	8609058			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	8609142	<0.010	0.010	8609142			
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	1.46	8609142	0.10	0.10	8609142			
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	1.46	8609142	0.10	0.10	8609142			
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP802			VNP802			VNP803		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11 08:20			2023/04/11 08:20			2023/04/11 08:55		
COC Number		927306-01-01			927306-01-01			927306-01-01		
	UNITS	SW-7	RDL	QC Batch	SW-7 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch	SW-8	RDL	QC Batch

Calculated Parameters										
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	230	1.0	8606339				280	1.0	8606339
Inorganics										
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	0.050	8611210				<0.050	0.050	8611210
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L	<2	2	8609865				<2	2	8609865
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L	11	4.0	8609436				12	4.0	8609436
Conductivity	umho/cm	440	1.0	8608636				530	1.0	8608636
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	245	10	8609454				295	10	8609454
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.19	0.10	8609502	0.21	0.10	8609502	0.33	0.10	8609502
pH	pH	8.22		8608642				8.24		8608642
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L	<0.0010	0.0010	8609036				<0.0010	0.0010	8609036
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.009	0.004	8609566				<0.004	0.004	8609566
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	3	1	8609432				1	1	8609432
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	12	1.0	8609037				12	1.0	8609061
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	210	1.0	8608611				260	1.0	8608611
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	10	1.0	8609058				19	1.0	8609069
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	0.010	8609142				<0.010	0.010	8609142
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	1.71	0.10	8609142				<0.10	0.10	8609142
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	1.71	0.10	8609142				<0.10	0.10	8609142

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit
 QC Batch = Quality Control Batch
 Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP803			VNP804		VNP805		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11 08:55			2023/04/11 09:30		2023/04/11 09:45		
COC Number		927306-01-01			927306-01-01		927306-01-01		
	UNITS	SW-8 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch	SW-9	RDL	SW-10	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L				310	1.0	300	1.0	8606339
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L				1.0	0.050	0.52	0.050	8611210
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L				3	2	3	2	8609865
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L				56	4.0	45	4.0	8609436
Conductivity	umho/cm				790	1.0	790	1.0	8608636
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L				485	10	435	10	8609454
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L				2.5	0.10	1.6	0.20	8609502
pH	pH				8.20		8.15		8608642
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L				<0.0010	0.0010	<0.0010	0.0010	8609036
Total Phosphorus	mg/L				0.046	0.004	0.025	0.004	8609566
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L				74	1	8	1	8609432
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	12	1.0	8609061	78	1.0	87	1.0	8609037
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L				280	1.0	260	1.0	8608611
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	20	1.0	8609069	44	1.0	35	1.0	8609058
Nitrite (N)	mg/L				0.047	0.010	0.154	0.010	8609142
Nitrate (N)	mg/L				1.52	0.10	3.81	0.10	8609142
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L				1.57	0.10	3.97	0.10	8609142
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP800	VNP801	VNP802	VNP803	VNP804	VNP805		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11 08:00	2023/04/11 08:45	2023/04/11 08:20	2023/04/11 08:55	2023/04/11 09:30	2023/04/11 09:45		
COC Number		927306-01-01	927306-01-01	927306-01-01	927306-01-01	927306-01-01	927306-01-01		
	UNITS	SW-1	SW-5	SW-7	SW-8	SW-9	SW-10	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	<0.00010	0.00010	8612063
Total Arsenic (As)	ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	1.0	8611237
Total Barium (Ba)	ug/L	11	15	11	17	37	34	2.0	8611237
Total Boron (B)	ug/L	17	14	17	63	360	400	10	8611237
Total Cadmium (Cd)	ug/L	<0.090	<0.090	<0.090	<0.090	0.10	<0.090	0.090	8611237
Total Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	60000	90000	59000	74000	87000	75000	200	8611237
Total Chromium (Cr)	ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	5.0	8611237
Total Cobalt (Co)	ug/L	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	1.3	0.54	0.50	8611237
Total Copper (Cu)	ug/L	1.1	<0.90	1.2	1.1	4.4	3.9	0.90	8611237
Total Iron (Fe)	ug/L	100	150	140	<100	700	170	100	8611237
Total Lead (Pb)	ug/L	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	0.66	<0.50	0.50	8611237
Total Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	21000	30000	20000	25000	29000	26000	50	8611237
Total Potassium (K)	ug/L	1500	1800	1400	3800	23000	23000	200	8611237
Total Sodium (Na)	ug/L	6800	7200	6700	13000	52000	46000	100	8611237
Total Zinc (Zn)	ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	9.4	<5.0	5.0	8611237

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP800
Sample ID: SW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8609432	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP801
Sample ID: SW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8602703	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP801 Dup
Sample ID: SW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP802
Sample ID: SW-7
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8609432	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP802 Dup
Sample ID: SW-7
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP803
Sample ID: SW-8
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP803
Sample ID: SW-8
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8609432	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP803 Dup
Sample ID: SW-8
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP804
Sample ID: SW-9
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8609432	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP805
Sample ID: SW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	8609865	2023/04/15	2023/04/20	Gurjot Kaur
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	8609436	N/A	2023/04/17	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/17	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	8612063	2023/04/17	2023/04/18	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	8611237	2023/04/17	2023/04/17	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611210	N/A	2023/04/17	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	8609036	N/A	2023/04/14	Mandeep Kaur
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609454	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609502	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Rajni Tyagi
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	8609566	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Prgya Panchal
Low Level Total Suspended Solids	BAL	8609432	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029

Report Date: 2023/04/25

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: JW

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
8602703	Total Suspended Solids	2023/04/17					<1	mg/L	12	20	98	85 - 115
8608611	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	2023/04/19			97	85 - 115	<1.0	mg/L	1.3	20		
8608636	Conductivity	2023/04/19			100	85 - 115	<1.0	umho/cm	0.26	25		
8608642	pH	2023/04/18			102	98 - 103			1.2	N/A		
8609036	Phenols-4AAP	2023/04/14	99	80 - 120	97	80 - 120	<0.0010	mg/L	NC	20		
8609037	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/04/18	94	75 - 125	98	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	NC	20		
8609058	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/04/18	NC	80 - 120	98	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	3.0	20		
8609061	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/04/17	115	75 - 125	103	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	1.7	20		
8609069	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/04/17	105	80 - 120	103	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	1.5	20		
8609142	Nitrate (N)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	104	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	0.22	20		
8609142	Nitrite (N)	2023/04/17	104	80 - 120	106	80 - 120	<0.010	mg/L	3.6	20		
8609432	Total Suspended Solids	2023/04/17					<1	mg/L	NC	20	95	85 - 115
8609436	Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	2023/04/17	90	80 - 120	93	80 - 120	<4.0	mg/L	18	20		
8609454	Total Dissolved Solids	2023/04/17					<10	mg/L	0	20	95	90 - 110
8609502	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	2023/04/17	104	80 - 120	103	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	10	20	102	80 - 120
8609566	Total Phosphorus	2023/04/17	100	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<0.004	mg/L	NC	20	112	80 - 120
8609865	Total Carbonaceous BOD	2023/04/20					<2	mg/L	0.89	30	92	85 - 115
8611210	Total Ammonia-N	2023/04/17	99	75 - 125	101	80 - 120	<0.050	mg/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Arsenic (As)	2023/04/17	101	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<1.0	ug/L	1.9	20		
8611237	Total Barium (Ba)	2023/04/17	100	80 - 120	100	80 - 120	<2.0	ug/L				
8611237	Total Boron (B)	2023/04/17	99	80 - 120	97	80 - 120	<10	ug/L	3.6	20		
8611237	Total Cadmium (Cd)	2023/04/17	101	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<0.090	ug/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Calcium (Ca)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<200	ug/L				
8611237	Total Chromium (Cr)	2023/04/17	95	80 - 120	95	80 - 120	<5.0	ug/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Cobalt (Co)	2023/04/17	100	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<0.50	ug/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Copper (Cu)	2023/04/17	97	80 - 120	95	80 - 120	<0.90	ug/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Iron (Fe)	2023/04/17	101	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	1.5	20		
8611237	Total Lead (Pb)	2023/04/17	96	80 - 120	97	80 - 120	<0.50	ug/L	NC	20		
8611237	Total Magnesium (Mg)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	104	80 - 120	<50	ug/L				
8611237	Total Potassium (K)	2023/04/17	106	80 - 120	107	80 - 120	<200	ug/L				



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029

Report Date: 2023/04/25

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT(CONT'D)

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: JW

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
8611237	Total Sodium (Na)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	105	80 - 120	<100	ug/L				
8611237	Total Zinc (Zn)	2023/04/17	98	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<5.0	ug/L	0.15	20		
8612063	Mercury (Hg)	2023/04/18	98	75 - 125	97	80 - 120	<0.00010	mg/L	NC	20		

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spike amount was too small to permit a reliable recovery calculation (matrix spike concentration was less than the native sample concentration)

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference <= 2x RDL).



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3029
Report Date: 2023/04/25

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by:

Anastassia Hamanov, Scientific Specialist

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by {0}, {1} responsible for {2} {3} laboratory operations.



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
 Your C.O.C. #: 927307-01-01, 927307-02-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
 1260 - 2nd Ave E
 Unit 1
 Owen Sound, ON
 CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/04/19
 Report #: R7594062
 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3A3036

Received: 2023/04/12, 09:21

Sample Matrix: Water
 # Samples Received: 18

Analyses	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Analytical Method
Alkalinity	1	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00448	SM 23 2320 B m
Alkalinity	17	N/A	2023/04/19	CAM SOP-00448	SM 23 2320 B m
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	9	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00463	SM 23 4500-Cl E m
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	9	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00463	SM 23 4500-Cl E m
Conductivity	1	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00414	SM 23 2510 m
Conductivity	17	N/A	2023/04/19	CAM SOP-00414	SM 23 2510 m
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)	18	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP 00102/00408/00447	EPA 6010D m
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	18	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00408	EPA 6010D m
Total Ammonia-N	18	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00441	USGS I-2522-90 m
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water (1)	18	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00440	SM 23 4500-NO3I/NO2B
Organic Nitrogen	18	N/A	2023/04/19	Auto Calc.	
pH	9	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00413	SM 4500H+ B m
pH	8	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	CAM SOP-00413	SM 4500H+ B m
pH	1	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00413	SM 4500H+ B m
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	9	N/A	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00464	SM 23 4500-SO42- E m
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	9	N/A	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00464	SM 23 4500-SO42- E m
Total Dissolved Solids	18	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540C m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	16	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	2	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m

Remarks:

Bureau Veritas is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Bureau Veritas are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MELCC, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Bureau Veritas' profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Bureau Veritas in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are reported; unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected. Where applicable, unless otherwise noted, Measurement Uncertainty has not been accounted for when stating conformity to the referenced standard.



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
Your C.O.C. #: 927307-01-01, 927307-02-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
1260 - 2nd Ave E
Unit 1
Owen Sound, ON
CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/04/19
Report #: R7594062
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3A3036

Received: 2023/04/12, 09:21

Bureau Veritas liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Bureau Veritas has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Bureau Veritas, unless otherwise agreed in writing. Bureau Veritas is not responsible for the accuracy or any data impacts, that result from the information provided by the customer or their agent.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested. When sampling is not conducted by Bureau Veritas, results relate to the supplied samples tested. This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

(1) Values for calculated parameters may not appear to add up due to rounding of raw data and significant figures.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to:

Ashton Gibson, Project Manager
Email: Ashton.Gibson@bureauveritas.com
Phone# (905)817-5765

=====

This report has been generated and distributed using a secure automated process.

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by Rodney Major, General Manager responsible for Ontario Environmental laboratory operations.



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP841		VNP842	VNP843		VNP844		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11		2023/04/11	2023/04/11		2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-01-01		927307-01-01	927307-01-01		927307-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-1	QC Batch	TW-2	TW-5	QC Batch	TW-10	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	530	8605721	680	480	8605721	630	1.0	8605721
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.19	8606039	2.0	0.18	8606039	2.1	0.10	8606039
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.74	8611186	0.070	0.15	8611186	1.5	0.050	8611186
Conductivity	umho/cm	820	8608636	1100	730	8608636	1300	1.0	8608636
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	545	8609447	895	475	8609447	840	10	8609447
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.93	8609038	2.1	0.33	8609038	3.6	0.10	8609038
pH	pH	8.08	8608642	7.93	8.01	8608642	8.08		8608642
Dissolved Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	19	8609061	300	62	8609061	64	1.0	8609037
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	370	8608611	250	360	8608611	330	1.0	8608611
Dissolved Chloride (Cl ⁻)	mg/L	46	8609069	51	4.0	8609069	160	1.0	8609058
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.100	8609151	<0.010	0.010	8609142	0.089	0.010	8609142
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.28	8609151	0.99	<0.10	8609142	1.90	0.10	8609142
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.38	8609151	0.99	<0.10	8609142	1.99	0.10	8609142
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit									
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch									



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP845	VNP846	VNP847		VNP848		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11		2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01		927307-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-11D	TW-12	TW-13	QC Batch	TW-14	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters								
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	400	550	560	8605721	550	1.0	8605721
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	<0.10	0.49	0.42	8606039	0.19	0.10	8606039
Inorganics								
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.16	0.32	0.44	8611186	0.13	0.050	8611186
Conductivity	umho/cm	530	1000	900	8608636	1000	1.0	8609135
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	425	600	565	8609447	560	10	8609447
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.20	0.81	0.86	8609038	0.32	0.10	8609038
pH	pH	8.01	7.94	7.91	8608642	7.98		8609136
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	16	1.2	3.1	8609061	18	1.0	8609037
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	280	490	460	8608611	500	1.0	8609134
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	3.7	57	30	8609069	36	1.0	8609058
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	8609142	<0.010	0.010	8609151
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	8609142	<0.10	0.10	8609151
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	8609142	<0.10	0.10	8609151
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch								



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP849		VNP850			VNP850		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11		2023/04/11			2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-01-01		927307-01-01			927307-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-15	QC Batch	TW-16	RDL	QC Batch	TW-16 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	330	8605721	330	1.0	8605721			
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.17	8606039	<0.10	0.10	8606039			
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	8611186	0.068	0.050	8611186			
Conductivity	umho/cm	520	8608636	590	1.0	8609135			
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	315	8609447	340	10	8609447			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.17	8609038	0.13	0.10	8609038			
pH	pH	8.08	8608642	8.18		8609136			
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	13	8609061	29	1.0	8609037			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	280	8608611	290	1.0	8609134			
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	2.0	8609069	4.3	1.0	8609058			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	8609151	<0.010	0.010	8609151	<0.010	0.010	8609151
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.34	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.34	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP853		VNP854		VNP855		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11		2023/04/11		2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-02-01		927307-02-01		927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-17	QC Batch	TW-22	RDL	TW-23	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters								
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	260	8605721	290	1.0	290	1.0	8605721
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	<0.10	8606039	0.30	0.10	0.62	0.10	8606039
Inorganics								
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.42	8611186	1.7	0.050	0.79	0.050	8611186
Conductivity	umho/cm	470	8608636	540	1.0	540	1.0	8609135
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	290	8609447	315	10	370	10	8609447
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.43	8609038	2.0	0.10	1.4	0.20	8609038
pH	pH	8.17	8608642	8.06		8.02		8609136
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	20	8609061	<1.0	1.0	40	1.0	8609037
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	240	8608611	290	1.0	240	1.0	8609134
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	2.5	8609069	1.7	1.0	2.2	1.0	8609058
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.104	8609142	<0.010	0.010	0.046	0.010	8609151
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.27	8609142	<0.10	0.10	0.51	0.10	8609151
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.37	8609142	<0.10	0.10	0.55	0.10	8609151
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit								
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch								



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP856		VNP857			VNP857		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11		2023/04/11			2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-02-01		927307-02-01			927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-24	QC Batch	TW-25S	RDL	QC Batch	TW-25S Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	380	8605721	330	1.0	8605721			
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	<0.10	8606039	0.12	0.10	8606039			
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	8611186	<0.050	0.050	8611186	<0.050	0.050	8611186
Conductivity	umho/cm	840	8610020	720	1.0	8609135			
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	445	8609447	380	10	8609447			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	<0.10	8609038	0.12	0.10	8609038			
pH	pH	8.10	8610030	8.16		8609136			
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	74	8609037	64	1.0	8609037			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	390	8610027	340	1.0	8609134			
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	<1.0	8609058	<1.0	1.0	8609058			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	8609151	<0.010	0.010	8609151			
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	<0.10	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151			
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.10	8609151	<0.10	0.10	8609151			
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP858			VNP859		VNP860		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11			2023/04/11		2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-02-01			927307-02-01		927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-25D	RDL	QC Batch	TW-27	QC Batch	TW-15D	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	230	1.0	8606336	530	8606339	340	1.0	8606336
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.44	0.10	8606039	0.27	8606039	0.12	0.10	8606039
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.61	0.050	8611186	0.22	8611186	0.29	0.050	8611186
Conductivity	umho/cm	500	1.0	8609135	1100	8609135	600	1.0	8609135
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	355	10	8609447	625	8609447	320	10	8609447
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	1.1	0.20	8609038	0.49	8609038	0.41	0.10	8609038
pH	pH	8.10		8609136	7.94	8609136	8.04		8609136
Dissolved Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	15	1.0	8609037	43	8609061	16	1.0	8609037
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	250	1.0	8609134	480	8609134	310	1.0	8609134
Dissolved Chloride (Cl ⁻)	mg/L	2.1	1.0	8609058	58	8609069	1.0	1.0	8609058
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.206	0.010	8609151	0.049	8609151	0.020	0.010	8609151
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.16	0.10	8609151	0.32	8609151	0.12	0.10	8609151
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.36	0.10	8609151	0.36	8609151	0.14	0.10	8609151
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP841	VNP841	VNP842	VNP843	VNP844	VNP845		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-1	TW-1 Lab-Dup	TW-2	TW-5	TW-10	TW-11D	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	130	130	190	100	130	92	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	mg/L	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	8608651
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	52	55	50	55	77	40	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	<1	1	<1	2	2	<1	1	8608651
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	9.8	10	28	18	59	3.9	0.5	8608651
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP846	VNP847	VNP848	VNP849	VNP850	VNP853		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-01-01	927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-12	TW-13	TW-14	TW-15	TW-16	TW-17	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	98	120	110	83	58	53	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	mg/L	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	8608651
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	75	63	67	30	44	30	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	2	1	1	8608651
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	42	27	20	2.5	21	12	0.5	8608651
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch									

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP854	VNP855	VNP856	VNP857	VNP858	VNP859		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11	2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-02-01	927307-02-01	927307-02-01	927307-02-01	927307-02-01	927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-22	TW-23	TW-24	TW-25S	TW-25D	TW-27	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	68	65	52	46	48	110	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	mg/L	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02	8608651
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	30	30	61	53	26	63	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	1	1	2	2	1	8	1	8608651
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	7.5	6.2	51	40	22	38	0.5	8608651
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		VNP860		
Sampling Date		2023/04/11		
COC Number		927307-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-15D	RDL	QC Batch
Metals				
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	79	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	mg/L	<0.02	0.02	8608651
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	34	0.05	8608651
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	1	1	8608651
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	4.9	0.5	8608651
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit				
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch				



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP841
Sample ID: TW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP841 Dup
Sample ID: TW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP842
Sample ID: TW-2
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP843
Sample ID: TW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP843
Sample ID: TW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP844
Sample ID: TW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP845
Sample ID: TW-11D
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP845
Sample ID: TW-11D
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP846
Sample ID: TW-12
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP847
Sample ID: TW-13
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP848
Sample ID: TW-14
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP848
Sample ID: TW-14
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP849
Sample ID: TW-15
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP850
Sample ID: TW-16
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP850
Sample ID: TW-16
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP850 Dup
Sample ID: TW-16
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP853
Sample ID: TW-17
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8608611	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8608636	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO ₃)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH ₄	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609142	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8608642	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP854
Sample ID: TW-22
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO ₃)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH ₄	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP855
Sample ID: TW-23
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP856
Sample ID: TW-24
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8610027	N/A	2023/04/17	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8610020	N/A	2023/04/17	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8610030	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP857
Sample ID: TW-25S
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8605721	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP857
Sample ID: TW-25S
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP857 Dup
Sample ID: TW-25S
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP858
Sample ID: TW-25D
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606336	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/18	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP859
Sample ID: TW-27
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609069	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606339	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609061	N/A	2023/04/17	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP859
Sample ID: TW-27
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi

Bureau Veritas ID: VNP860
Sample ID: TW-15D
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/04/11
Shipped:
Received: 2023/04/12

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	8609134	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	KONE	8609058	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	8609135	N/A	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		8606336	N/A	2023/04/18	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals Analysis by ICP	ICP	8608651	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Jaswinder Kaur
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	8611186	N/A	2023/04/18	Prabhjot Kaur
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	8609151	N/A	2023/04/17	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	8606039	N/A	2023/04/19	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	8609136	2023/04/14	2023/04/19	Kien Tran
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	KONE	8609037	N/A	2023/04/18	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	8609447	2023/04/15	2023/04/17	Razieh Tabesh
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	8609038	2023/04/14	2023/04/17	Rajni Tyagi



**BUREAU
VERITAS**

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

GENERAL COMMENTS

Results relate only to the items tested.



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036

Report Date: 2023/04/19

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: JW

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
8608611	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	2023/04/19			97	85 - 115	<1.0	mg/L	1.3	20		
8608636	Conductivity	2023/04/19			100	85 - 115	<1.0	umho/cm	0.26	25		
8608642	pH	2023/04/18			102	98 - 103			1.2	N/A		
8608651	Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	93	80 - 120	<0.05	mg/L	6.0	25		
8608651	Dissolved Iron (Fe)	2023/04/17	93	80 - 120	90	80 - 120	<0.02	mg/L	NC	25		
8608651	Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	93	80 - 120	<0.05	mg/L	5.4	25		
8608651	Dissolved Potassium (K)	2023/04/17	99	80 - 120	96	80 - 120	<1	mg/L	15	25		
8608651	Dissolved Sodium (Na)	2023/04/17	98	80 - 120	96	80 - 120	<0.5	mg/L	5.2	25		
8609037	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/04/18	94	75 - 125	98	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	NC	20		
8609038	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	2023/04/17	99	80 - 120	103	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	1.7	20	96	80 - 120
8609058	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/04/18	NC	80 - 120	98	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	3.0	20		
8609061	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/04/17	115	75 - 125	103	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	1.7	20		
8609069	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/04/17	105	80 - 120	103	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	1.5	20		
8609134	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	2023/04/19			97	85 - 115	<1.0	mg/L	5.6	20		
8609135	Conductivity	2023/04/19			100	85 - 115	<1.0	umho/cm	0.59	25		
8609136	pH	2023/04/19			102	98 - 103			0.75	N/A		
8609142	Nitrate (N)	2023/04/17	NC	80 - 120	104	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	0.22	20		
8609142	Nitrite (N)	2023/04/17	104	80 - 120	106	80 - 120	<0.010	mg/L	3.6	20		
8609151	Nitrate (N)	2023/04/17	92	80 - 120	96	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	NC	20		
8609151	Nitrite (N)	2023/04/17	106	80 - 120	110	80 - 120	<0.010	mg/L	NC	20		
8609447	Total Dissolved Solids	2023/04/17					<10	mg/L	1.9	20	95	90 - 110
8610020	Conductivity	2023/04/17			102	85 - 115	<1.0	umho/cm	0.89	25		
8610027	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	2023/04/17			96	85 - 115	<1.0	mg/L	0.78	20		
8610030	pH	2023/04/17			102	98 - 103			0.33	N/A		



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036

Report Date: 2023/04/19

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT(CONT'D)

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: JW

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
8611186	Total Ammonia-N	2023/04/18	98	75 - 125	96	80 - 120	<0.050	mg/L	NC	20		

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spike amount was too small to permit a reliable recovery calculation (matrix spike concentration was less than the native sample concentration)

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference <= 2x RDL).



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3A3036
Report Date: 2023/04/19

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: JW

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by:

Anastassia Hamanov, Scientific Specialist

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by {0}, {1} responsible for {2} {3} laboratory operations.



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
 Your C.O.C. #: 960117-01-01, 960117-02-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
 1260 - 2nd Ave E
 Unit 1
 Owen Sound, ON
 CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/12/13
 Report #: R7953686
 Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3AC829

Received: 2023/11/18, 10:08

Sample Matrix: Water
 # Samples Received: 16

Analyses	Quantity	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Laboratory Method	Analytical Method
Alkalinity	16	N/A	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00448	SM 24 2320 B m
Carbonaceous BOD	6	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	CAM SOP-00427	SM 23 5210B m
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	16	N/A	2023/11/21	CAM SOP-00463	SM 23 4500-Cl E m
Chemical Oxygen Demand	6	N/A	2023/11/21	CAM SOP-00416	SM 23 5220 D m
Conductivity	16	N/A	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00414	SM 23 2510 m
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)	16	N/A	2023/11/22	CAM SOP 00102/00408/00447	SM 2340 B
Mercury in Water by CVAA	1	2023/11/22	2023/11/22	CAM SOP-00453	EPA 7470A m
Mercury in Water by CVAA	5	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00453	EPA 7470A m
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	10	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	CAM SOP-00447	EPA 6020B m
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	6	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00447	EPA 6020B m
Total Ammonia-N	16	N/A	2023/11/22	CAM SOP-00441	USGS I-2522-90 m
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water (1)	16	N/A	2023/11/21	CAM SOP-00440	SM 23 4500-NO3I/NO2B
Organic Nitrogen	10	N/A	2023/11/23	Auto Calc.	
pH	16	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00413	SM 24th - 4500H+ B
Phenols (4AAP)	5	N/A	2023/11/22	CAM SOP-00444	OMOE E3179 m
Phenols (4AAP)	1	N/A	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00444	OMOE E3179 m
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	16	N/A	2023/11/21	CAM SOP-00464	SM 23 4500-SO42- E m
Total Dissolved Solids	16	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540C m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	14	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	2	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00938	OMOE E3516 m
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	6	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	CAM SOP-00407	SM 23 4500-P I
Total Suspended Solids	6	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	CAM SOP-00428	SM 23 2540D m

Remarks:

Bureau Veritas is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 for specific parameters on scopes of accreditation. Unless otherwise noted, procedures used by Bureau Veritas are based upon recognized Provincial, Federal or US method compendia such as CCME, MELCCFP, EPA, APHA.

All work recorded herein has been done in accordance with procedures and practices ordinarily exercised by professionals in Bureau Veritas' profession using accepted testing methodologies, quality assurance and quality control procedures (except where otherwise agreed by the client and Bureau Veritas in writing). All data is in statistical control and has met quality control and method performance criteria unless otherwise noted. All method blanks are



Your Project #: Arran (M1174)
Your C.O.C. #: 960117-01-01, 960117-02-01

Attention: Reporting Contacts

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
1260 - 2nd Ave E
Unit 1
Owen Sound, ON
CANADA N4K 2J3

Report Date: 2023/12/13
Report #: R7953686
Version: 1 - Final

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

BUREAU VERITAS JOB #: C3AC829

Received: 2023/11/18, 10:08

reported; unless indicated otherwise, associated sample data are not blank corrected. Where applicable, unless otherwise noted, Measurement Uncertainty has not been accounted for when stating conformity to the referenced standard.

Bureau Veritas liability is limited to the actual cost of the requested analyses, unless otherwise agreed in writing. There is no other warranty expressed or implied. Bureau Veritas has been retained to provide analysis of samples provided by the Client using the testing methodology referenced in this report. Interpretation and use of test results are the sole responsibility of the Client and are not within the scope of services provided by Bureau Veritas, unless otherwise agreed in writing. Bureau Veritas is not responsible for the accuracy or any data impacts, that result from the information provided by the customer or their agent.

Solid sample results, except biota, are based on dry weight unless otherwise indicated. Organic analyses are not recovery corrected except for isotope dilution methods.

Results relate to samples tested. When sampling is not conducted by Bureau Veritas, results relate to the supplied samples tested.

This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

Reference Method suffix "m" indicates test methods incorporate validated modifications from specific reference methods to improve performance.

* RPDs calculated using raw data. The rounding of final results may result in the apparent difference.

(1) Values for calculated parameters may not appear to add up due to rounding of raw data and significant figures.

Encryption Key

Please direct all questions regarding this Certificate of Analysis to:

Ashton Gibson, Project Manager
Email: Ashton.Gibson@bureauveritas.com
Phone# (905)817-5765

=====
This report has been generated and distributed using a secure automated process.

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by Rodney Major, General Manager responsible for Ontario Environmental laboratory operations.



**BUREAU
VERITAS**

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ060			XQJ060			XQJ061		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 12:45			2023/11/17 12:45			2023/11/17 12:45		
COC Number		960117-01-01			960117-01-01			960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-1	RDL	QC Batch	TW-1 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch	TW-2	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters										
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	590	1.0	9058129				640	1.0	9058129
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.23	0.10	9058714				0.86	0.10	9058714
Inorganics										
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.063	0.050	9061982	0.053	0.050	9061982	0.16	0.050	9061982
Conductivity	umho/cm	930	1.0	9059724				1100	1.0	9059724
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	655	10	9064417				920	10	9064417
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.29	0.10	9061082				1.0	0.20	9061082
pH	pH	8.00		9059717				7.85		9059717
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	36	1.0	9059798				180	1.0	9059798
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	400	1.0	9059723				320	1.0	9059723
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	42	1.0	9059794				43	1.0	9059794
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	0.010	9059642				<0.010	0.010	9059642
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	1.08	0.10	9059642				0.10	0.10	9059642
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	1.08	0.10	9059642				0.10	0.10	9059642
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate										



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ062			XQJ063	XQJ064		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:00			2023/11/17 12:40	2023/11/17 12:30		
COC Number		960117-01-01			960117-01-01	960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-10	RDL	QC Batch	TW-12	TW-13	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters								
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	730	1.0	9058129	580	580	1.0	9058129
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	1.4	0.10	9058714	0.40	0.24	0.10	9058714
Inorganics								
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	1.3	0.050	9063010	0.50	0.48	0.050	9061982
Conductivity	umho/cm	1500	1.0	9059724	990	900	1.0	9059724
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	970	10	9064417	675	535	10	9064417
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	2.7	0.20	9061082	0.91	0.71	0.10	9061082
pH	pH	7.99		9059717	8.03	8.03		9059717
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	100	1.0	9059798	<1.0	<1.0	1.0	9059798
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	410	1.0	9059723	460	460	1.0	9059723
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	160	1.0	9059794	52	28	1.0	9059794
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.088	0.010	9059642	<0.010	<0.010	0.010	9059642
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	2.30	0.10	9059642	<0.10	<0.10	0.10	9059642
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	2.38	0.10	9059642	<0.10	<0.10	0.10	9059642
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch								



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ065		XQJ066			XQJ066		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 11:35		2023/11/17 12:26			2023/11/17 12:26		
COC Number		960117-01-01		960117-01-01			960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-15	QC Batch	TW-24	RDL	QC Batch	TW-24 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	350	9058129	380	1.0	9058129			
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.15	9058714	<0.10	0.10	9058714			
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	9063010	<0.050	0.050	9063010			
Conductivity	umho/cm	530	9059724	820	1.0	9059724			
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	330	9064417	500	10	9064417	490	10	9064417
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.15	9061082	<0.10	0.10	9061082			
pH	pH	8.11	9059717	8.38		9059717			
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	7.8	9061644	66	1.0	9059798			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	290	9059723	390	1.0	9059723			
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	2.0	9060579	<1.0	1.0	9059794			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	9059642	<0.010	0.010	9059642			
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.30	9059642	0.14	0.10	9059642			
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.30	9059642	0.14	0.10	9059642			
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ067		XQJ068			XQJ068		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 12:15		2023/11/17 12:05			2023/11/17 12:05		
COC Number		960117-01-01		960117-01-01			960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-25S	QC Batch	TW-26	RDL	QC Batch	TW-26 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters									
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	350	9058129	300	1.0	9058129			
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	<0.10	9058714	<0.10	0.10	9058714			
Inorganics									
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	9061982	<0.050	0.050	9061982			
Conductivity	umho/cm	710	9059724	610	1.0	9059724			
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	395	9064417	350	10	9064417			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	<0.10	9061082	<0.10	0.10	9061082	<0.10	0.10	9061082
pH	pH	8.39	9059717	8.31		9059717			
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	52	9059798	46	1.0	9061644			
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	340	9059723	280	1.0	9059723			
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	<1.0	9059794	2.7	1.0	9060579			
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	9059642	<0.010	0.010	9059653	<0.010	0.010	9059653
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	<0.10	9059642	<0.10	0.10	9059653	<0.10	0.10	9059653
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.10	9059642	<0.10	0.10	9059653	<0.10	0.10	9059653
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate									



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ069			XQJ070	XQJ071		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 11:55			2023/11/17 13:55	2023/11/17 13:00		
COC Number		960117-01-01			960117-02-01	960117-02-01		
	UNITS	TW-27	RDL	QC Batch	SW-1	SW-5	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters								
Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	550	1.0	9058129	340	330	1.0	9058129
Total Organic Nitrogen	mg/L	0.15	0.10	9058714				
Inorganics								
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.61	0.050	9061982	<0.050	0.061	0.050	9063010
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L				<2	<2	2	9060033
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L				34	28	4.0	9062366
Conductivity	umho/cm	990	1.0	9059724	620	590	1.0	9059724
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	640	10	9064417	310	340	10	9064403
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.77	0.10	9061082	0.12	0.38	0.10	9061082
pH	pH	7.99		9059717	8.45	8.44		9059717
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L				<0.0010	<0.0010	0.0010	9065919
Total Phosphorus	mg/L				0.023	0.046	0.020	9060561
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L				<10	17	10	9064370
Dissolved Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	38	1.0	9059798	18	5.1	1.0	9059798
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	400	1.0	9059723	290	320	1.0	9059723
Dissolved Chloride (Cl ⁻)	mg/L	57	1.0	9059794	15	4.7	1.0	9059794
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.026	0.010	9059642	0.013	<0.010	0.010	9059642
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.30	0.10	9059642	3.44	<0.10	0.10	9059642
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.33	0.10	9059642	3.45	<0.10	0.10	9059642
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch								



RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ072			XQJ073		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:45			2023/11/17 13:55		
COC Number		960117-02-01			960117-02-01		
	UNITS	SW-7	RDL	QC Batch	SW-8	RDL	QC Batch
Calculated Parameters							
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	340	1.0	9058129	340	1.0	9058129
Inorganics							
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	<0.050	0.050	9061982	<0.050	0.050	9061982
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L	3	2	9060033	4	2	9060033
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L	77	4.0	9062366	160	12	9062366
Conductivity	umho/cm	660	1.0	9059724	660	1.0	9059724
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	375	10	9064403	395	10	9064403
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	0.45	0.10	9061082	0.61	0.10	9061082
pH	pH	8.50		9059717	8.42		9059717
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L	<0.0010	0.0010	9065919	<0.0010	0.0010	9065919
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.13	0.020	9060561	0.33	0.020	9060561
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	27	10	9064370	340	10	9064370
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	11	1.0	9059798	11	1.0	9061644
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	330	1.0	9059723	320	1.0	9059723
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	21	1.0	9059794	22	1.0	9060579
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	<0.010	0.010	9059642	<0.010	0.010	9059642
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.11	0.10	9059642	0.12	0.10	9059642
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.11	0.10	9059642	0.12	0.10	9059642
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch							



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF WATER

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ074			XQJ074			XQJ075		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:30			2023/11/17 13:30			2023/11/17 13:10		
COC Number		960117-02-01			960117-02-01			960117-02-01		
	UNITS	SW-9	RDL	QC Batch	SW-9 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch	SW-10	RDL	QC Batch

Calculated Parameters										
Hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	400	1.0	9058129				360	1.0	9058129
Inorganics										
Total Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.60	0.050	9061982				0.37	0.050	9061982
Total Carbonaceous BOD	mg/L	<2	2	9060033				2	2	9060033
Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	mg/L	49	4.0	9062366	49	4.0	9062366	46	4.0	9062366
Conductivity	umho/cm	1100	1.0	9059724				990	1.0	9059724
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	650	10	9064403				625	10	9064403
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	mg/L	1.5	0.10	9061082				1.1	0.10	9061082
pH	pH	8.43		9059717				8.28		9059717
Phenols-4AAP	mg/L	<0.0010	0.0010	9065919				<0.0010	0.0010	9068138
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.044	0.020	9060561				0.025	0.020	9060561
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	<10	10	9064370				<10	10	9064370
Dissolved Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/L	72	1.0	9059798				64	1.0	9061644
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	360	1.0	9059723				340	1.0	9059723
Dissolved Chloride (Cl ⁻)	mg/L	73	1.0	9059794				66	1.0	9060579
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.045	0.010	9059642				0.031	0.010	9059642
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	1.25	0.10	9059642				1.24	0.10	9059642
Nitrate + Nitrite (N)	mg/L	1.29	0.10	9059642				1.27	0.10	9059642

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch
Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ075		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:10		
COC Number		960117-02-01		
	UNITS	SW-10 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch
Inorganics				
Conductivity	umho/cm	990	1.0	9059724
pH	pH	8.38		9059717
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO ₃)	mg/L	340	1.0	9059723
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate				



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ060	XQJ061	XQJ062	XQJ063	XQJ064	XQJ065		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 12:45	2023/11/17 12:45	2023/11/17 13:00	2023/11/17 12:40	2023/11/17 12:30	2023/11/17 11:35		
COC Number		960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-1	TW-2	TW-10	TW-12	TW-13	TW-15	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	ug/L	87	55	170	34	18	12	2.0	9060016
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.0	9060016
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	140000	180000	140000	100000	120000	86000	200	9060016
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	100	9060016
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	58000	49000	89000	79000	65000	32000	50	9060016
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	ug/L	7.2	240	31	150	240	3.5	2.0	9060016
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	100	9060016
Dissolved Potassium (K)	ug/L	1100	660	2600	430	320	720	200	9060016
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	ug/L	12000	25000	83000	44000	28000	2400	100	9060016

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ066	XQJ066	XQJ067	XQJ068	XQJ069		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 12:26	2023/11/17 12:26	2023/11/17 12:15	2023/11/17 12:05	2023/11/17 11:55		
COC Number		960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01	960117-01-01		
	UNITS	TW-24	TW-24 Lab-Dup	TW-25S	TW-26	TW-27	RDL	QC Batch

Metals									
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	ug/L	51	47	54	59	100	2.0	9060016	
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.0	9060016	
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	51000	49000	47000	52000	110000	200	9060016	
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	100	9060016	
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	60000	58000	56000	42000	69000	50	9060016	
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	ug/L	14	13	<2.0	<2.0	30	2.0	9060016	
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	100	9060016	
Dissolved Potassium (K)	ug/L	2000	1900	2100	1700	11000	200	9060016	
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	ug/L	49000	47000	41000	30000	40000	100	9060016	

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit

QC Batch = Quality Control Batch

Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ070		XQJ071		XQJ072		XQJ073		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:55		2023/11/17 13:00		2023/11/17 13:45		2023/11/17 13:55		
COC Number		960117-02-01		960117-02-01		960117-02-01		960117-02-01		
	UNITS	SW-1	QC Batch	SW-5	QC Batch	SW-7	QC Batch	SW-8	RDL	QC Batch

Metals										
Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	<0.00010	9064414	<0.00010	9067151	<0.00010	9067514	<0.00010	0.00010	9067151
Total Arsenic (As)	ug/L	<1.0	9067482	<1.0	9067482	<1.0	9067482	<1.0	1.0	9067482
Total Barium (Ba)	ug/L	17	9067482	14	9067482	34	9067482	31	2.0	9067482
Total Boron (B)	ug/L	18	9067482	13	9067482	83	9067482	83	10	9067482
Total Cadmium (Cd)	ug/L	<0.090	9067482	<0.090	9067482	0.19	9067482	0.18	0.090	9067482
Total Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	87000	9067482	83000	9067482	93000	9067482	89000	200	9067482
Total Chromium (Cr)	ug/L	<5.0	9067482	<5.0	9067482	<5.0	9067482	<5.0	5.0	9067482
Total Cobalt (Co)	ug/L	<0.50	9067482	<0.50	9067482	1.4	9067482	1.3	0.50	9067482
Total Copper (Cu)	ug/L	1.2	9067482	1.1	9067482	4.9	9067482	4.7	0.90	9067482
Total Iron (Fe)	ug/L	230	9067482	480	9067482	2300	9067482	2200	100	9067482
Total Lead (Pb)	ug/L	<0.50	9067482	<0.50	9067482	2.0	9067482	2.1	0.50	9067482
Total Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	28000	9067482	29000	9067482	31000	9067482	31000	50	9067482
Total Potassium (K)	ug/L	1900	9067482	2500	9067482	5000	9067482	4800	200	9067482
Total Sodium (Na)	ug/L	8600	9067482	4900	9067482	17000	9067482	16000	100	9067482
Total Zinc (Zn)	ug/L	<5.0	9067482	8.8	9067482	17	9067482	16	5.0	9067482

RDL = Reportable Detection Limit
QC Batch = Quality Control Batch



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

ELEMENTS BY ATOMIC SPECTROSCOPY (WATER)

Bureau Veritas ID		XQJ074			XQJ074			XQJ075		
Sampling Date		2023/11/17 13:30			2023/11/17 13:30			2023/11/17 13:10		
COC Number		960117-02-01			960117-02-01			960117-02-01		
	UNITS	SW-9	RDL	QC Batch	SW-9 Lab-Dup	RDL	QC Batch	SW-10	RDL	QC Batch
Metals										
Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	<0.00010	0.00010	9067151				<0.00010	0.00010	9067151
Total Arsenic (As)	ug/L	<1.0	1.0	9067482	<1.0	1.0	9067482	<1.0	1.0	9067482
Total Barium (Ba)	ug/L	37	2.0	9067482	37	2.0	9067482	36	2.0	9067482
Total Boron (B)	ug/L	440	10	9067482	450	10	9067482	360	10	9067482
Total Cadmium (Cd)	ug/L	<0.090	0.090	9067482	<0.090	0.090	9067482	<0.090	0.090	9067482
Total Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	100000	200	9067482	100000	200	9067482	92000	200	9067482
Total Chromium (Cr)	ug/L	<5.0	5.0	9067482	<5.0	5.0	9067482	<5.0	5.0	9067482
Total Cobalt (Co)	ug/L	0.53	0.50	9067482	0.55	0.50	9067482	<0.50	0.50	9067482
Total Copper (Cu)	ug/L	2.9	0.90	9067482	2.9	0.90	9067482	3.2	0.90	9067482
Total Iron (Fe)	ug/L	120	100	9067482	120	100	9067482	<100	100	9067482
Total Lead (Pb)	ug/L	<0.50	0.50	9067482	<0.50	0.50	9067482	<0.50	0.50	9067482
Total Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	35000	50	9067482	35000	50	9067482	32000	50	9067482
Total Potassium (K)	ug/L	23000	200	9067482	23000	200	9067482	21000	200	9067482
Total Sodium (Na)	ug/L	70000	100	9067482	71000	100	9067482	62000	100	9067482
Total Zinc (Zn)	ug/L	<5.0	5.0	9067482	<5.0	5.0	9067482	<5.0	5.0	9067482
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit QC Batch = Quality Control Batch Lab-Dup = Laboratory Initiated Duplicate										



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ060
Sample ID: TW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ060 Dup
Sample ID: TW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ061
Sample ID: TW-2
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ062
Sample ID: TW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ062
Sample ID: TW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9063010	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ063
Sample ID: TW-12
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ064
Sample ID: TW-13
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ064
Sample ID: TW-13
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ065
Sample ID: TW-15
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9060579	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9063010	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9061644	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ066
Sample ID: TW-24
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9063010	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ066 Dup
Sample ID: TW-24
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ067
Sample ID: TW-25S
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ068
Sample ID: TW-26
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9060579	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059653	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9061644	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ068 Dup
Sample ID: TW-26
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059653	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ069
Sample ID: TW-27
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ069
Sample ID: TW-27
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Lab Filtered Metals by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9060016	2023/11/20	2023/11/21	Prempal Bhatti
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
Organic Nitrogen	CALC	9058714	N/A	2023/11/23	Automated Statchk
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064417	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ070
Sample ID: SW-1
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9064414	2023/11/22	2023/11/22	Gagandeep Rai
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9063010	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9065919	N/A	2023/11/22	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ071
Sample ID: SW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ071
Sample ID: SW-5
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9067151	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Maninder Kaur
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9063010	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9065919	N/A	2023/11/22	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ072
Sample ID: SW-7
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9067514	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Japneet Gill
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9065919	N/A	2023/11/22	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ073
Sample ID: SW-8
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9060579	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ073
Sample ID: SW-8
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9067151	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Maninder Kaur
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9065919	N/A	2023/11/22	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9061644	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ074
Sample ID: SW-9
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9059794	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9067151	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Maninder Kaur
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9065919	N/A	2023/11/22	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9059798	N/A	2023/11/21	Alina Dobreanu
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ074 Dup
Sample ID: SW-9
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

TEST SUMMARY

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ075
Sample ID: SW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Carbonaceous BOD	DO	9060033	2023/11/20	2023/11/25	Frank Zhang
Chloride by Automated Colourimetry	SKAL	9060579	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Chemical Oxygen Demand	SPEC	9062366	N/A	2023/11/21	Nimarta Singh
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Hardness (calculated as CaCO3)		9058129	N/A	2023/11/22	Automated Statchk
Mercury in Water by CVAA	CV/AA	9067151	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Maninder Kaur
Total Metals Analysis by ICPMS	ICP/MS	9067482	2023/11/23	2023/11/23	Arefa Dabhad
Total Ammonia-N	LACH/NH4	9061982	N/A	2023/11/22	Viorica Rotaru
Nitrate & Nitrite as Nitrogen in Water	LACH	9059642	N/A	2023/11/21	Chandra Nandlal
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Phenols (4AAP)	TECH/PHEN	9068138	N/A	2023/11/23	Chloe Pollock
Sulphate by Automated Turbidimetry	SKAL	9061644	N/A	2023/11/21	Massarat Jan
Total Dissolved Solids	BAL	9064403	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Darshan Patel
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in Water	SKAL	9061082	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Kruti Jitesh Patel
Total Phosphorus (Colourimetric)	SKAL/P	9060561	2023/11/20	2023/11/22	Muskan
Total Suspended Solids	BAL	9064370	2023/11/22	2023/11/23	Razieh Tabesh

Bureau Veritas ID: XQJ075 Dup
Sample ID: SW-10
Matrix: Water

Collected: 2023/11/17
Shipped:
Received: 2023/11/18

Test Description	Instrumentation	Batch	Extracted	Date Analyzed	Analyst
Alkalinity	AT	9059723	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
Conductivity	AT	9059724	N/A	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil
pH	AT	9059717	2023/11/20	2023/11/23	Nachiketa Gohil



GENERAL COMMENTS

Each temperature is the average of up to three cooler temperatures taken at receipt

Package 1	5.7°C
Package 2	5.7°C

Results relate only to the items tested.



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829

Report Date: 2023/12/13

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: BT

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
9059642	Nitrate (N)	2023/11/21	101	80 - 120	106	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	NC	20		
9059642	Nitrite (N)	2023/11/21	103	80 - 120	106	80 - 120	<0.010	mg/L	NC	20		
9059653	Nitrate (N)	2023/11/21	102	80 - 120	105	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	NC	20		
9059653	Nitrite (N)	2023/11/21	107	80 - 120	105	80 - 120	<0.010	mg/L	NC	20		
9059717	pH	2023/11/23			101	98 - 103			1.2	N/A		
9059723	Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	2023/11/23			98	85 - 115	<1.0	mg/L	0.42	20		
9059724	Conductivity	2023/11/23			102	85 - 115	<1.0	umho/cm	0.30	10		
9059794	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/11/21	95	80 - 120	94	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	1.4	20		
9059798	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/11/21	NC	75 - 125	95	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	0.29	20		
9060016	Dissolved Barium (Ba)	2023/11/21	102	80 - 120	100	80 - 120	<2.0	ug/L	7.9	20		
9060016	Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	2023/11/21	92	80 - 120	92	80 - 120	<1.0	ug/L	NC	20		
9060016	Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	2023/11/21	NC	80 - 120	102	80 - 120	<200	ug/L	5.2	20		
9060016	Dissolved Iron (Fe)	2023/11/21	102	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	NC	20		
9060016	Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	2023/11/21	NC	80 - 120	100	80 - 120	<50	ug/L	3.7	20		
9060016	Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	2023/11/21	100	80 - 120	98	80 - 120	<2.0	ug/L	7.6	20		
9060016	Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	2023/11/21	108	80 - 120	104	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	NC	20		
9060016	Dissolved Potassium (K)	2023/11/21	103	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<200	ug/L	7.3	20		
9060016	Dissolved Sodium (Na)	2023/11/21	NC	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	4.4	20		
9060033	Total Carbonaceous BOD	2023/11/25					<2	mg/L	NC	30	96	80 - 120
9060561	Total Phosphorus	2023/11/22	98	80 - 120	103	80 - 120	<0.020	mg/L	7.2	20	110	80 - 120
9060579	Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	2023/11/21	94	80 - 120	94	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	0.23	20		
9061082	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	2023/11/22	95	80 - 120	94	80 - 120	<0.10	mg/L	NC	20	92	80 - 120
9061644	Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	2023/11/21	NC	75 - 125	94	80 - 120	<1.0	mg/L	2.3	20		
9061982	Total Ammonia-N	2023/11/22	101	75 - 125	101	80 - 120	<0.050	mg/L	18	20		
9062366	Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	2023/11/21	88	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<4.0	mg/L	0	20		
9063010	Total Ammonia-N	2023/11/22	102	75 - 125	101	80 - 120	<0.050	mg/L	NC	20		
9064370	Total Suspended Solids	2023/11/23			95	80 - 120	<10	mg/L	0	20		
9064403	Total Dissolved Solids	2023/11/23			97	80 - 120	<10	mg/L	4.1	20		
9064414	Mercury (Hg)	2023/11/22	104	75 - 125	106	80 - 120	<0.00010	mg/L	NC	20		
9064417	Total Dissolved Solids	2023/11/23			102	80 - 120	<10	mg/L	2.0	20		



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829

Report Date: 2023/12/13

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT(CONT'D)

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited

Client Project #: Arran (M1174)

Sampler Initials: BT

QC Batch	Parameter	Date	Matrix Spike		SPIKED BLANK		Method Blank		RPD		QC Standard	
			% Recovery	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits	Value	UNITS	Value (%)	QC Limits	% Recovery	QC Limits
9065919	Phenols-4AAP	2023/11/22	102	80 - 120	102	80 - 120	<0.0010	mg/L	NC	20		
9067151	Mercury (Hg)	2023/11/23	99	75 - 125	103	80 - 120	<0.00010	mg/L	NC	20		
9067482	Total Arsenic (As)	2023/11/23	101	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<1.0	ug/L	NC	20		
9067482	Total Barium (Ba)	2023/11/23	96	80 - 120	94	80 - 120	<2.0	ug/L	0.37	20		
9067482	Total Boron (B)	2023/11/23	104	80 - 120	90	80 - 120	<10	ug/L	0.24	20		
9067482	Total Cadmium (Cd)	2023/11/23	100	80 - 120	98	80 - 120	<0.090	ug/L	NC	20		
9067482	Total Calcium (Ca)	2023/11/23	NC	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<200	ug/L	1.6	20		
9067482	Total Chromium (Cr)	2023/11/23	96	80 - 120	95	80 - 120	<5.0	ug/L	NC	20		
9067482	Total Cobalt (Co)	2023/11/23	101	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<0.50	ug/L	3.7	20		
9067482	Total Copper (Cu)	2023/11/23	96	80 - 120	95	80 - 120	<0.90	ug/L	0.070	20		
9067482	Total Iron (Fe)	2023/11/23	102	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	2.2	20		
9067482	Total Lead (Pb)	2023/11/23	97	80 - 120	98	80 - 120	<0.50	ug/L	NC	20		
9067482	Total Magnesium (Mg)	2023/11/23	NC	80 - 120	99	80 - 120	<50	ug/L	0.62	20		
9067482	Total Potassium (K)	2023/11/23	98	80 - 120	100	80 - 120	<200	ug/L	1.7	20		
9067482	Total Sodium (Na)	2023/11/23	NC	80 - 120	102	80 - 120	<100	ug/L	0.16	20		
9067482	Total Zinc (Zn)	2023/11/23	100	80 - 120	100	80 - 120	<5.0	ug/L	NC	20		
9067514	Mercury (Hg)	2023/11/23	99	75 - 125	103	80 - 120	<0.00010	mg/L	NC	20		
9068138	Phenols-4AAP	2023/11/23	104	80 - 120	101	80 - 120	<0.0010	mg/L	NC	20		

N/A = Not Applicable

Duplicate: Paired analysis of a separate portion of the same sample. Used to evaluate the variance in the measurement.

Matrix Spike: A sample to which a known amount of the analyte of interest has been added. Used to evaluate sample matrix interference.

QC Standard: A sample of known concentration prepared by an external agency under stringent conditions. Used as an independent check of method accuracy.

Spiked Blank: A blank matrix sample to which a known amount of the analyte, usually from a second source, has been added. Used to evaluate method accuracy.

Method Blank: A blank matrix containing all reagents used in the analytical procedure. Used to identify laboratory contamination.

NC (Matrix Spike): The recovery in the matrix spike was not calculated. The relative difference between the concentration in the parent sample and the spike amount was too small to permit a reliable recovery calculation (matrix spike concentration was less than the native sample concentration)

NC (Duplicate RPD): The duplicate RPD was not calculated. The concentration in the sample and/or duplicate was too low to permit a reliable RPD calculation (absolute difference <= 2x RDL).



BUREAU
VERITAS

Bureau Veritas Job #: C3AC829
Report Date: 2023/12/13

GM BluePlan Engineering Limited
Client Project #: Arran (M1174)
Sampler Initials: BT

VALIDATION SIGNATURE PAGE

The analytical data and all QC contained in this report were reviewed and validated by:

Anastassia Hamanov, Scientific Specialist

Bureau Veritas has procedures in place to guard against improper use of the electronic signature and have the required "signatories", as per ISO/IEC 17025, signing the reports. For Service Group specific validation, please refer to the Validation Signatures page if included, otherwise available by request. For Department specific Analyst/Supervisor validation names, please refer to the Test Summary section if included, otherwise available by request. This report is authorized by Rodney Major, General Manager responsible for Ontario Environmental laboratory operations.